

### Memorandum DEC 0 8 2014

Date:

To: All Regional Airp

All Regional Airports Division Managers

From: Michael J. O'Donnell, Director of Airport Safety and Standards, AAS-1

Prepared by: National Plans and Specifications Workgroup

Subject: Implementation Plan for FAA Review of Construction Plans and Specifications

for AIP Funded Projects

### PURPOSE:

This Memorandum provides information to Regional and Airports District Office (ADO) Program Managers (PMs) and Engineers about the implementation plan for reviewing construction plans and specifications (P&S) for Airport Improvement Program (AIP) funded projects. The guidance in this memo will also be useful for reviewing projects financed with any other funding source such as Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) or local funding. The FAA review of P&S should be done at the 90% design stage (at a minimum) in order to capture the near-final design state before the project goes to bid. Additionally, it may also be advantageous to review the P&S during earlier submittals as well.

### BACKGROUND:

In order to standardize the review of construction P&S for AIP funded projects and to provide consistency among Regions and ADOs, the Office of Airports (ARP) 2011 Business Plan tasked the Office of Airport Safety and Standards (AAS) to "Complete a national template with risk-based criteria for the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) review of plans and specifications and to establish the time frame for implementation."

In January 2011, the National Plans and Specifications Workgroup was established to develop a national template for reviewing AIP funded construction P&S. This template has been coordinated throughout the Regions for review and comment. In July 2012, AAS-100 released a DRAFT Engineering Brief (EB) #88, "Guidance for Reviewing Airport Construction Plans and Specifications" to obtain employee comments. All comments were

considered and many were incorporated into the attached Review Matrix (Appendix 1) and Review Guides (Appendices 2 & 3).

### **APPLICATION:**

There are three levels of plans and specifications (P&S) review established using the Review Matrix: (1) No Review (Sponsor Certification); (2) General Review; and (3) Full Review. For No Review, FAA acceptance of P&S is based solely on submittal of a satisfactory executed sponsor certification per FAA Order 5100.38. For General Review, FAA acceptance of P&S is based upon a satisfactory executed sponsor certification and a cursory review of the P&S. For Full Review, FAA acceptance of P&S is based upon a satisfactory executed sponsor certification and a more comprehensive review of the P&S.

Based upon the type of project, type of airport, and total estimated construction (or equipment) costs, the PMs and/or Engineers, utilizing the Review Matrix (Appendix 1), must determine the applicable level of P&S review [i.e. sponsor's certification solely or General or Full Review Guides (Appendices 2 and 3)]. The PMs and/or Engineers who perform the review of the P&S will complete and sign the "PM/Engineer AIP Construction Plans & Specifications (At 90% Completion) Review Record," (Appendix 4) for placement in the grant file with the sponsor's certification.

A PM or Engineer may choose to review the sponsor's P&S at a higher level of review when the sponsor is designated high risk, or if the consultant is not familiar with the design standards or unusual conditions at a specific airport, or if the FAA has experienced previous issues with the consultant or airport sponsor, or if new technologies are being utilized or for other reasons.

In all cases, the objective of P&S review by the FAA is to verify: conformance to the approved scope of work and verification that the work meets AIP eligibility requirements; conformance to FAA design and construction standards (including provisions that are either deficient or exceed design standards); incorporation of required Federal provisions; and airport safety provisions. Review by the FAA must not be construed as a quality control check for the Engineer of Record, or in any other respect validating the technical engineering calculations. Moreover, nothing in this review (including failure by FAA to identify a nonstandard condition) may be construed as an official approval of a Modification of Standards. The FAA's review and comments do not in any way relieve the Engineer of Record of his or her professional duty to ensure the safety, constructability, functionality and/or performance requirements of the plans and specifications. At the same time, the FAA's comments must not be construed as giving technical direction to the Engineer of Record. Rather, the FAA provides its comments to the Airport Sponsor in connection with the requested AIP grant. Even if the FAA's reviewer is a licensed Professional Engineer, the FAA's reviewer should not in any way cite those credentials in providing their comments.

### **IMPLEMENTATION:**

Effective immediately, Regional and ADO Program Managers and Engineers are encouraged to use the Review Matrix and Review Guides when reviewing construction plans and specifications.

Effective March 1, 2015, Regional and ADO Program Managers and Engineers are required to apply the Review Matrix for all AIP projects on Part 139 airports, and all AIP projects on General Aviation airports with an estimated cost of construction over 2 million dollars (for Full Review).

