NOTICE OF ORDER

This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA 397-A and RSA 541-A.

LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or order thereunder.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20, the Commissioner may issue, amend, or rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of the Chapter.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and rules.

2.4

Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA 541-A. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If the Respondent fails to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent upon consideration of the Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true.

If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within

1 30
2 ex
3 pe
4 th
5 ur
6 sh

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach a formal written and executed settlement with the Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent, and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated June 10, 2009 (a copy of which is attached hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws, and

WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition, if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested,

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

- 1. Respondent Foremost Mortgage Associates Inc ("Respondent Foremost Mortgage") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$27,500.00 should not be imposed against it;
- 2. Respondent Michael N. Keselica ("Respondent Keselica") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$32,500.00 should not be imposed against him;
- 3. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 2 above, the \$500.00 examination fee should not be paid to the Department;

1	4.	The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
2		to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above, the
3		accrued fine of \$1,800.00 for the late filing of examination
4		materials should not be paid to the Department;
5	5.	The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
6		to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 4 above, the
7		accrued fine of \$25,850.00 for failing to provide examination
8		materials should not be paid to the Department;
9	6.	The above named Respondents shall be jointly and severally
10		liable for the above amounts alleged in Paragraphs 1 through
11		5 above;
12	7.	The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
13		to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 6 above,
14		Respondent Foremost Mortgage's license should not be revoked.
15	It is her	reby further ORDERED that:
16	8.	Along with the administrative penalties listed for the above
17		named Respondents, the outstanding sum of \$28,150.00 shall be
18		immediately paid; and
19	9.	Failure to request a hearing within 30 days of the date of
20		receipt or valid delivery of this Order shall result in a
21		default judgment being rendered and administrative penalties
22		imposed upon the defaulting Respondent(s).
23		SIGNED,
24	Dated: 06/10/09	/s/ PETER C. HILDRETH
25		BANK COMMISSIONER
	l	

1	State of New Hampshire Banking Department			
2)Case No.: 08-109 In re the Matter of:			
)			
3	State of New Hampshire Banking) Staff Petition			
4	Department,			
5) June 10, 2009 Petitioner,			
6	and			
)			
7	Foremost Mortgage Associates Inc, and			
8	Michael N. Keselica,			
9	Respondents)			
10	I. STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS			
	1. STATEMENT OF ADDRESS TONS			
11	The Staff of the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire (hereinafter			
12	"Department") alleges the following facts:			
13	Facts Common on All Counts:			
14	1. Respondent Foremost Mortgage Associates Inc (hereinafter			
15	"Respondent Foremost Mortgage") was licensed as a Mortgage			
16	Broker from at least November 25, 2003 until it surrendered its			
17	license on January 30, 2008.			
18	2. Respondent Michael N. Keselica (hereinafter "Respondent			
19	Keselica") was the President of Respondent Foremost Mortgage,			
20	when licensed by the Department.			
0.1	Wielekies of DGL 207 A 11 TT December Total Company to December 1			
21	Violation of RSA 397-A:11, II Record Keeping: Failure to Provide Requested			
22	Files in a Timely Manner (1 Count):			
23	3. Paragraphs 1 through 2 are hereby realleged as fully set forth			
24	herein.			

4. The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Foremost

2.4

- 11. The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Foremost

 Mortgage on January 7, 2008, while Respondent Foremost Mortgage

 was still licensed with the Department.
- 12. On December 17, 2007, the Department sent the Notice of Examination to Respondent Foremost Mortgage via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, which Respondents received on December 20, 2007.
- 13. The materials requested in the Notice of Examination were due on January 10, 2008, which is 21 days after the December 20, 2007 delivery of the Notice of Examination.
- 14. The Respondents submitted a loan list and acknowledgment on December 31, 2007 but did not file the exam loan files.
- 15. With no response from the above named Respondents, the Department submitted a second notice via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested on March 6, 2008, which the Respondents received on March 12, 2008.
- 16. The Department also emailed the Respondents on March 6, 2008 but the email was returned as undeliverable.
- 17. On March 18, 2008, a representative from Respondent Foremost

 Mortgage telephoned the Department and spoke with a Department

 Examiner. The representative explained it had surrendered its

 license and did not intend to comply.
- 18. Again with no response from the above named Respondents, the Department submitted a third notice, this time via UPS, on April 23, 2008, which was returned to the Department on May 6, 2008 as

23

2.4

- 25. The Department, via U.S. mail, mailed a second notice on June 24, 2008 and a third notice on August 1, 2008.
- 26. The above named Respondents did not respond to any of the three notices for payment of the \$500.00 invoice.
- 27. To date, the above named Respondents still owe the \$500.00

