1 State of New Hampshire Banking Department )Case No.: 08-030 In re the Matter of: 2 State of New Hampshire Banking 3 )Order to Show Cause Department, 4 5 Petitioner, 6 and 7 Back Bay, Inc. (d/b/a Mortgage Approval) Services A Division of Back Bay), John 8 J. Mulligan, and Timothy J. Miller, 9 10 Respondents 11 NOTICE OF ORDER 12 This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions 13 of RSA 397-A and RSA 541-A. 14 LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION 15 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New 16 Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order 17 to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New 18 Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed. 19 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a 20 complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion 21 that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is 22 violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or 23 order thereunder. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20, the Commissioner may issue, amend, or 24 25 rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the

1 provisions of the Chapter.

14

2 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to 3 suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties 4 of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and 5 rules.

Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct 6 7 that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the provisions of 8 Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The 9 10 Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct. 11 The Commissioner may utilize all remedies available under 12 the Consumer Protection Act. 13

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on 15 this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel 16 at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA 541-A. 17 18 Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the 19 Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt 20 21 requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 22 23 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If the Respondent fails to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be 24 25 deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent

upon consideration of the Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be
 deemed to be true.

30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach formal settlement with the

Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed

in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent,

and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or

If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within

## STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

10 The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated January 2, 2009 (a copy of which is attached 11 hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

## ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws, and

16 WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition,
17 if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested,

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 Respondent Back Bay, Inc. (d/b/a Mortgage Approval Services A Division of Back Bay) ("Respondent Back Bay, Inc.") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$75,000.00 should not be imposed against it;

2. Respondent John J. Mulligan ("Respondent Mulligan") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$77,500.00 should not be imposed against him;

- 3. Respondent Timothy J. Miller ("Respondent Miller") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$60,000.00 should not be imposed against him;
- 4. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above, the \$1,311.13 examination fee should not be paid to the Department;
  - 5. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 4 above, the fine for \$775.00 for the late filing of the financial statement should not be paid to the Department;
- 6. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above, the accrued fine of \$20,400.00 (and still accruing) for the failure to file examination materials should not be paid to the Department;
- 7. The above named Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for the above amounts alleged in Paragraphs 1 through 6 above;
  - 8. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 7 above, Respondent Back Bay, Inc.'s license should not be revoked.

It is hereby further ORDERED that:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9. Along with the administrative penalties listed for the above named Respondents, the outstanding sum of \$22,486.13 shall be

1	immediately paid; and
2	10. Failure to request a hearing within 30 days of the date of
3	receipt or valid delivery of this Order shall result in a
4	default judgment being rendered and administrative penalties
5	imposed upon the defaulting Respondent(s).
6	
7	
8	SIGNED,
9	
10	Dated: 01/02/09 /s/ PETER C. HILDRETH
11	BANK COMMISSIONER
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		State of New Hampshir	e Banking Department
2	In re the	Matter of:	)Case No.: 08-030
3		ew Hampshire Banking	)
			) )Staff Petition
4	Department	,	) )January 2, 2009
5		Petitioner,	)
6	and		)
7	Back Bay,	Inc. (d/b/a Mortgage Approval	)
8	Services A	Division of Back Bay), John	)
9	J. Mulliga	n, and Timothy J. Miller,	)
10		Respondents	) )
11		I. <u>STATEMENT O</u>	F ALLEGATIONS
12	The Staff	of the Banking Department,	State of New Hampshire (hereinafter
13	"Departmen	t") alleges the following fac	ts:
14	Facts Comm	on on All Counts:	
15	1.	Respondent Back Bay, Inc.	(d/b/a Mortgage Approval Services A
16		Division of Back Bay)(herei	nafter "Respondent Back Bay, Inc.")
17		was licensed as a Mortgage	Banker from at least 1999 until its
18		license expired on December	31, 2007.
19	2.	Respondent John J. Mulligan	(hereinafter "Respondent Mulligan")
20		was the most recent Presid	dent and former Vice President of
21		Respondent Back Bay, Inc., w	hen licensed by the Department.
22	3.	Respondent Timothy J. Mille	r (hereinafter "Respondent Miller")
23		was the former President	and Director of Operations of
24		Respondent Back Bay, Inc.,	when licensed by the Department,
25		until at least 2006.	
	1		

