1	State of New Hampshire Banking Department
2)Case No.: 09-060 In re the Matter of:)
3) State of New Hampshire Banking)
4)Order to Show Cause Department,)
5) Petitioner,)
6) and)
7) First American Mortgage Trust (d/b/a)
8) NxtLoan.com), Shifra Nachama Polack,)
9) and Barry S. Polack,)
10) Respondents)
11	NOTICE OF ORDER
12	This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions
13	of RSA Chapter 397-A and RSA Chapter 541-A.
14	LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION
15	Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New
16	Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order
17	to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New
18	Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed.
19	Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a
20	complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion
21	that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is
22	violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or
23	order thereunder.
24	Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20,IV, the Commissioner may issue, amend, or
25	rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the

Order - 1

1 provisions of the Chapter.

2 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to 3 suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties 4 of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and 5 rules.

6 Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct 7 that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA Chapter 8 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the 9 provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted 10 thereunder. The Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and 11 may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such 12 conduct.

13

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on 14 this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel 15 at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA Chapter 16 541-A. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the 17 18 Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt 19 requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional 20 Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 21 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If the Respondent fails 22 23 to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent 24 upon consideration of the Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be 25

1 deemed to be true.

9

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within 30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach a formal written and executed settlement with the Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent, and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

10 The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated August 4, 2009 (a copy of which is attached 11 hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws; and

16 WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition, 17 if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested;

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

- 1. Respondent First American Mortgage Trust (d/b/a NxtLoan.com) ("Respondent First American Mortgage") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$12,500.00 should not be imposed against it;
 - 2. Respondent Shifra Nachama Polack ("Respondent S. Polack") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$12,500.00 should not be imposed against her;

Order - 3

3. Respondent Barry S. Polack ("Respondent B. Polack") shall 1 show cause why penalties in the amount of \$12,500.00 should 2 not be imposed against him; 3 4. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition 4 5 to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above, the Respondents shall not reimburse the following consumers the 6 7 following amounts for co-brokering with an unlicensed entity: Consumer A: \$2,750.00. 8 Α. 5. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition 9 10 to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 4 above, the Respondents shall not reimburse the following Consumers the 11 following amounts for collected "discount fees" that do not 12 reveal the fee collected actually lowered the rate of the 13 14 loan given to the Consumers: Consumer B: \$1,278.90; and 15 A. Β. Consumer C: \$1,053.55. 16 6. Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for the 17 18 above amounts alleged in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above; 7. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition 19 to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 6 above, 20 Respondent First American Mortgage's license should not be 21 revoked. 22 23 It is hereby further ORDERED that: 8. Along with the administrative penalties listed for the above 24 25 named Respondents, each individual consumer's restitution Order - 4

1	listed above shall be immediately paid; and
2	9. Failure to request a hearing within 30 days of the date of
3	receipt or valid delivery of this Order shall result in a
4	default judgment being rendered and administrative penalties
5	imposed upon the defaulting Respondent(s).
6	
7	SIGNED,
8	
9	Dated:08/24/09 /s/ PETER C. HILDRETH
10	BANK COMMISSIONER
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Order - 5

1	State of New Hampshin	re Banking Department
2	In re the Matter of:)Case No.: 09-060)
3	State of New Hampshire Banking))
4	Department,)Staff Petition)
5	Petitioner,)August 4, 2009)
6	and)
7	First American Mortgage Trust (d/b/a))
8	NxtLoan.com), Shifra Nachama Polack,)
9	and Barry S. Polack,)
10	Respondents) _)
11	I. <u>STATEMENT</u>	OF ALLEGATIONS
12	The Staff of the Banking Department,	State of New Hampshire (hereinafter
13	"Department") alleges the following fac	cts:
14	Facts Common on All Counts:	
15	1. Respondent First American	Mortgage Trust (d/b/a NxtLoan.com)
16	(hereinafter "Respondent Fi	rst American Mortgage") has been
17	licensed as a Mortgage Banker	from at least 1997.
18	2. Respondent Shifra Nachama Pol	ack (hereinafter "S. Polack") is the
19	100% owner and Vice Pres	ident/Trustee of Respondent First
20	American Mortgage.	
21	3. Respondent Barry S. Polack	(hereinafter "B. Polack") is the
22	President, Trustee of and o	control person for Respondent First
23	American Mortgage.	
24		
25		
	1	

1	Violation of RSA 397-A:17,I(c) Unlawful Co-Brokered Loans (1 Count):
2	4. Paragraphs 1 through 3 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
3	herein.
4	5. The Consumer A loan contained a fee of \$2,750.00 collected "POC"
5	(which means paid outside of closing) by PAL Financial Corp.
6	6. Pal Financial Corp. is a Massachusetts registered corporation and
7	Massachusetts registered Mortgage Broker only.
8	7. Pal Financial Corp. of Massachusetts has never been licensed by
9	the Department, is not exempt under RSA 397-A:4, and in
10	expectation of compensation or gain acted as an intermediary,
11	finder, or agent of a borrower or lender for the purpose of
12	negotiating, arranging, finding or procuring mortgage loans for
13	at least one New Hampshire mortgage loan. Consumer A needs the
14	above named Respondents to refund the \$2,750.00 collected by PAL
15	Financial Corp. on the HUD Settlement Statement.
16	Violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Regulation X, 24
17	C.F.R. Section 3500.8 & Appendix A, Line Item Instructions via RSA 397-
18	<u>A:2,III (2 Counts):</u>
19	8. Paragraphs 1 through 7 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
20	herein.
21	9. The Consumer B loan file included a discount fee charged to the
22	Consumer by the mortgage broker in the amount of \$1,260.00. Yet,
23	Consumer B was also charged a discount fee of \$1,278.90 by
24	Respondent First American Mortgage. However, nothing in Consumer
25	B's loan file indicates the fee charged by Respondent First

