1	State of New Hampshir	e Banking Department
2	In re the Matter of:)Case No.: 07-303)
3	State of New Hampshire Banking)
4	Department,)Order to Show Cause and)
5	Petitioner,)Cease and Desist Order)
6	and)
7	AlertPay Inc (www.AlertPay.com),)
8	Corporate Wealth Management Inc (CWM)
9	INC) (d/b/a www.alertservicesinc.com),)
10	Firoz Patel, and Ferhan Patel,)
11	Respondents) _)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ORDER ("ORDER") 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the

provisions of Chapter 399-G, RSA Chapter 541-A and RSA 383:10-d.

LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

 The Commissioner of the State of New Hampshire Banking Department ("Department") may issue an order to show cause why penalties should not be imposed for violations of RSA Chapter 399-G. RSA 399-G:18,I.
 The Department may issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 399-G or any rule or order thereunder. RSA 399-G:19,I.

4. The Department may issue a cease and desist order against any person who it has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of the

Order - 1

provisions of RSA 399-G or any rule or order thereunder. RSA 399-G:19,II.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5. The Commissioner may issue, amend, or rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-G. RSA 399-G:20.IV.

6. The Commissioner has the authority to suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and rules or orders of the Commissioner. RSA 399-G:21,II and IV.

7. The Commissioner shall investigate conduct that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA Chapter 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct. RSA 383:10-d.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

8. The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on this Order, as well as the right to be represented by counsel at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If a Respondent fails to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true. RSA 399-G:18,I and RSA 399-G:19,II.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9. If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within 30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach a formal written and executed settlement with the Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent, and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

10. The <u>Complaint</u> dated September 2, 2010 (a copy of which is attached hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

ORDER

11. WHEREAS, pursuant to RSA 399-G:20,IV, finding this action and all resulting findings and orders herein necessary and appropriate to and in the public interest and for the protection of consumers, and consistent with the intent and purpose of the New Hampshire banking laws; and

12. WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Complaint, if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested;

Order - 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13. It is hereby ORDERED, that:

a. Respondent AlertPay Inc (www.AlertPay.com) ("Respondent AlertPay"), Respondent Corporate Wealth Management Inc (CWM (d/b/a www.alertservicesinc.com) ("Respondent CWM"), INC) Respondent Firoz Patel ("Respondent Firoz Patel") and Respondent Ferhan Patel ("Respondent Ferhan Patel") and any and all officers, members, managers, employees, independent contractors, or agents, operating on behalf of Respondent AlertPay and its successors or assigns (collectively "AlertPay") are hereby ordered to cease and desist from violating New Hampshire laws, including RSA Chapter 399-G, or any rule or order under RSA Chapter 399-G;

- b. The above named Respondents shall immediately cease taking applications or transmitting money from New Hampshire consumers until such time as Respondent AlertPay is properly licensed under RSA Chapter 399-G;
 - c. The above named Respondents shall immediately cease running any and all advertisements (including print, television, radio and any website) that do not specifically and conspicuously exclude New Hampshire consumers;
- d. Pursuant to RSA 399-G:5, RSA 399-G:13 and RSA 383:10-d, the above named Respondents shall immediately provide a current and complete list of all money transmissions conducted on behalf of New Hampshire consumers;
 - e. The above named Respondents shall show cause why

1		administrat	ive fines of \$	2,500.00 per violation should not be
2	imposed against them;			
3	f.	The above n	named Respondent	ts shall show cause why, in addition
4		to any pena	alties list in	Paragraph 5, Respondents shall not
5		have to pay	/ back-license d	fees totaling \$2,500.00;
6	g.	Nothing in	this Order :	shall prevent the Department from
7		taking any	further admini	strative action under New Hampshire
8		law;		
9				
10				SIGNED,
11				
12	Dated: <u>Septembe</u>	er 2, 2010		/s/ Robert A. Fleury for
13				PETER C. HILDRETH BANK COMMISSIONER
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
			Order -	5

1	State of New Hampshire Banking Department
2)Case No.: 07-303
2)
3	State of New Hampshire Banking
4)Complaint Department,)
5)September 2, 2010 Petitioner,)
6	and)
7) AlertPay Inc (www.AlertPay.com),)
8) Corporate Wealth Management Inc (CWM)
9) INC) (d/b/a www.alertservicesinc.com),)
10	Firoz Patel, and Ferhan Patel,
11	Respondents)
12	
	I. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND VIOLATIONS
13	The Staff of the State of New Hampshire Banking Department ("Department")
14	alleges the following facts:
15	1. AlertPay Inc (www.AlertPay.com) ("Respondent AlertPay") is a
16	corporation duly incorporated in Delaware May 18, 2005 but may also
17	have a principal location in Montreal, Quebec Canada, incorporated as
18	early as October 2004.
19	2. As of August 18, 2006, the Department has required entities engaging
20	in the business of money transmission to be licensed as a Money
21	Transmitter. RSA 399-G:2,I and II and RSA 399-G:5,I. RSA 399-G:1,VIII
22	defines a "money transmission" as "engaging in the business of selling
23	or issuing payment instruments or stored value, or receiving money or
24	monetary value for transmission to another location."
25	3. Respondent Alertpay has conducted unlicensed money transmission in the

