| 1  |           | State of New Hampshire Banking Department                                    |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2  | In re the | Matter of: ) Case No.: 09-091  |
| 3  | State of  | )<br>New Hampshire Banking )   |
| 4  | Departmen | )<br>nt, ) Order to Show Cause   |
| 5  |           | )<br>Petitioner, )   |
| 6  | and       |  |
| 7  | Pacific F | ) Consent Order as to all Respondents<br>Reverse Mortgage Inc (d/b/a )       |
| 8  | Financial | )<br>L Heritage), Edward J. )  |
| 9  | Nelson, a | and John F. Myers, )   |
| 10 |           | Respondents )  |
| 11 |           | )<br>CONSENT ORDER   |
| 12 | The State | e of New Hampshire Banking Department (the " <u>Department</u> "), finds and |
| 13 | Orders as | s follows:   |
| 14 |           | Respondent(s)  |
| 15 | 1.        | Pacific Reverse Mortgage, Inc. d/b/a Financial Heritage,                     |
| 16 |           | (" <u>Pacific</u> ") is an incorporation duly incorporated in the State of   |
| 17 |           | California with its principal location in California.                        |
| 18 | 2.        | Pacific was licensed as a New Hampshire mortgage banker in effect            |
| 19 |           | since at least July 19, 2005.  |
| 20 | 3.        | Pacific is now wholly owned by Lydian REIT Holdings ("Lydian")               |
| 21 |           | which acquired Pacific's stock to satisfy commercial loans by                |
| 22 |           | Lydian that were guaranteed by Edward J. Nelson and John F. Myers.           |
| 23 | 4.        | Edward J. Nelson, (" <u>Nelson</u> ") is an individual who had a 50%         |
| 24 |           | ownership and was President/ Vice-President of Pacific at the time           |
| 25 |           | of the violation(s).   |
|    |           |  |

5. John F. Myers, ("Myers") is an individual who had a 50% ownership 1 and was a control person of Pacific at 2 the time of the violation(s). 3 4 6. Collectively, Pacific, Nelson and Myers are herein referred to as Respondents. 5 Jurisdiction 6 7. The Department has jurisdiction to revoke, deny, or suspend a 7 license, of a licensee and/or assess fines, penalties, and costs 8 pursuant RSA §397-A and more specifically RSA §397-A:17 and RSA 9 §397-A:21. 10 Facts 11 12 8. On June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2009 (the "June 29<sup>th</sup> letter"), the Department sent a 13 notice via certified mail return receipt requested to Pacific's National Mortgage Licensing System (NMLS) principal office address 14 advising Pacific of its scheduled examination. 15 9. In the June 29<sup>th</sup> letter, Pacific as a New Hampshire Mortgage Banker 16 17 Licensee with a principal office in California was required to: 18 (a) within seven (7) days: acknowledge receipt of the notice and 19 provide the person's name and contact information that would 20 facilitate the exam; and to provide a loan summary or list regarding New Hampshire loans over the last eighteen (18) month 21 22 period. (b) within twenty-one (21) days: provide the most recent year end 23 financial statements; SEC forms; the most recent Federal Income Tax 24 25 Return; most recent quarterly report; work papers to support its

New Hampshire Annual Report; and a list of current direct owners, indirect owners, and all principals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(c) produce these records within the twenty one (21) day deadline or be subject to a fine of \$50.00 per day after the 21<sup>st</sup> day.

10. Pacific did provide the information required in 9(a) but failed to respond and provide the information required in 9(b). Respondents allege they were in the process of closing operations at that time and selling Pacific to Lydian. Respondents state they inadvertently overlooked the need to respond to 9(b).

- 11. On August 21, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified Mail return receipt requested, again requesting the required information in 9(b). Pacific failed to respond for the same reasons as set forth in the preceding section.
  - 12. On August 14, 2009, the State of Georgia revoked Pacific's mortgage lender license. Pacific failed to notify the Department of this revocation. However, the Department learned of the license revocation in Georgia through the examination process.
  - 13. On September 15, 2009, the Department called Pacific's listed contact person, (provided both in the examination response and in NMLS). The phone number was disconnected.
  - 14. On September 17, 2009, Pacific surrendered its New Hampshire Mortgage Banker license.
  - 15. On July 27, 2009, the Department conducted an examination of Pacific. Pacific was charged the minimum one day examination fee of \$835.00 for the examination that consisted of document review.

| 1        | 16. On January 12, 2010, the Department issued its invoice to Pacific                                      |
|----------|--|
| 2        | requesting payment for the examination fee. Pacific paid the   |
| 3        | examination fee in the amount of \$835.00.   |
| 4        | 17. On February 3, 2010, the Department issued an Order to Show Cause                                      |
| 5        | (with supporting Staff Petition), stating Pacific's failure to   |
| 6        | notify that its Georgia Department of Banking and Finance mortgage   |
| 7        | lender license was revoked; and stating Pacific's failure to:  |
| 8        | facilitate an exam, produce records for an exam, and keep proper   |
| 9        | records.   |
| 10       | <b>Count I:</b> Failure to update information on file with the Commissioner                                |
| 11       | RSA §397-A:10,IV   |
| 12       | <b>Count II:</b> Failure to facilitate an exam<br>RSA §397-A:12,VII  |
| 13       | <b>Count III:</b> Failure to produce records required in an exam<br>RSA §397-A:12,III                      |
| 14       | Count IV: Failure to properly keep records   |
| 15       | RSA §397-A:11,II   |
| 16<br>17 | Fines: \$2,450.00 in fines owed for failure to produce<br>records within the twenty-one (21) day statutory |
|          | time frame. RSA §397-A:11,II   |
| 18       | 18. Lydian's counsel responded on Pacific's behalf, requested a  |
| 19       | hearing, and waived the ten (10) day notice requirement.   |
| 20       | 19. Nelson and Myers each responded, requested a hearing, and waived                                       |
| 21       | the ten (10) day notice requirement.   |
| 22       | 20. On August 25, 2010, the Department received a notarized Affidavit                                      |
| 23       | from Myers.  |
| 24       | 21. Due to mitigating factors set out in the Myers' Affidavit, the   |
| 25       | Department hereby dismisses Myers as a Respondent in this  |
|          |  |