Effective October 1, 2015, Regional and ADO Program Managers and Engineers are required to apply the Review Matrix for all AIP funded construction projects.

APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS: The latest version of the applicable "Current FAA Advisory Circulars Required for Use in AIP and PFC Approved Projects" incorporated in the FAA Grant Assurances.

### **APPENDICES:**

There are four Appendices to this Memo:

- 1. AIP Construction Plans and Specifications "Review Matrix"
- 2. Construction Plans and Specifications "General Review Guide"
- 3. Construction Plans and Specifications "Full Review Guide"
- 4. PM/Engineer AIP Construction Plans & Specifications (at 90% completion) "Review Record"

If you have any questions, please contact John R. Dermody at (202) 267-7669 or Thomas Mai at (202) 267-8754.

# Rev 02/0502014

# AIP CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS REVIEW MATRIX **APPENDIX 1**

Primary and Part 139 Airports

rillialy and rait 133 All polits						ļ			
	Required St	Required Submittals in advanc		e of 90% P&S			Acceptance of Plans & Specs Based On	lans & Specs B	ased On
			Const.						
	Consultant	Engineering Safety	Safety &	Sponsor				139/Primary	139/Primary
	Scope of	Design	Phasing	Cert for	Eligibility	Contract	139/Primary	Greater than	Greater than
	Work	Report	Plan	P&S	Verification	Provisions**	Less than \$1M*	\$1M*	\$5M*
Runway New Construction-139/Primary	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	Full	Full
Runway Rehab-139/Primary	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	Full
Runway Safety Area Improvements	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	Full	Full
Taxiway New Construction-139/Primary	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Taxiway Rehab-139/Primary	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Taxiway Safety Area Improvements	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	Full	Full
Apron New Construction-139/Primary	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Apron Rehab-139/Primary	×	X	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	Full
Runway Airfield Lighting-139/Primary	×	X	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Taxiway/Apron Airfield Lighting-139/Primary	×	X	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
AWOS	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
NAVAIDS	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
SRE Bldgs	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
ARFF Building	×	X	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Terminals 139	×	X	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Equipment	×	n/a	n/a	×	×	×	General	General	General

Non Primary Airports

Non Printary Airports									
	Required Su	Required Submittals in advan	advance of 90	ce of 90% P&S			Acceptance of Plans & Specs Based On	lans & Specs B	ased On
	:		Const.	Ü					
	Consultant	Engineering	Safety &	Sponsor					
	Scope of	Design	Phasing	Cert for	Eligibility	Contract	NP Less than	NP Less than	NP Greater
	Work	Report	Plan	P&S	Verification	Provisions**	\$300K*	\$500K*	than \$500K*
Runway New Construction- NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Runway Rehab-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Runway Safety Area Improvements	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Taxiway New Construction-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Taxiway Rehab-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Taxiway Safety Area Improvements	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	Full
Apron New Construction-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Apron Rehab-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Runway Airfield Lighting-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	General
Taxiway/Apron Airfield Lighting-NP	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
AWOS	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	Sponsor Cert	General
NAVAIDS	×	×	×	×	×	×	General	General	General
Hangars/GA Terminal Bldgs	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
SRE Bldgs	×	×	×	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General
Equipment	×	n/a	n/a	×	×	×	Sponsor Cert	General	General

<sup>\*</sup> The dollar amounts shown above reflect the total estimated construction (or equipment) costs (not limited to federal share) for the P&S to be reviewed

Airpo	rt Name:				AIP Grant Number:			
Locat	ion:	LOCID:						
Proje	ct(s):				Engineer's Estimated Construction Cost:			
This is	s an abbreviated guide identifying specific FAA expecta	ations.						
			PLANS					
COVE	R SHEET							
0011		Yes	No	NA	Comments			
1	Airport name				33			
	Airport location							
	Owner/Sponsor name							
	AIP project number							
5	Project title							
6	Index of drawing sheets							
GENE	RAL							
		Yes	No	NA	Comments			
1	Is the development shown on the site drawing consistent with the approved ALP?							
2	Is the development shown on the site drawing consistent with the proposed AIP grant?							
	Do the plans incorporate any construction or related environmental mitigation measures associated with the applicable Federal Environmental Finding and/or other permits?							
	RW / TW Width meets requirements per AC 150/5300-13							
5	RW / TW Safety area width/length per AC 150/5300- 13							
6	Pavement section and thicknesses (match Pavement Design)							
7	Building/Equipment eligibilty determination (by FAA)							
	Design meets geometric separation and dimensional standards per AC 150/5300-13							
9	Review for ineligible items or unallowable costs.							
	Modification to Design Standards documented and approved							
	Do the plans properly show the required Construction Safety and Phasing Plan elements (i.e. phasing, work limits, barricades, haul routes, storage areas, clearance surfaces, etc.)?							
PAVE	MENT MARKING (Reference AC 150/5340-1)							
		Yes	No	NA	Comments			
	Runway markings:							
	Runway threshold markings							
	Runway designation markings							
	Runway centerline markings							
	Runway touchdown zone markings							
	Runway touchdown zone markings							
ь	Runway threshold bar							