# 1 Violation of RSA 397-A:13, IV Failure to Pay Late Penalty Owed for Late

# 2 | Filing of Financial Statement(1 Count):

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. Paragraphs 1 through 3 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
  - 5. Respondent Back Bay, Inc.'s financial statement was due on or before March 31, 2006.
- 6. Respondent Back Bay, Inc. was still licensed at the time its financial statement filing was due to the Department.
- 7. The Department received Respondent Back Bay, Inc.'s financial statement on May 1, 2006 (31 days late), which generated a fine of \$775.00 (\$25.00 a day x 31 days).
  - 8. The Department sent invoices to Respondent Back Bay, Inc. in May, June and July of 2006.
    - 9. The Department mailed an additional letter to Respondent Back Bay, Inc. on July 25, 2006 regarding the outstanding invoice.
  - 10. To date, Respondent Back Bay, Inc. has failed to pay the \$775.00 late filing of a financial statement fee.

18 Violation of RSA 397-A:12, V Failure to Pay Examination Fee (1 Count):

Violation of RSA 397-A:13, VI Failure of Officer and Owner to Respond to

## 20 Department Inquiries (1 Count):

- 11. Paragraphs 1 through 10 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
  - 12. The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Back Bay, Inc. on June 26, 2006, while Respondent Back Bay, Inc. was still licensed with the Department

1	13. On September 1, 2006, the Department mailed the report of
2	examination and invoice for \$1,311.13 to Respondent Back Bay,
3	Inc., via U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, for which
4	someone with the initials "TM" signed.
5	14. The above named Respondents failed to respond to the September 1,
6	2006 correspondence from the Department.
7	15. The Department, via U.S. mail, mailed a second notice on October
8	10, 2006 and a third notice on November 20, 2006.
9	16. The above named Respondents did not respond to any of the three
10	notices for payment of the \$1,311.13 invoice.
11	17. To date, the above named Respondents still owe the \$1,311.13
12	examination fee for the 2.6 day examination.
	Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
13	Violation of the Gramm-headn-billey Act, fitte V, and Standards for
13 14	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-
14	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-
14 15	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count):
14 15 16	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
14 15 16 17	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397-
14 15 16 17 18	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count):
14 15 16 17 18 19	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for         Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397-         A:2,III (1 Count):         Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a) via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b) via RSA 397- A:2,III (1 Count): Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(c) via RSA 397-

1	Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
2	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(d) via RSA 397-
3	<u>A:2,III (1 Count):</u>
4	Violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, and Standards for
5	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(e) via RSA 397-
6	<u>A:2,III (1 Count):</u>
7	Violation of RSA 397-A:17,I(1) Violation of Federal Laws and Rules (6
8	<u>Counts):</u>
9	18. Paragraphs 1 through 17 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
10	herein.
11	19. The above named Respondents have failed to maintain a
12	comprehensive information security program.
13	20. The above named Respondents are required to develop, implement,
14	and maintain a comprehensive information security program
15	(hereinafter "Information Security Program") that is written in
16	one or more readily accessible parts and contains administrative,
17	technical, and physical safeguards.
18	21. This Information Security Program was required to be implemented
19	by May 23, 2003 and was to contain the following:
20	A. a designated employee to:
21	(1). coordinate the program;
22	(2). perform a risk assessment;
23	(3). design, implement and regularly test safeguard
24	controls;
25	(4). monitor service providers and enter into contracts

1 that require service providers to implement and maintain appropriate safeguards; and 2 (5). evaluate and adjust the program as necessary. 3 22. The above named Respondents (in 2006) did not have a written 4 5 policy to fulfill the above mentioned requirements. 23. The above named Respondents also do not have safequards in place 6 7 to secure consumers' non-public personal information. 24. Respondents maintained non-current files in a storage facility in 8 the basement of Respondents' principal office. The building 9 10 suffered extensive water damage from a flood, which destroyed the files. Further, the basement can be accessed by anyone. 11 Violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Regulation X, 24 12 13 C.F.R. Section 3500.7, (b) and (e) and Appendix D via RSA 397-A:2, III (1 Count): 14 Violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Regulation X, 24 15 C.F.R. Section 3500.7, (b) and (e) and Appendix D via RSA 397-A:16,I (1 16 17 Count): 18 Violation of RSA 397-A:17,I(1) Violation of Federal Laws and Rules (2 19 Counts): 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are hereby realleged as fully set forth 20 herein. 21 22 26. The above named Respondents use a particular credit service 23 company for purposes of obtaining credit information but fail to disclose such information on the Good Faith Estimate in 2006. 24 25

