In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

and

SunnyMTG.com 866-768-CASH, LLC, and

Sumit Gaddh,

Respondents

) Case No.: 09-093
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Respondents

## NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE ("ORDER")

1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A and RSA Chapter 541-A.

#### RESPONDENTS

- 2. SunnyMTG.com 866-768-CASH, LLC ("Respondent SunnyMTG") is a limited liability company duly formed in Florida on November 6, 2002 and registered in New Hampshire on October 5, 2006 with its principal office location in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida.
- 3. Respondent SunnyMTG was licensed as a Mortgage Banker with the New Hampshire Banking Department ("Department") from January 4, 2007 to August 27, 2009 when it surrendered its license. Respondent SunnyMTG is still licensed in Massachusetts as a Mortgage Broker.
- 4. Sumit Gaddh ("Respondent Gaddh") is the 100% owner, President,
  Treasurer and Secretary of Respondent SunnyMTG. Respondent Gaddh's New
  Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license was issued by the Department on
  July 28, 2009. Respondent Gaddh surrendered his New Hampshire Mortgage Loan
  Originator license on August 31, 2009. Respondent Gaddh is still licensed

by Massachusetts as a Mortgage Loan Originator.

2 | 5. Respondent SunnyMTG and Respondent Gaddh are hereby collectively known as "Respondents".

## LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

- 6. "Control" means the power, directly or indirectly, to direct the management or policies of a company, whether through ownership of securities, by contract, or otherwise. Any person who is a director, general partner, or executive officer is presumed to control a company. RSA 397-A:1,V-a.
  - 7. "Person" is defined as an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, association, 2 or more persons having a joint or common interest, or any other legal or commercial entity however organized.

    RSA 397-A:1,XVIII.
  - 8. The Commissioner has the authority to issue an order to show cause to any person under the Commissioner's jurisdiction why Respondent's licenses should not be revoked or suspended and penalties for violations of RSA Chapter 397-A or any rule or order thereunder should not be imposed. RSA 397-A:17,I.
  - 9. The Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, assess penalties, deny, suspend, decline to renew or revoke a license if it is in the public interest and the respondent, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the respondent has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules or orders thereunder. RSA 397-A:17,I.

- 1 | 10. The Commissioner may impose administrative fines of up to \$2,500.00
- 2 | for each violation. RSA 397-A:21.
  - 11. The Commissioner may issue, amend, or rescind such orders as are
- 4 | reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A. RSA
- 5 | 397-A:20, IV.

3

6

## RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 7 | 12. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing
- 8 | shall be scheduled not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner
- 9 | receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may
- 10 request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The
- 11 | hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.
- 12 | 13. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of
- 13 | receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the
- 14 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all
- 15 | allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect
- 16 | until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 397-
- 17 || A:17.

20

- 18 | 14. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph
- 19 | 10 above.

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

#### 21 | Examination

- 22 | 15. On April 10, 2009, the Department sent the notice of examination to
- 23 | Respondent SunnyMTG via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt, which the post
- 24 | office returned to the Department on May 11, 2009 as "unclaimed".
- 25 | 16. On May 12, 2009, the Department sent the notice of examination to