1	examination fee for the one day examination.
2	Violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, et seq. via RSA 397-A:2, III (1
3	<u>Count):</u>
4	Violation of RSA 397-A:6, I Failure to Supervise (1 Count):
5	Violation of RSA 397-A:17, I(g) Failure to Supervise (1 Count):
6	Violation of RSA 397-A:17, I(k) Dishonest or Unethical Practices (1 Count):
7	Violation of RSA 397-A:17, I(1) Violation of Federal Laws and Rules (1
8	<u>Count):</u>
9	28. Paragraphs 1 through 27 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
10	herein.
11	29. The Department's July 24, 2006 examination resulted in the
12	discovery of unethical business practices in a stated income low
13	document loan product for Consumer A and Consumer B (a married
14	couple).
15	30. The documents reviewed for the loan of Consumer A and Consumer B
16	indicated a completed 1003 application dated December 20, 2005.
17	31. The December 20, 2005 1003 application indicated Consumer A's
18	total gross monthly income was \$4,983.00 and Consumer B's total
19	gross monthly income was \$3,558.00, with a combined total of
20	\$8,541.00.
21	32. Calculating gross monthly incomes from Consumer A's and Consumer's
22	B's paystubs resulted in a gross monthly income of \$5,594.65 and
23	\$2,702.23, respectively, with a combined total of \$8,296.88.
24	33. However, Consumer A and Consumer B signed another 1003 application
25	on January 27, 2006, which discloses their individual gross

- monthly income as \$10,752.33 and \$4,582.60, respectively, with a combined total of \$15,334.93.
- 34. It appears that during the underwriting process, Respondents failed to supervise staff during the underwriting process as the overstated income does not appear to be questioned by the underwriter, Respondents or the lender who closed the loan.

II. ISSUES OF LAW

The staff of the Department alleges the following issues of law:

- The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1 through 34 as fully set forth herein.
- 2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3.
- 3. RSA 397-A:2, III requires persons subject to or licensed under RSA Chapter 397-A to abide by applicable federal laws and regulations, the laws and rules of the State of New Hampshire, and the orders of the Commissioner. Any violation of such law, regulation, order, or rule is a violation of RSA Chapter 397-A. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 4. RSA 397-A:6,I mandates that licensees supervise their employees, agents, loan originators, and branch offices. Each of the above named Respondents failed to adequately supervise and therefore violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.

2.4

- 5. RSA 397-A:10, IV provides that persons licensed under RSA Chapter 397-A are under a continuing obligation to update information on file with the Commissioner. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 6. RSA 397-A:11,II provides that requested files and business records must be received by the Department within 21 calendar days of request. The licensee will be subject to a \$50.00 a day fine every day after the 21-day period the records are not produced. Respondents currently owe \$1,800.00 for late filed examination materials and \$25,850.00 for failure to file examination materials for a separate examination. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least two occasions as alleged above.
- 7. RSA 397-A:12, III requires licensees to comply with examination requests with or without prior notice. All books, papers, files, related material, and records of assets shall be subject to the Department's examination. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 8. RSA 397-A:12,V provides that the expense of such examination shall be chargeable to and paid by the licensee. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to pay the \$500.00 examination invoice.

- 9. RSA 397-A:12, VII provides that every person being examined, and the officers, directors, employees, all of agents, and representatives of such person shall make freely available to the Commissioner or his or her examiners, the accounts, records, documents, files, information, assets, and matters in their possession or control relating to the subject of the examination and shall facilitate the examination. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 10. RSA 397-A:13,VI provides that any officer, owner, manager or agent of any licensee shall reply promptly in writing, or other designated form, to any written inquiry from the Department.

 Respondent Keselica violated this provision on at least two occasions as alleged above.
- 11. 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, et seq., provides that except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry; shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years... Each of the above named Respondents

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

25

violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.

- 12. RSA 397-A:17,I provides in part that the Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, penalties or deny, suspend, or revoke a license or application if it is in the public interest and the applicant, respondent, or licensee, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the applicant, respondent, or licensee: (a) has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules thereunder, or (b) has not met standards established in RSA Chapter 397-A.
- 13. RSA 397-A:17, I(g) provides that licensees engaging in business in New Hampshire must supervise their agents, originators, managers or employees. Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 14. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, I(k), licensees engaging in business in New Hampshire are prohibited from engaging in unethical business Each of the above named Respondents violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 15. RSA 397-A:17,I(1) provides that licensees must abide by all federal laws or rules thereunder. Each of the above named Respondents violated several sections of Title 18 of the United States Code as defined below. Each of the Respondents violated federal law on at least one occasion as

3 4 5

- 16. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA 397-A or orders thereunder.
- 17. RSA 397-A:21, IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of Chapter 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.
- 18. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer or director of such person, every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of

any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties imposed.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following action:

- Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff
 Petition;
- 2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in section II of this Staff Petition;
- 3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
- 4. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA 397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and amount equal to the violations set forth in section II of this Staff Petition; and
- 5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.

IV. RIGHT TO AMEND The Department reserves the right to amend this Staff Petition and to request that the Commissioner take additional administrative action. Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional enforcement action under RSA 397-A or the regulations thereunder. Respectfully submitted by: /s/ 06/10/09 Maryam Torben Desfosses Date Hearings Examiner