)Case No.: 10-484
In re the Matter of:)
State of New Hampshire Banking)
)
Department,)
)
Petitioner,) Cease and Desist Order and
)Order to Show Cause
and)
)
Robert A. Young, Jr. d/b/a First)
)
Connecticut Mortgage,)
)
Respondent)

NOTICE OF CEASE AND DESIST AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND ("ORDER")

1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A and RSA Chapter 541-A.

RESPONDENTS

- 2. Robert A. Young, Jr. d/b/a First Connecticut Mortgage ("Respondent") is a sole proprietorship with a duly registered trade name in New Hampshire of First Connecticut Mortgage as of September 21, 2005 with its principal office location in Bristol, New Hampshire.
- 3. Respondent has been licensed as a Mortgage Broker with the New Hampshire
 Banking Department ("Department") since January 5, 2006.
 - 4. Robert A. Young, Jr.'s New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license was issued by the Department on April 1, 2009.

LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

5. "Person" is defined as an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, association, 2 or more persons having a joint or common interest, or any other legal or commercial entity however organized.

RSA 397-A:1,XVIII.

6. The Commissioner has the authority to issue an order to show cause to any person under the Commissioner's jurisdiction why Respondent's licenses should not be revoked or suspended and penalties for violations of RSA Chapter 397-A or any rule or order thereunder should not be imposed. RSA 397-A:17,I.

- 7. The Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, assess penalties, deny, suspend, decline to renew or revoke a license if it is in the public interest and the respondent, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the respondent has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules or orders thereunder. RSA 397-A:17,I
 - 8. The Department may issue an order to cease and desist from conducting business and from violating RSA Chapter 397-A or any rule or order thereunder if it has reasonable cause to believe the Respondent has violated RSA Chapter 397-A or any rule or order thereunder. RSA 397-A:17,II and RSA 397-A:18,II.
- 9. The Commissioner may impose administrative fines of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-A:21.
- 10. In addition to any other penalty provided for under RSA Chapter 397-A,
 the Commissioner may assess fines and penalties against a mortgage loan
 originator in an amount not to exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation if the
 Commissioner finds such loan originator has violated or failed to comply
 with any requirement of the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008, Public
 Law 110-289, Title V or any regulation or order issued thereunder. RSA 397-

1 || A:17, IX.

11. The Commissioner may issue, amend, or rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A. RSA 397-A:20,IV.

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

12. Respondent has a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondent may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.

13. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.

14. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraphs 9 above and 10 above.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

15. On or about October 4, 2010, the Department received a surety bond cancellation notice dated September 25, 2010 concerning Respondent.

16. On October 5, 2010, the Department's Licensing Division sent a certified letter to Respondent requesting replacement or reinstatement of the \$50,000.00 surety bond required by RSA 397-A:5,III and RSA 397-A:5,IV-c(a)(8). On October 29, 2010, the Department received the October 5, 2010

letter back labeled as "not deliverable as addressed, unable to forward". 1 17. On November 2, 2010, the Department's Licensing Division left a voice 2 message for Respondent indicating Respondent was not in compliance with New 3 Hampshire banking laws. 4 5 18. On November 2, 2010, Respondent's branch office manager spoke with the 6 Department and indicated that Respondent was aware of the bond cancellation and both he and Respondent were actively trying to obtain a new bond. The 7 Department gave Respondent until November 5, 2010 to reinstate or replace 8 the surety bond. As of November 22, 2010, no evidence of a compliant bond 9 10 has been provided to the Department. 19. Contrary to RSA 397-A:5, III and as described in Paragraphs 15 through 18 11 above, Respondent, who is an active Mortgage Broker licensee, has failed to 12 13 maintain an active \$50,000.00 surety bond. 14 20. Sole proprietors who are mortgage brokers are also required to be licensed as mortgage loan originators. RSA 397-A:1, XVII. Contrary to RSA 15 397-A:5, IV-c(a)(8) First Connecticut Mortgage failed to maintain surety bond 16 coverage for Robert A. Young, Jr. as a mortgage loan originator. 17 18 21. Contrary to RSA 397-A:5,IV-c(5) and RSA 397-A:IV-d(a)(1), Robert A. 19 Young, Jr. as a mortgage loan originator has not continued to demonstrate financial responsibility such as to command the confidence of the community 20 21 and to warrant a determination that he will operate honestly, fairly, and 22 efficiently within the purposes of RSA Chapter 397-A. 23 24 November 23, 2010 Maryam Torben Desfosses Date 25 Hearings Examiner

ORDER

2 22. WHEREAS the Commissioner finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, I, the facts as

alleged above, if true, show Respondent is operating or has operated in

4 | violation of RSA Chapter 397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;

5 | 23. WHEREAS the Commissioner finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:20,VI, this Order

is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection

of consumers and consistent with the purpose of New Hampshire banking laws;

24. WHEREAS the Commissioner finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:5,IV-c(5) and RSA

397-A:5:IV-d(a)(1), the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondent as

a mortgage loan originator has not continued to demonstrate financial

responsibility such as to command the confidence of the community and to

warrant a determination that he will operate honestly, fairly, and

13 | efficiently within the purposes of RSA Chapter 397-A;

14 | 25. WHEREAS the Department finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:18,II, reasonable

15 | cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and

16 26. WHEREAS the Commissioner finds if Respondent fails to respond to this

Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true;

27. **It is hereby ORDERED** that:

19 | a. Respondent shall cease and desist from conducting any business (as both

a mortgage broker and mortgage loan originator) subject to RSA Chapter 397-

21 | A;

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

17

18

20

22 | b. Respondent shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 397-A and

23 | rules or orders thereunder;

24 | 28. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall immediately provide

25 the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents

have conducted mortgage broker activity and a status of the loans. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire 2 consumers, along with monies charged, collected and waived (if applicable). 3 The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the 4 5 consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files; 29. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall show cause why 6 7 Respondent's New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license should not be revoked; 30. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall show cause why 8 Respondent's New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license should not be 9 revoked; 10 31. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall show cause why an 11 administrative fine of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as 12 follows: 13 Respondent: 14 Violation #1: Failure to maintain an active \$50,000.00 surety bond; 15 Violation #2: Failure to maintain surety bond coverage for mortgage 16 17 loan originator; 18 32. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall show cause why an additional administrative fine of \$25,000.00 per violation pursuant to RSA 19 397-A:17, IX should not be imposed as follows: 20 21 a. Respondent: Violation #1: Failure to maintain an active \$50,000.00 surety bond in 22 23 violation of Section 1505(b)(6) of the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008, Public Law 110-289, Title V or any regulation or order issued 2.4 25 therunder;

1	33. It is hereby further ORDERED that nothing in this Order:
2	a. shall prevent the Department from taking any further administrative and
3	legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
4	b. shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney General from
5	bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any New Hampshire
6	superior court, with or without prior administrative action by the
7	Commissioner.
8	
9	SO ORDERED.
LO	
11	/s/ PETER C. HILDRETH Dated: 11/23/10
12	BANK COMMISSIONER By Robert A. Fleury, Deputy Bank Commissioner
13	Dy Nobele III Tiedly, Deputy Daint commissioner
L4	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	