1	Violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Regulation X, 24
2	C.F.R. Section 3500.7, (a) via RSA 397-A:2,III (1 Count):
3	Violation of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Regulation X, 24
4	C.F.R. Section 3500.7, (a) via RSA 397-A:16, I (1 Count):
5	Violation of RSA 397-A:17,I(1) Violation of Federal Laws and Rules (2
6	<u>Counts):</u>
7	27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
8	herein.
9	28. In 2005, the above named Respondents failed to provide a consumer
10	(Consumer A) with a Good Faith Estimate within three days of the
11	loan application.
12	Violation of RSA 397-A:13,I Failure to File Accurate Annual Report (1
13	<u>Count):</u>
14	29. Paragraphs 1 through 28 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
15	herein.
16	30. The above named Respondents' 2005 annual report failed to disclose
17	four loan originators.
18	31. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to amend the 2005
19	annual report accordingly.
20	Violation of RSA 397-A:12, VII Failure to Facilitate Exam (1 Count):
21	Violation of RSA 397-A:12, III Examinations: Failure to Provide Requested
22	<u>Files (3 Counts):</u>
23	Violation of RSA 397-A:11, II Record Keeping: Failure to Provide Requested
24	<u>Files (3 Counts):</u>
25	32. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
	Staff Petition - 6

1		herein.
2	33.	The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Back Bay,
3		Inc. on November 26, 2007.
4	34.	On October 29, 2007, the Department sent the notice of examination
5		to Respondent Back Bay, Inc. via U.S. Certified Mail Return
6		Receipt requested, which Respondents received on October 31,
7		2007.
8	35.	The examination materials were due 21 days after Respondents'
9		receipt of the notice of examination, which would have been
10		November 21, 2007.
11	36.	Respondent Mulligan contacted the Department on November 21, 2007
12		to indicate he had just received the notice (due to mail carrier
13		error) and would be sending out the materials on November 26,
14		2007.
15	37.	On December 5, 2007, the Department received an email from
16		Respondent Back Bay, Inc.'s employee indicating she would be
17		sending some materials and Respondent Mulligan would be sending
18		the rest.
19	38.	The Department still has not received Respondent Back Bay, Inc.'s
20		recent federal tax return, most recent quarterly financial
21		statement, or the work papers to support the annual report
22		filing.
23	39.	To date, fines have accrued for failing to provide the requested
24		files. The current fine to date is $$20,400.00$ (\$50.00 a day x
25		408 days) and is still accruing.

1	II. <u>ISSUES OF LAW</u>
2	The staff of the Department, alleges the following issues of law:
3	1. The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1
4	through 39 as fully set forth herein.
5	2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation
6	of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities
7	pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3.
8	3. RSA 397-A:2, III requires persons subject to or licensed under RSA
9	Chapter 397-A to abide by applicable federal laws and regulations,
10	the laws and rules of the State of New Hampshire, and the orders
11	of the Commissioner. Any violation of such law, regulation, order,
12	or rule is a violation of RSA Chapter 397-A. Each of the above
13	named Respondents violated this statute on at least eight
14	occasions as alleged above.
15	4. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3 Standards for Safeguarding Customer
16	Information, states that the licensee must develop, implement and
17	maintain a comprehensive information security program that is
18	written in or more readily accessible parts and contains
19	administrative, technical and physical safeguards. Each of the
20	above named Respondents violated this federal law on at least one
21	occasion as alleged above.
22	5. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(a), Standards for Safeguarding Customer
23	Information, states that the licensee's information security
24	program is required to designate an employee or employees to
25	coordinate the program. Each of the above named Respondents

1 violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above. 2 6. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(b), Standards for Safeguarding Customer 3 Information, states that the licensee is required to perform and 4 5 document a risk assessment. Each of the above named Respondents 6 violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged 7 above. 7. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(c), Standards for Safeguarding Customer 8 Information, states that the licensee is required to design, 9 10 implement and regularly test safeguards in place. The Respondents have violated this provision on one occasion as alleged above. 11 8. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(d), Standards for Safeguarding Customer 12 13 Information, states that the licensee is required to enter into contracts with third party providers to ensure those parties 14 implement and maintain safeguards. 15 Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as 16 17 alleged above. 18 9. 16 C.F.R. Section 314.4(e), Standards for Safeguarding Customer 19 Information, states that the licensee is required to evaluate and 20 adjust the information security program. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as 21 22 alleged above.