1	State of New Hampshire in violation of RSA 399-G:3. See Paragraph 11.
2	4. Corporate Wealth Management Inc (CWM INC) (d/b/
3	www.alertservicesinc.com) ("Respondent CWM") is the parent company for
4	Respondent AlertPay and has addresses in both Montreal, Quebec Canad
5	and Champlain, New York.
6	5. Respondent CWM is registered with the New York Secretary of State bu
7	was duly incorporated in Delaware April 14, 2004.
8	6. Firoz Patel ("Respondent <u>Firoz Patel</u> ") is the Chief Executive Office
9	and Co-Founder of Respondent AlertPay.
10	7. Ferhan Patel ("Respondent <u>Ferhan Patel</u> ") is the Chief Information
11	Officer and Co-Founder of Respondent AlertPay.
12	8. On November 7, 2007 the Department sent a general inquiry letter t
13	Respondent Firoz Patel regarding Respondent AlertPay's possible
14	licensure requirements with the Department.
15	9. On November 26, 2007, the Department's investigator received an emai
16	from Respondent Firoz Patel stating the above named Respondents are i
17	the process of working on an application for licensure as a mone
18	transmitter.
19	10. In January 2008, the Department's investigator emailed Responden
20	Firoz Patel indicating the Department has not yet received the mone
21	transmitter license application. Respondents Firoz Patel and AlertPa
22	offered explanations for failing to file the money transmitter licens
23	application.
24	11. On or about November 12, 2009 (" <u>November 12 Letter</u> "), the Departmen
25	received correspondence from Respondent AlertPay. The correspondence

Complaint - 2

1	included a list of all money transmission transactions (1,562 total)
2	conducted in New Hampshire from October 2005 through October 28, 2009.
3	12. The November 12 Letter further explained:
4	a. Respondent AlertPay never solicited consumers in New Hampshire;
5	b. Respondent AlertPay was established as a payment solution
6	targeting Canadian businesses and their consumers;
7	c. Respondent AlertPay never advertised services in New Hampshire;
8	and
9	d. Respondent Alertpay updated its business operations model and a
10	third-party partner bank now handles the monetary instruments.
11	13. As of April 13, 2010, AlertPay's website showed wire transfer fees for
12	personal and business accounts. As of August 31, 2010, AlertPay's
13	website displays pictures and descriptions of money transmission for
14	consumers. Accordingly, the above named Respondents still operate as
15	unlicensed money transmitters.
16	II. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>
17	1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Complaint;
18	2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in
19	Section II of this Complaint;
20	3. Order the above named Respondents to cease and desist from violating
21	New Hampshire laws, including RSA Chapter 399-G, or any rule or order
22	under RSA Chapter 399-G;
23	4. Order the above named Respondents to immediately cease taking
24	applications or transmitting money from New Hampshire consumers until
25	such time as Respondent AlertPay is properly licensed under RSA
	Complaint - 3
	Complaint - 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chapter 399-G;

- 5. Order the above named Respondents to immediately cease running any and all advertisements (including print, television, radio and any website) that do not specifically and conspicuously exclude New Hampshire consumers;
 - 6. Pursuant to RSA 399-G:5, RSA 399-G:13 and RSA 383:10-d, the above named Respondents shall immediately provide a current and complete list of all money transmissions conducted on behalf of New Hampshire consumers;
 - 7. Assess administrative fines in an amount not to exceed \$2,500.00 per violation as follows:
- 12

a. Respondent AlertPay:

Violation #1: Unlicensed money transmission activity

b. Respondent CWM:

Violation #1: Unlicensed money transmission activity c. Respondent Firoz Patel:

Violation #1: Unlicensed money transmission activity

d. Respondent Ferhan Patel:

Violation #1: Unlicensed money transmission activity
8. Order the above named Respondents to show cause why they should not
have to pay back license fees totaling \$2,500.00 (which represents
\$500.00 per year for years 2006 through 2010);

9. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.

1	III. <u>RIGHT TO AMEND</u>		
2	The Department reserves the right to amend this Complaint and to request		
3	that the Commissioner take additional administrative action. Nothing herein		
4	shall preclude the Department from bringing additional enforcement action		
5	under RSA Chapter 399-G or the regulations thereunder.		
6			
7			
8	/s/ <u>September 2, 2010</u>		
9	Maryam Torben Desfosses Date Hearings Examiner		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Complaint - 5		