## State of New Hampshire Banking Department

2

4

5

7

8

1

3 In re the Matter of:

) Case No.: 07-037

State of New Hampshire Banking

) Order To Show Cause

Department,

)

6 Petitioner,

and

Emax Financial Group,

Mitchell Heffernon, and James E.

10 | Pedrick

Respondents

12

13

14

15

11

### NOTICE OF ORDER

This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA 541-A:31, RSA 397-A, and BAN 204.03.

16

17

#### LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Commissioner of the New Hampshire Banking

18

Department (hereinafter "the Department") may issue an Order to Show Cause

19 20

Department may issue such Order when a licensee fails to meet the standards

why a license should not be revoked. RSA 397-A:17 states that the

up to \$2,500 per violation of the chapter.

21

in the Chapter. RSA 397-A:21 states the Commissioner may impose penalties of

22

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18 the banking department may issue a cease and

24

25

desist order against any licensee or person who it has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of the provisions of this chapter or any rule or order

under this chapter.

Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d the Commissioner shall investigate conduct that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3, I or that may violate any of the provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct. The Commissioner may utilize all remedies available under the Act.

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named respondents have the right to request a hearing on this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the respondents or by the duly authorized agent of the above named respondents, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt request, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 64B Old Suncook Road, Concord, NH 03301. Hearings will be conducted within 10 days of such request.

If respondents fail to request a hearing or respond to the orders within 30 calendar days of receipt of these orders, respondent shall be deemed in default, the penalties requested will be imposed, and the Cease and Desist will be become permanent on the 31<sup>st</sup> day.

# STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The <u>Staff Petition</u> dated February 7, 2007 (a copy of which is attached hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

## ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws, and

| 1  | WHEREAS,   | finding that   | the allegatio   | ns contained in the Staf | f Petition, |  |
|----|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| 2  | if proved true   | proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested, |                 |                          |             |  |
| 3  | It is hereby ORDERED, that:  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 4  | 1.   | Respondent E   | max shall show  | cause why penalties in   | the amount  |  |
| 5  |  | of \$2,500.00  | should not be   | imposed; and             |             |  |
| 6  | 2.   | Respondent M   | Mitchell Heffer | non shall show cause why | penalties   |  |
|    |  | in the amoun   | t of \$2,500.00 | should not be imposed;   | and         |  |
| 7  | 3. Respondent James E. Pedrick shall show cause why penaltie   |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 8  | the amount of \$2,500.00 should not be imposed; and  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 9  | 4. Respondent Emax shall show cause why its license should not b   |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 10 | revoked; and   |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 11 | 5. Failure to request a hearing within 30 days of the date of  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 12 | receipt of this Order shall result in a default judgment beir  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 13 | rendered, the Cease and Desist Order shall become permanent and administrative penalties shall be imposed upon the |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 14 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 15 |  | defaulting R   | espondent.      |                          |             |  |
| 16 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
|    |  |  |                 | SIGNED,                  |             |  |
| 17 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 18 | Dated: Februar   | y 8, 2007  |                 | /S/<br>PETER C. HILDRETH | _           |  |
| 19 |  |  |                 | BANK COMMISSIONER        |             |  |
| 20 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 21 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 22 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 23 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 24 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
| 25 |  |  |                 |                          |             |  |
|    | i  |  |                 |                          |             |  |

07-037 Public Documents - Page 4 of 8

4. Respondent Heffernon was also until recently the president and CEO and

majority owner of Mortgage Lender's Network USA (MLN).

5. Respondent James E.Pedrick is the President of Emax.

24

25

- 6. Respondent Pedrick was also until recently the Executive Vice-President of MLN.
- 7. On information and belief Respondents Heffernon and Pedrick were in that position of control over MLN until it declared bankruptcy on February 5, 2007.
- 8. On information and belief the actions or inactions of Respondents

  Heffernon and Pedrick were materially contributory in the failure of

  MLN.
- 9. As a result of the failure to observe sound business practices by

  Respondents Heffernon and Pedrick, New Hampshire consumers have suffered

  financial and other losses with the failure of MLN.
- 10. It is reasonable to conclude that such unsound business practices stand a reasonable chance of repetition at Respondent Emax.
- 11. Persons subject to licensure under 397-A are required to fund loans at closing.
- 12. Respondent Heffernon was the president, chief executive officer and majority owner of MLN when it violated the law on at least 11 occasions by failing to fund 11 loans at closing.
- 13. Respondent Pedrick was the Executive Vice-President, Sales Director and 20% owner at MLN when it violated the law on at least 11 occasions by failing to fund 11 loans at closing.
- 14. Respondents Heffernon and Pedrick are currently subject to an administrative action as a result of said violations and it is reasonable to conclude that such violations could recur at Respondent Emax.
- 15. Had these conditions existed at the time of license application the Commissioner would have denied Respondent Emax's license.

- 16. Respondent Emax currently has posted a \$20,000 bond. This bond level is inappropriate pursuant to RSA 397-A:5 given the current dealings of Respondent Heffernon and Respondent Pedrick.
- II. The staff of the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire alleges the following issues of law:
  - 1. The Banking Department ("Department"), has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons engaged in first mortgage banker / broker activities pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:3.
  - 2. Mortgage Servicing Companies are required to be registered pursuant to RSA 397-B:2.
  - 3. Licensees under RSA 397-A are exempt from the registration provisions of 397-B pursuant to 397-B:10.
  - 4. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:5 licensees are required to have financial integrity, a positive net worth and a net worth in excess of \$100,000.00 in order to post a bond in the limited amount of \$20,000.00.
  - 5. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:5 the Commissioner is charged with determining whether an applicant's financial resources, experience, personnel, and record of past or proposed conduct warrants the public confidence and the issuance of a license at time of application.
  - 6. RSA 397-A:21 IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of RSA 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, or an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any

criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire banking laws. Respondents are subject to revocation and/or administrative fines for violations of RSA 397-A.

- 7. RSA 397-A:21 V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer, or director of such person, who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of an registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire banking laws. Respondents are subject to revocation and/or administrative fines for violations of RSA 397-A.
- 8. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17 the commissioner may issue an order requiring a person to whom any license has been granted or any person under the commissioner's jurisdiction to show cause why the license should not be revoked, suspended, or penalties imposed, or both, if the licensee fails to meet the standards of this chapter. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17 I(b) respondents are subject to license revocation and fines.
- 9. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18 the banking department may issue a cease and desist order against any licensee or person who it has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of the provisions of this chapter or any rule or order under this chapter.

### RELIEF REQUESTED

Staff Attorney

additional