# State of New Hampshire Banking Department

) Case No.: 07-234

) Order To Show Cause

with Immediate Suspension

Cease and Desist Order

2

4

7

8

9

1

3 | In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

5 | Department,

6 Petitioner,

and

First Magnus Financial Corporation,

Gurpreet Jaggi, Thomas W. Sullivan,

10 || Sr. and, Thomas W. Sullivan, Jr.,

11 | Respondents

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18 the banking department may issue a cease and desist order against any licensee or person who it has reasonable cause to

### NOTICE OF ORDER

This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA 541A:31, RSA 397-A, and BAN 204.03.

# LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Commissioner of the New Hampshire Banking Department (hereinafter "the Department") may issue an Order to Show Cause why a license should not be revoked. RSA 397-A:17 states that the Department may issue such Order when a licensee engages in an unethical business practice. It further states the Commissioner may take actions for immediate suspension consistent with RSA 541-A:30 III. RSA 397-A:21 states the Commissioner may impose penalties of up to \$2,500 per violation of the chapter.

believe is in violation of the provisions of this chapter or any rule or order under this chapter.

2.1

Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d the Commissioner shall investigate conduct that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3, I or that may violate any of the provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct. The Commissioner may utilize all remedies available under the Act.

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named respondents have the right to request a hearing on this Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist Order, as well as the right to be represented by counsel. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the respondents or by the duly authorized agent of the above named respondents, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt request, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 64B Old Suncook Road, Concord, NH 03301. Hearings will be conducted within 10 days of such request.

If respondents fail to request a hearing or respond to the orders within 30 calendar days of receipt of these orders, respondent shall be deemed in default, the penalties requested will be imposed, and the Cease and Desist will be become permanent on the 31<sup>st</sup> day.

If any respondent fails to appear at any duly noticed hearing he shall likewise be deemed in default.

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated August 20, 2007 (a copy of which is attached hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

### ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws, and

WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition, if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested,

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

- Respondent First Magnus Financial Corporation (FMFC) shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$ 1,035,000.00 should not be imposed; and
- 2. Respondent Gurpreet Jaggi shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$1,035,000.00 should not be imposed against him personally; and
- 3. Respondent Thomas W. Sullivan Jr. shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$1,035,000.00 should not be imposed against him personally; and
- 4. Respondent Thomas W. Sullivan Jr. shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$1,035,000.00 should not be imposed against him personally; and
- 5. Respondent FMFC shall show cause why its license should not be revoked; and
- 6. The Respondents shall immediately Cease and Desist from all violations of New Hampshire law and the rules promulgated thereunder; and

# It is hereby ORDERED that:

7. Respondent FMFC shall immediately fund the 20 outstanding loans or provide for their immediate funding at no cost to the consumer and no change of terms with some alternate lender licensed in New Hampshire; and

1	FINDING that the public welfare requires emergency action it is FURTHER
2	ORDERED that:
3	8. The license of First Magnus Financial Corporation is
4	immediately SUSPENDED; and
5	9. An adjudicative hearing regarding the allegations of the staff
6	petition shall be scheduled within ten working days of the
7	date of this order.
8	SIGNED,
9	Dated: 8/20/07 /S/ PETER C. HILDRETH BANK COMMISSIONER
10	BANK COMMISSIONER
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# State of New Hampshire Banking Department

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

3 | In re the Matter of:

) Case No.: 07-234

4 | State of New Hampshire Banking

) Staff Petition

Department,

)

Petitioner,

) August 20, 2007

and

•

Gurpreet Jaggi, Thomas W. Sullivan,

First Magnus Financial Corporation,

Sr. and, Thomas W. Sullivan, Jr.,

Respondents

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- I. The staff of the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire (hereinafter referred to as the "Department") alleges the following facts:
  - Respondent First Magnus Financial Corporation (FMFC) is licensed as a
    mortgage banker doing business in its own name and as Charter Funding
    and Mortgage Concepts and has held a license with the Department since
    at least 2002.
  - Respondent's principal office is currently located at 603 North Wilmot Rd. Tucson, Arizona.
  - 3. Respondent Gurpreet Jaggi is the president of FMFC and a partial owner.
  - 4. Respondent Thomas W. Sullivan Sr. is chairman of the board of FMFC and a partial owner.
  - 5. Respondent Thomas W. Sullivan Jr. is the vice president and treasurer of FMFC and a partial owner.