7	Demarcation bar				
	Arrows and arrowheads				
	Chevrons				
	Runway holding position markings on runways				
11	Temporary markings during construction				
	axiway markings:				
1	Taxiway centerline markings				
2	Runway holding position markings on taxiways				
3	Edge Markings Needed?				
4	Surface Painted Holding Sign				
SIGNS	G (Reference AC 150/5340-18)				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Signage- Layout				
	Sign and marking plan approved for Part 139				
	5				
HCH	TING PLAN (Reference AC 150/5340-30)	ļ			
LIGHT	ING PLAN (Reference AC 150/5340-30)	V	NI-	NIA	Comments
	Thurshald / Dunayay Ford Bakta / Cala Carley Cala	Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Threshold/ Runway End lights (Color Coding, Height,				
	Location & Spacing)				
	Runway Lights (Color Coding, Height, Location &				
	Spacing)				
3	Taxiway lights (Color Coding, Height, Location &				
	Spacing)				
NAVA	IDS (PAPI, REILS, AWOS, ILS, etc.)				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Non-Fed coordination (for ILS/AWOS/PAPI)				
2	Location shown				
3	Siting criteria addressed in Design Report				
	SPECIFICATIONS				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Construction Safety & Phasing Plan incorporated in				
	bid package (and reviewed and coordinated in				
	OE/AAA)				
2	Modification to Construction Standards documented				
	and approved				
3	AC 150/5370-10 latest revision used and any				
	modifications identified				
	Falson and a second as				In this could be a second of the second of t
	Federal wage rates				In bid package or attached to contract
5	DBE goal identified				
	Note: "Yes" also means the element meets standards				
ADDI.	TIONAL COMMENTS:				

Airpor	t Name:				AIP Grant Number:
Location	on:	LOCID	:		
Projec	t(s):				Engineer's Estimated Construction Cost:
		Ī		ı	
This is	a review guide identifying specific FAA expectations.				
		1	PLAI	NS	
COVER	SHEET				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
	Airport name				
	Airport location				
	Owner/Sponsor name AIP project number				
	Project title				
	Index of drawing sheets				
- 0	index of drawing sneets				
GENER	L AAL				<u> </u>
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Is the development shown on the site drawing				
	consistent with the approved ALP?				
2	Is the development shown on the site drawing				
	consistent with the proposed AIP grant?				
3	Do the plans incorporate any construction or related				
	environmental mitigation measures associated with				
	the applicable Federal Environmental Finding and/or				
4	Building/Equipment eligibilty determination (by FAA)				
5	Review for ineligible items				
6	Modification to Design Standards documented and approved				
7	Do the plans properly show the required				
	Construction Safety and Phasing Plan elements (i.e.				
	phasing, work limits, barricades, haul routes, storage				
	areas, clearance surfaces, etc.)?				
LAYOU	IT & TYPICAL SECTIONS				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Design meets Geometric Separation and				
	Dimensional Standards per AC 150/5300-13				
2	Pavement sections and thicknesses conforms to				
	design report				
3	Drainage Plan				
RUNW	AY			1	
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
	Plan sheet shows existing pavement survey elevations and final longitudinal and transverse				
	grades of pavement surface meeting grading				
	standards per AC 150/5300-13				
2	Shoulder width meet standards				
3	Safety area width, length and grading meets standards for design category				
	standards for design category				

