1	State of New Hampshire Banking Department
	)Case No.: 09-066
2	In re the Matter of: )
3	) State of New Hampshire Banking )
	)Order to Show Cause
4	Department, )
5	) Petitioner, )
5	
6	and )
7	) Designation Londing Crown Tag. (d/b/s)
	Priority Lending Group, Inc. (d/b/a )
8	Chamberland Financial Group), and
	)
9	Russell Chamberland, )
10	Respondents )
11	NOTICE OF ORDER
12	This Order to Show Cause commences an adjudicative proceeding under
13	the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A and RSA Chapter 541-A.
14	LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION
15	Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New
16	Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order
	Inamponitie (nereinareer ene beparemene / nab ene aaenorrey eo robae an oraer
17	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New
	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New
17 18	
	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New
18 19	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a
18	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed.
18 19	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a
18 19 20 21	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is
18 19 20	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion
18 19 20 21	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is
18 19 20 21 22 23	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or order thereunder.
18 19 20 21 22	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or
18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or order thereunder. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20,IV, the Commissioner may issue, amend, or</pre>
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or order thereunder.

Order - 1

1 provisions of the Chapter.

2 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to 3 suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties 4 of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and 5 rules.

6 Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct 7 that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA Chapter 8 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the 9 provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted 10 thereunder. The Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and 11 may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such 12 conduct.

13

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on 14 this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel 15 at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA Chapter 16 541-A. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the 17 18 Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt 19 requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional 20 Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 21 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If a Respondent fails to 22 23 appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent upon 24 consideration of this Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be 25

1 deemed to be true.

9

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within 30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach a formal written and executed settlement with the Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent, and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

## STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

10 The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated April 30, 2010 (a copy of which is attached 11 hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

## ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws; and

16 WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition, 17 if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested;

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

1. Respondent Priority Lending Group, Inc. (d/b/a Chamberland Financial Group) ("Respondent Priority Lending") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$5,000.00 should not be imposed against it;

2. Respondent Russell Chamberland ("Respondent Chamberland") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$7,500.00 should not be imposed against him;

Order - 3

1	3. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
2	to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 2 above, the
3	\$1,800.00 examination fee should not be paid to the
4	Department;
5	4. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
6	to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above, the
7	accrued fine of \$7,200.00 for the late filing of examination
8	materials should not be paid to the Department;
9	5. Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for the
10	above amounts alleged in Paragraphs 1 through 4 above;
11	6. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
12	to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above,
13	Respondent Priority Lending's license should not be revoked.
14	It is hereby further ORDERED that:
15	7. Along with the administrative penalties listed for the above
16	named Respondents, the outstanding sum of \$9,000.00 plus each
17	individual consumer's restitution listed above shall be
18	immediately paid; and
19	
20	[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	8.	Failure	to	reque	st a he	eari	ng withi	in 30 da	ys of	the da	te of
2		receipt	or	valid	delive	ry c	of this	Order to	o Show	Cause	shall
3		result	in	a	defaul	.t	judgmen	t bein	g re	ndered	and
4		administ	rat	ive	penalti	es	imposed	d upon	the	defau	ulting
5		Responde	ent (	s).							
6											
7						S	SIGNED,				
8											
9	Dated: <u>04/30/10</u>						/ <i>s</i> ,	/ HILDRETH	ı		_
10								MISSIONE			
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
					Order	-	-				
					order	- 5	)				

1	State of New Hampshir	e Banking Department
2	In re the Matter of:	)Case No.: 09-059 )
3	State of New Hampshire Banking	) )
4	Department,	)Staff Petition )
5	Petitioner,	)April 30, 2010 )
6	and	)
7	Priority Lending Group, Inc. (d/b/a	) )
8	Chamberland Financial Group), and	) )
9	Russell Chamberland,	)
10	Respondents	) )
11	I. STATEMENT O	F ALLEGATIONS
12	The Staff of the Banking Department,	State of New Hampshire (hereinafter
13	"Department") alleges the following fac	ts:
14	Facts Common on All Counts:	
15	1. Respondent Priority Lending	Group, Inc. (d/b/a Chamberland
16	Financial Group) (hereinafter	"Respondent Priority Lending") was
17	licensed as a Mortgage Broker	r from at least June 26, 2007 until
18	its license expired on Decembe	er 31, 2008.
19	2. Respondent Russell Chamberla	nd (hereinafter "Chamberland") was
20	the 100% owner and President	of Respondent Priority Lending, when
21	licensed by the Department.	
22	Violation of RSA 397-A:12, V Failure to	Pay Examination Fee (1 Count):
23	Violation of RSA 397-A:13, VI Failure of	Officer and Owner to Respond to
24	Department Inquiries (1 Count):	
25	3. Paragraphs 1 through 2 are h	nereby realleged as fully set forth

23

24

25

herein.