- 6. On August 16, 2007 a New Hampshire branch manager for FMFC sent an email to several FMFC employees communicating that FMFC was immediately ceasing all operations and had no ability to fund loans for which it had already made commitments.
- 7. As of this petition date, New Hampshire branch phone numbers have been disconnected and branches have shut their doors.
- 8. As of this petition date, the Department has received no notification directly from FMFC regarding their apparent inability to fund loans or their decision to immediately cease operations.
- 9. On or about August 17, 2007 the Department received a list of all FMFC loans that were marked "DocsSentButNotFunded." This list appears to represent loans which were "closed" but not funded as of that time. This list included 20 New Hampshire loans totaling approximately \$3.3 million.
- 10. FMFC's website states that it has no intention of funding such loans.
- 11. On or about August 17, 2007 the Department received a list of all FMFC loans that were marked "uwApprovedNotFunded." This list appears to represent loans which have not yet closed but for which consumers have been given assurances of funding. This list includes 394 New Hampshire loans totaling approximately \$79 million.
- 12. FMFC's website indicates that FMFC has no intention of honoring its commitments on these 394 loans.
- 13. FMFC currently has a bond in the amount of \$20,000.00 in place.
- 14. As a result of the Respondents' failure to observe sound business practices, New Hampshire consumers have suffered financial and other losses.
- 15. FMFC and its principals have a currently active license and therefore have the ability to continue their illegal practices.

2.1

- The Banking Department ("Department"), has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons engaged in first mortgage banker / broker activities pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:3.
- 2. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:5 licensees are required to have financial integrity, a positive net worth and a net worth in excess of \$100,000.00 in order to post a \$20,000.00 bond.
- 3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:14-b licensees are required to fund loans at closing.
- 4. RSA 397-A:21 IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of RSA 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, or an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire banking laws. Respondents are subject to revocation and/or administrative fines for violations of RSA 397-A.
- 5. RSA 397-A:21 V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer, or director of such person, who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of an registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an

administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire banking laws. Respondents Gurpreet Jaggi, Thomas W. Sullivan, Sr., and Thomas W. Sullivan Sr. are each subject to revocation and/or administrative fines for violations of RSA 397-A.

- 6. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17 the commissioner may issue an order requiring a person to whom any license has been granted or any person under the commissioner's jurisdiction to show cause why the license should not be revoked, suspended, or penalties imposed, or both, for violations of this chapter. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17 I(k) respondent FMFC is subject to license revocation for engaging in dishonest or unethical practices in the conduct of the business of making or collecting mortgage loans.
- 7. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17 the banking department may take action for immediate suspension of a license if the department finds that public health, safety or welfare requires emergency action.
- 8. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18 the banking department may issue a cease and desist order against any licensee or person who it has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of the provisions of this chapter or any rule or order under this chapter.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

The staff of the Banking Department requests the Commissioner take the following action:

- 1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of the Statement of Allegations of this petition.
- Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in section
   II of the Statement of Allegations of this petition.

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23
- 3. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance RSA 397-A:21, for violations of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, in the number and amount equal to the violations set forth in section I of the Statement of Allegations of this petition. Respondents are each subject to an administrative penalty of \$2,500 for each violation of the Chapter.
- 4. Order Respondent to show cause why their license should not be revoked.
- 5. Order respondents to cease and desist from further violation of NH Law.
- 6. Immediately suspend the license of First Magnus Financial Corporation.
- 7. Take such other administrative and legal actions as are necessary for enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking laws, the protection of New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.

### RIGHT TO AMEND

The Department reserves the right to amend this Petition for Relief and that the Banking Department Commissioner take additional administrative action. Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional enforcement action under RSA 397-A, RSA 383:10-d or the regulations thereunder.

Respectfully submitted by:

Staff Attorney

21

James Shepard

24

25

8/20/07 Date