4	Runway OFA clear of all objects not fixed by function				
<u> </u>					
5	RW Width meets Runway Design Code				
TAXIW	I /AY				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Plan sheet shows existing pavement survey				
	elevations and final longitudinal and transverse				
	grades of pavement surface meeting grading				
	standards per AC 150/5300-13				
2	Shoulder width meet standards				
3	Safety area width and grading meets standards for				
	design category				
4	Fillet Layouts shown, if required, and meet TDG				
5	Runway/taxiway/taxi lane separation meet				
6	standards Taxiway OFA clear of all objects not fixed by function				
0	Taxiway OFA clear of all objects not fixed by function				
	Drainage Plan				
8	TW Width determined by Taxiway Design Group				
GRADI	NG & DRAINAGE	V	NI -	NI A	Community
1	Contour lines/Spot Elevations	Yes	No	NA	Comments
	Edge drains/outlets, etc.				
	Drainage Structures proper for aircraft loads?				
<b></b>	Direction of flows indicated				
5	Ditches are outside of the safety areas				
NAADI	(INC /Deference AC 450/5240 4)				
WAKK	(ING (Reference AC 150/5340-1)	Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Marking plan approved for Part 139 airports	163	NO	INA	Comments
	O promotification and a construction of the co				
	RUNWAY MARKINGS				
1	Runway designation markings				
	Runway centerline-markings				
	Runway threshold markings				
	Runway aiming point marking				
	Runway touchdown zone markings				
7	Runway side stripe markings				
8	Runway Displaced Threshold markings				
9	Runway demarcation bar				
10	Arrows and arrowheads				
11	Chevrons				
-	Runway holding position markings on runways				
	Runway shoulder markings				
14	Temporary markings during construction**				
	TAXIWAY MARKINGS				
	Taxiway centerline markings				
	Enhanced taxiway centerline markings			-	
	Edge Markings Needed? Runway holding position markings on taxiways				
l 4	Inditiway Holding position markings on taxiways		I	I	

5	Surface Painted Holding Position Sign				
6	ILS Critical area, POFZ and other hold markings				
7	Intermediate Holding position marking for txy-txy				
	intersection				
8	Surface Painted taxiway location Marking				
	, g				
SIGNA	GE (Reference AC 150/5340-18)				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Signage- Layout				
2	Mandatory Instruction Signs				
3	Location signs				
4	Direction signs				
5	Destination signs				
6	ILS critical area/POFZ boundary sign				
7	Sign plan approved for Part 139 airports				
LIGHTI	NG (Reference AC 150/5340-30)				
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
	RUNWAY LIGHTING				
1	Threshold/Runway End lights (Color Coding, Height,				
	Location & Spacing)				
2	Runway edge lights (Color Coding, Height, Location				
	& Spacing)				
3	Runway Centerline Lights (Color Coding, Location & Spacing)				
3	TDZ Lights (Color Coding, Location & Spacing)				
	TAXIWAY LIGHTING				
1	Taxiway Edge Lights (Color, Height, Location &				
	Spacing)				
2	Taxiway Centerline Lights (Color-Coding, Spacing)				
3	RGL (Inpavement/Elevated; Color)				
	Is there a SMGCS plan that requires specific lighting				
	in this project?				
NAVAI	DS (PAPI, REILS, etc.)				
		Yes	No	NA	
1	Non-Fed coordination (for ILS/AWOS/PAPI)				
2	Location shown				
3	Siting criteria addressed in Design Report				
		SP	ECIFIC/	ATIONS	
		Yes	No	NA	Comments
1	Construction Safety & Phasing Plan incorporated in				
	bid package (and reviewed and coordinated in OE/AAA)				
2	Buy American contract language				
3	Modifications to Construction Standards				
	documented and approved				
4	AC 150/5370-10 latest revision used and any modifications identified				
5	Federal wage rates				In bid package or attached to contract
					and puckage of attached to contract

6	DBE Goal Identified		
	Note: "Yes" also means the element meets standards		
	** Separate plan sheet provided		
ADDIT	IONAL COMMENTS:		

# APPENDIX 4 PM/ENGINEER AIP CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS (AT 90% COMPLETION) REVIEW RECORD

Airport Name:				AIP Grant Number:
Location:	LOCID:			
Grant Description:				Engineer's Estimated Construction Cost:
Project Planner/Manager:				
		ı		
	Yes	No	NA	Comments
1 Is the proposed development shown consistent with the approved ALP?				
2 Is the proposed development consistent with the approved Scope of Work?				
3 What is the date of the "CURRENT FAA ADVISORY CIR FOR USE IN AIP FUNDED AND PFC APPROVED PROJEC for review of this project?		Date:		
	-		-	pecifications to the best of my ability in accordance with strued as a quality control check for the Airport Sponsor.
The Airport Sponsor is ultimately responsible for certifications				• • •
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 0 -			
Signature				Date
I acknowledge that the review of plans and specifica	tions h	as be	en con	npleted by the PM/ Engineer based on my review of the
Appendices under this memorandum.				
Manager Signature				Date