- 1 | Respondent SunnyMTG via UPS, which Respondents received on May 18, 2009.
- 2 17. On May 18, 2009, the Department began an examination of Respondent
- 3 | SunnyMTG.
- 4 | 18. On May 20, 2009, Respondents provided a loan list and some of the
- 5 | requested items from the noticed examination. However, Respondents failed
- 6 to provide the remaining requested information from the notice of
- 7 | examination.
- 8 | 19. By letter dated July 17, 2009 and sent that same day, via U.S.
- 9 | Certified Mail Return Receipt, the Department's Examiner in Charge requested
- 10 the missing items and all loan files, along with a request for the
- 11 | Respondents to complete the officer's questionnaire. On August 3, 2009,
- 12 | correspondence was returned to the Department as "unclaimed".
- 13 | 20. On August 4, 2009, the Department sent the same request via UPS, which
- 14 | the Respondents received on August 5, 2009. On August 11, 2009, the
- 15 | Respondents provided a copy of the 2008 fiscal year end financial statement.
- 16 | 21. On September 3, 2009, the Department received the officer's
- 17 | questionnaire; however, it was completed improperly. Each question was
- 18 answered with the same statement. The statement referenced Respondents'
- 19 responses to the 2007 examination and officer's questionnaire.
- 20 | 22. The materials requested in the notice of examination were due on June
- 21 | 8, 2009, which is 21 days after the May 18, 2009 delivery of the notice of
- 22 | examination. Respondents did not begin to provide documentation (loan
- 23 | files) until after June 8, 2009. To date, fines have accrued for failing to
- 24 | provide the requested files in a timely manner. RSA 397-A:13, IV. The current
- 25 | fine to date (ending with the bond cancellation date of November 1, 2009) is

\$7,250.00 (\$50.00 a day x 145 days). 1 Contrary to RSA 397-A:13, VI and as described in Paragraphs 15 to 22, 2 Respondent Gaddh as owner and officer of Respondent SunnyMTG has failed to 3 respond to Department inquiries. 4 5 Contrary to RSA 397-A:12, VII and as described in Paragraphs 15 to 22, Respondents have failed to facilitate the examination conducted by the 6 7 Department. Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act 8 Respondents' website showed a series of FAQ's and advertisements which 9 10 represented that \$100.00 would be given to loan officers and real estate agents for every loan closed by any loan originator who was both referred by 11 such loan officers and real estate agents and hired by Respondent SunnyMTG 12 13 as a loan originator. 14 26. Referral fees as part of compensation packages to individuals are not considered services rendered or 15 actually performed for a consumer residential loan, in violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 16 Regulation X, 24 C.F.R. Section 3500.14. 17 18 Contrary to RSA 397-A:2, III and as described in Paragraphs 25 to 26, 19 Respondents have violated federal laws and regulations. 20 21 /s/ December 23, 2010 Maryam Torben Desfosses Date Hearings Examiner 22 23 24

25

ORDER

2 | 28. WHEREAS pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 397-A and form

4 | the legal basis for this Order;

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

- 29. WHEREAS pursuant to RSA 397-A:20,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purpose of New Hampshire banking laws;
- 30. WHEREAS pursuant to RSA 397-A:5, IV-c(5) and RSA 397-A:5:IV-d(a)(1), the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondent Gaddh as a mortgage loan originator has not continued to demonstrate financial responsibility and character such as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant a determination that he will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the purposes of RSA Chapter 397-A;
- 31. WHEREAS if Respondents fail to respond to this Order and/or defaults
  then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true;
- 32. It is hereby ORDERED that Respondents shall show cause why Respondent
  SunnyMTG's New Hampshire Mortgage Banker license should not be revoked;
- 33. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondents shall show cause why
  Respondent Gaddh's New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license should not
  be revoked;
- 34. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondent shall show cause why an administrative fine of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:
  - a. Respondent SunnyMTG:
- 25 Violation #1: Failure to facilitate the exam;

1	Violation #2: Failure to comply with RESPA;
2	b. Respondent Gaddh:
3	Violation #1: As a control person, failure to facilitate the exam;
4	Violation #2: Failure of officer and owner to respond to Department
5	inquiries;
6	Violation #3: As a control person, failure to comply with RESPA;
7	35. It is hereby further ORDERED that Respondents shall show cause why the
8	accrued fine of \$7,250.00 for failing to provide examination materials
9	should not be imposed;
10	36. It is hereby further ORDERED that nothing in this Order:
11	a. shall prevent the Department from taking any further administrative and
12	legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
13	b. shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney General from
14	bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any New Hampshire
15	superior court, with or without prior administrative action by the
16	Commissioner.
17	
18	SO ORDERED.
19	/s/ Dated: 12/23/10
20	Robert A. Fleury, Deputy Bank Commissioner
21	
22	
23	
24	

25