> 10.24 C.F.R. Sections 3500.7, (a), Regulation X, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, provides that a licensee must provide a provider with a Good Faith Estimate within three days of

23

24

25

application. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.

11. 24 C.F.R. Sections 3500.7, (b) and (e), and Appendix D, Regulation X, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, provide that the Good Faith Estimate should include the particular service providers required by the mortgage lender, including (1) that the provider is required, (2) the contact information for the vendor, and (3) the nature of any relationship that may exist. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.

12. RSA 397-A:11,II provides that requested files and business records must be received by the Department within 21 calendar days of request. The licensee will be subject to a \$50.00 a day fine every day after the 21-day period the records are not produced. Each of the above named Respondents (excluding Respondent Miller) violated this provision on at least three occasions as alleged above. Respondents currently owe \$20,400.00 to date and the fine is still accruing.

13. RSA 397-A:12,III requires licensees to comply with examination requests with or without prior notice. All books, papers, files, related material, and records of assets shall be subject to the Department's examination. Each of the above named Respondents (excluding Respondent Miller) violated this statute on at least three occasions as alleged above.

Staff Petition - 10

1

14. RSA 397-A:12,V provides that the expense of such examination shall be chargeable to and paid by the licensee. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to pay the \$1,311.13 examination invoice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15. RSA 397-A:12, VII provides that every person being examined, and all directors, of the officers, employees, agents, and representatives of such person shall make freely available to the Commissioner or his or her examiners, the accounts, records, documents, files, information, assets, and matters in their possession or control relating to the subject of the examination and shall facilitate the examination. Each of the above named Respondents (excluding Respondent Miller) violated this statute on at least one occasion as alleged above.

16. RSA 397-A:13,I provides that a licensee shall file its annual report on or before February 1 each year concerning operations for the preceding year or license period ending December 31. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.

17. RSA 397-A:13, IV provides that any mortgage banker or mortgage broker failing to file either the annual report or the financial statement required by RSA Chapter 397-A within the time prescribed may be required to pay to the Department a penalty of \$25.00 for each calendar day the annual report or financial statement is overdue, up to a maximum penalty of \$2,500.00 per report or statement. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above. The above named Respondents filed a financial statement, that was 31 days late, and incurred a penalty of \$775.00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 18. RSA 397-A:13,VI provides that any officer, owner, manager or agent of any licensee shall reply promptly in writing, or other designated form, to any written inquiry from the Department. Respondent Miller and Respondent Mulligan each violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 19. RSA 397-A:16,I provides that licensees may charge fees and points for services rendered in conjunction with the origination, closing, and servicing of loans; provided, however, that the licensee issues a written disclosure to the borrower stating the estimated amount and purpose of all fees and expenses within three business days of the receipt of a loan application. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least two occasions as alleged above.
- 20. RSA 397-A:17,I(1) provides that licensees must abide by all federal laws or rules thereunder. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, Sec. 501(a) states that it is the policy of the Congress that each financial institution has an affirmative and continuing obligation to respect the privacy of its customers and to protect the security and confidentiality of those customers' nonpublic personal information. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, Title V, requires financial institutions to maintain the integrity of

nonpublic personal information. Each of the above named Respondents violated this federal law on at least ten occasions as alleged above.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 21. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or orders thereunder.
- 22. RSA 397-A:21,IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.

23. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer or director of such person, every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties imposed.

## III. RELIEF REQUESTED

9 The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following 10 action:

- Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff Petition;
  - 2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in section II of this Staff Petition;

 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
 Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA 397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and amount equal to the violations set forth in section II of this Staff Petition; and

5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	IV. <u>RIGHT TO AMEND</u>
2	The Department reserves the right to amend this Staff Petition and to
3	request that the Commissioner take additional administrative action.
4	Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional
5	enforcement action under RSA 397-A or the regulations thereunder.
6	Respectfully submitted by:
7	
8	/s/01/02/09Maryam Torben DesfossesDate
9	Hearings Examiner
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Staff Petition - 15