- 4. The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Priority Lending on June 23, 2008, while Respondent Priority Lending was still licensed with the Department.
  5. On March 25, 2009, the Department mailed the report of examination and invoice for \$1,800.00 to Respondent Priority Lending, via
  - U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, which Respondents received on April 10, 2009.
  - The above named Respondents failed to respond to the March 25,
     2009 correspondence from the Department.
  - The Department, via U.S. mail, mailed a second notice on April 30,
     2009 and a third notice on June 11, 2009.
  - 8. The above named Respondents did not respond to any of the notices for payment of the \$1,800.00 invoice.
  - 9. To date, the above named Respondents still owe the \$1,800.00 examination fee for the 3.6 day examination.

Violation of RSA 397-A:11, II Record Keeping: Failure to Provide Requested

- Files in a Timely Manner (1 Count):
  - 10. Paragraphs 1 through 9 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
  - 11. As mentioned above, the Department conducted an examination of Respondent Priority Lending on June 23, 2008.
  - 12. The Department sent a notification of examination on May 23, 2008 via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, which the Respondents received on June 2, 2008.

13. The loan list was due 7 days after Respondents' June 2, 2008 1 receipt of the notice of examination, which would have been June 2 9, 2008. The Department received the list on July 28, 2008, 3 which was 56 days from June 2, 2008. 4 5 14. The Department then sent via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt a request for loan files, which were actually due August 4, 2008 6 7 based on the Department's previous July 23, 2008 correspondence. The request was dated September 15, 2008, which Respondents 8 received on September 16, 2008. The Department received the loan 9 10 files on January 5, 2009. 15. The Department did not receive the examination documents until 11 January 5, 2009, which was 144 days after the due date. The 12 13 Department invoiced Respondents \$7,200 (\$50.00 per day x 144 days). 14 16. To date, the \$7,200.00 fine for the late filing of examination 15 materials is still unpaid. 16 17 II. ISSUES OF LAW 18 The staff of the Department alleges the following issues of law: 19 1. The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1 through 16 as fully set forth herein. 20 2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation 21 22 of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities 23 pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3. 3. RSA 397-A:11, II provides that requested files and business records 24 25 must be received by the Department within 21 calendar days of

request. The licensee will be subject to a \$50.00 a day fine every day after the 21-day period the records are not produced. Respondents currently owe \$7,200.00. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. RSA 397-A:12,V provides that the expense of such examination shall be chargeable to and paid by the licensee. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to pay the \$1,800.00 examination invoice.
- 5. RSA 397-A:13,VI provides that any officer, owner, manager or agent of any licensee shall reply promptly in writing, or other designated form, to any written inquiry from the Department. Respondent Chamberland violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 6. RSA 397-A:17,I provides in part that the Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, assess penalties or deny, suspend, or revoke a license or application if it is in the public interest and the applicant, respondent, or licensee, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the applicant, respondent, or licensee: (a) has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules thereunder, or (b) has not met the standards established in RSA Chapter 397-A.

7. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or orders thereunder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8. RSA 397-A:21,IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of Chapter 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.
- 9. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer or director of such person, every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine

1	not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall
2	constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or
3	fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties
4	imposed.
5	III. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>
6	The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following
7	action:
8	1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff
9	Petition;
10	2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in
11	section II of this Staff Petition;
12	3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named
13	Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
14	4. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA
15	397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and
16	amount equal to the violations set forth in section II of this
17	Staff Petition; and
18	5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for
19	enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of
20	New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.
21	
22	
23	[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
24	
25	

1	IV. <u>RIGHT TO AMEND</u>
2	The Department reserves the right to amend this Staff Petition and to
3	request that the Commissioner take additional administrative action.
4	Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional
5	enforcement action under RSA Chapter 397-A or the regulations thereunder.
6	
7	Respectfully submitted by:
8	
9	/s/04/30/10Maryam Torben DesfossesDate
10	Hearings Examiner
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Staff Petition - 7