1	American Mortgage actually lowered the rate of the loan given to
2	Consumer B.
3	10. Consumer C was charged a discount fee of \$1,053.55 by Respondent
4	First American Mortgage. However, nothing in Consumer C's loan
5	file indicates the fee charged by Respondent First American
6	Mortgage actually lowered the rate of the loan given to Consumer
7	с.
8	11. The following discount fees must be refunded to the Consumers:
9	a. Consumer B: \$1,278.90; and
10	b. Consumer C: \$1,053.55.
11	Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
12	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-
13	A:2,III (1 Count):
14	Violation of RSA 397-A:12,VIII Failure to Correct Reported Deficiencies (1
15	<u>Count):</u>
16	12. Paragraphs 1 through 11 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
17	herein.
18	13. The above named Respondents have failed to maintain a
19	comprehensive information security program.
20	14. The above named Respondents are required to develop, implement,
20 21	14. The above named Respondents are required to develop, implement, and maintain a comprehensive information security program
21	and maintain a comprehensive information security program
21 22	and maintain a comprehensive information security program (hereinafter "Information Security Program") that is written in

1	by May 23, 2003 and was to contain the following:
2	A. a designated employee to:
3	(1). coordinate the program;
4	(2). perform a risk assessment;
5	(3). design, implement and regularly test safeguard
6	controls;
7	(4). monitor service providers and enter into contracts
8	that require service providers to implement and
9	maintain appropriate safeguards; and
10	(5). evaluate and adjust the program as necessary.
11	16. In the 2007 examination, the Department observed that the above
12	named Respondents had failed to maintain an adequate Information
13	Security Policy pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.
14	17. During the 2008 examination, the Department once again noted that
15	the above named Respondents failed to provide evidence of a
16	written Information Security Policy.
17	II. <u>ISSUES OF LAW</u>
18	The staff of the Department alleges the following issues of law:
19	1. The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1
20	through 17 as fully set forth herein.
21	2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation
22	of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities
23	pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3.
24	3. RSA 397-A:2, III requires persons subject to or licensed under RSA
25	Chapter 397-A to abide by applicable federal laws and regulations,

the laws and rules of the State of New Hampshire, and the orders of the Commissioner. Any violation of such law, regulation, order, or rule is a violation of RSA Chapter 397-A. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least three occasions as alleged above.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. RSA 397-A:12,VIII provides that upon receipt of a written report of examination, the licensee shall have 30 days or such additional reasonable period as the Commissioner for good cause may allow, within which to review the report, recommend any changes and set forth in writing the remedial course of action the licensee will pursue to correct any reported deficiencies outlined in the report. The above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 5. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, states that the licensee must develop, implement and maintain a comprehensive information security program that is written in one or more readily accessible parts and contains administrative, technical and physical safeguards. Each of the above named Respondents violated this federal law on at least one occasion as alleged above.
 - 6. 24 C.F.R. Section 3500.8 and Appendix A, Line Item Instructions, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, provides that line 801 is used to record the fee charged by the Lender for processing or originating the loan. If this fee is computed as a percentage of the loan amount, the percentage must be entered in the blank

indicated. Line 802 is used to record the loan discount or "points" charged by the Lender, and, if it is computed as a percentage of the loan amount, then the percentage is entered in the blank indicated. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least two occasions by failing to properly place the payment of fees on the HUD Settlement Statement but also the loan files do not show the discount fee charged both Consumer B and Consumer C actually lowered the rate of the loan given to the borrower.

7. RSA 397-A:17,I provides in part that the Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, assess penalties or deny, suspend, or revoke a license or application if it is in the public interest and the applicant, respondent, or licensee, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the applicant, respondent, or licensee: (a) has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules thereunder, or (b) has not met the standards established in RSA Chapter 397-A.

8. RSA 397-A:17,I(c) provides that it is a violation of RSA Chapter 397-A to accept or process loan applications transmitted or brokered by a mortgage broker who is not licensed, and is not exempt from licensing. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
9. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint

1

2

3

4

setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or orders thereunder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10. RSA 397-A:21,IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of Chapter 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.
- 11. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer or director of such person, every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall

1	constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or
2	fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties
3	imposed.
4	III. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>
5	The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following
6	action:
7	1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff
8	Petition;
9	2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in
10	section II of this Staff Petition;
11	3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named
12	Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
13	4. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA
14	397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and
15	amount equal to the violations set forth in section II of this
16	Staff Petition; and
17	5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for
18	enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of
19	New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.
20	
21	
22	[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
23	
24	
25	
	Staff Petition - 8

1	IV. <u>RIGHT TO AMEND</u>
2	The Department reserves the right to amend this Staff Petition and to
3	request that the Commissioner take additional administrative action.
4	Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional
5	enforcement action under RSA Chapter 397-A or the regulations thereunder.
6	
7	Respectfully submitted by:
8	
9	/s/08/04/09Maryam Torben DesfossesDate
10	Hearings Examiner
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Staff Petition - 9