enforcement action.

## Violation(s) of Law and Penalties

22. All Respondents are "Persons" as defined by RSA §397-A:1,XVIII.

- 23. At the time of the alleged violations, Nelson and Myers each owned 50% of Pacific, and therefore, were direct owners of Pacific. RSA §397-A:1,VI-a.
- 24. Each Count, as detailed above in Paragraph 17, may be assessed an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00 as to each Respondent for a total maximum administrative fine of \$30,000.00. RSA §397-A:21,V.
- 25. In addition, out of state licensees shall be subject to fines for failure to produce documents for examination within twenty-one (21) days of the Commissioner's request. These fines total \$2,450.00 from the date the examination notice was received to the date of surrender. RSA §397-A:11,II.

## Respondents' Consent

26. The above named Respondents neither admit nor deny the facts, statements, or violations contained herein; however, due to the time and risks associated with proceeding to administrative proceeding the Respondents hereby agree to the entry of this Consent Order.

27. The above named Respondents hereby acknowledge, understand, and agree that there is the right to notice, hearing, and/or a civil action and hereby waive said rights.

25

| 1  | Order   |
|----|---|
| 2  | 28. Whereas the Commissioner Finds this Consent Order necessary,        |
| 3  | appropriate and in the public's best interest and consistent with       |
| 4  | the purposes of New Hampshire banking laws and Orders as follows:       |
| 5  | a. Respondent Myers is hereby dismissed as a Respondent in this         |
| 6  | enforcement action.   |
| 7  | b. Pacific's New Hampshire Mortgage Banker license that was             |
| 8  | previously surrendered is hereby revoked.                               |
| 9  | c. Pacific shall pay to the Department \$20,000.00 as                   |
| 10 | administrative fines, payable contemporaneously with                    |
| 11 | Respondents' signing of this Consent Order.                             |
| 12 | d. Pacific shall pay to the Department \$2,450.00 in fines,             |
| 13 | payable contemporaneously with Respondents' signing of this             |
| 14 | Consent Order.  |
| 15 | e. All checks shall be certified check or guaranteed funds and          |
| 16 | made payable to "State of New Hampshire".                               |
| 17 | 29. This Consent Order may be revoked and the Department may pursue any |
| 18 | and all remedies available under law, if the Department later finds     |
| 19 | that the above named Respondents knowingly or willfully withheld        |
| 20 | information used and relied upon in this Consent Order.                 |
| 21 | 30. This Consent Order is binding on all heirs, assigns, and/or         |
| 22 | successors in interest.   |
| 23 | 31. This Consent Order shall become effective upon the date the         |
| 24 | Commissioner signs this Consent Order.                                  |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |

| 1  | 32. Once this Consent Order is effective, the Department agrees not to        |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | seek further reimbursement, refunds, penalties, fines, costs, or              |  |
| 3  | fees regarding the facts, allegations, or findings of violations              |  |
| 4  | contained herein.   |  |
| 5  | WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, we have set our hands to this Consent      |  |
| 6  | Order, with it taking effect upon the signature of Peter C. Hildreth, Bank    |  |
| 7  | Commissioner.   |  |
| 8  |   |  |
| 9  | Recommended this <u>31st</u> day of <u>August</u> , 2010 by                   |  |
| 10 |   |  |
| 11 | /s/   |  |
| 12 | Maryam Torben Desfosses, Hearings Examiner, Banking Department                |  |
| 13 |   |  |
| 14 | Executed this <u>30th</u> day of <u>September</u> , 2010 by                   |  |
| 15 |   |  |
| 16 | /s/<br>Melissa Richards, Esq., as legal representative for Respondent Pacific |  |
| 17 | Reverse Mortgage Inc (d/b/a Financial Heritage) by and through its            |  |
| 18 | Authorized Agent, Lydian REIT Holdings  |  |
| 19 | Executed this <u>28th</u> day of <u>September</u> , 2010 by                   |  |
| 20 |   |  |
| 21 | /s/   |  |
| 22 | Respondent Edward J. Nelson   |  |
| 23 |   |  |
| 24 |   |  |
| 25 |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    | Order - 7   |  |
|    |   |  |

```
1
     Executed this <u>7th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2010 by
 2
 3
                   /s/
                                 Respondent John F. Myers
 4
 5
     SO ORDERED,
 6
     Entered this <u>21st</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2010.
 7
 8
     /s/ Robert A. Fleury for
Peter C. Hildreth,
 9
     Bank Commissioner
10
            IT IS SO ORDERED.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                             Order - 8
```