State of New Hampshire Banking Department (Case No.: 06-136) In re the Matter of: (Case No.: 06-136) (

NOTICE OF ORDER

This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A and RSA Chapter 541-A.

LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or order thereunder.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20,IV the Commissioner may issue, amend, or rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of the Chapter.

Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and rules.

Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA Chapter 358-A and exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the provisions of Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If the Respondent fails to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent upon consideration of the Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true.

1 30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach a formal written and 2 executed settlement with the Department within that time frame, then such 3 person shall likewise be deemed in default, and the orders shall, on the 4 5 thirty-first day, become permanent, and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause 6 shown. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

The Staff Petition dated July 10, 2009 (a copy of which is attached hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within

ORDER

WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire banking laws; and

WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition, if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested;

It is hereby ORDERED, that:

- 1. Respondent Equistar Financial Corporation ("Respondent Equistar") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$12,500.00 should not be imposed against it;
- 2. Respondent William Christophe Losch ("Respondent Losch") shall show cause why penalties in the amount of \$15,000.00 should not be imposed against him;
- 3. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 2 above, the

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2.4

2.4

fine for \$2,500.00 for the late filing of the 2004 annual report as a first mortgage banker should not be paid to the Department;

- 4. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above, the fine for \$2,500.00 for the late filing of the 2004 annual report as a second mortgage home loan lender should not be paid to the Department;
- 5. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 4 above, the fine for \$1,575.00 for the late filing of the 2004 financial statement as a first mortgage banker should not be paid to the Department;
- 6. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above, the fine for \$1,575.00 for the late filing of the 2004 financial statement as a second mortgage home loan lender should not be paid to the Department;
- 7. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 6 above, the fine for \$925.00 for the late filing of the 2005 annual report should not be paid to the Department;
- 8. The above named Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for the above amounts alleged in Paragraphs 1 through 7 above;

1	9. The above named Respondents shall show cause why, in addition
2	to the penalties listed in Paragraphs 1 through 8 above,
3	Respondent Equistar's license should not be revoked.
4	It is hereby further ORDERED that:
5	10. Along with the administrative penalties listed for the above
6	named Respondents, the outstanding sum of \$9,075.00 shall be
7	immediately paid; and
8	11. Failure to request a hearing within 30 days of the date of
9	receipt or valid delivery of this Order shall result in a
10	default judgment being rendered and administrative penalties
11	imposed upon the defaulting Respondent(s).
12	
13	
14	
15	SIGNED,
16	
17	Dated: 07/14/09
18	BANK COMMISSIONER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1. Respondent Equistar Financial Corporation (hereinafter "Respondent Equistar") was licensed as a Mortgage Banker from at least July 16, 2002 until its license expired on December 31, 2005. Respondent Equistar also had a Second Mortgage Home Loan Lender license (when separately licensed per statute) from May 7, 2004 until September 12, 2005.
- 2. Respondent William Christophe Losch (hereinafter "Respondent Losch") was the President and Director of Respondent Equistar, when licensed by the Department.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Facts Common on All Counts:

24

25

<u>Violation of RSA 397-A:13,VI Failure of Officer and Owner to Respond to</u>

Department Inquiries (1 Count):

2.4

- 3. Paragraphs 1 through 2 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
- 4. Respondent Equistar was licensed in 2004 and therefore, subject to the filing of the 2004 annual report of business.
- Respondent Equistar's 2004 annual report was due on or before
 February 1, 2005.
- 6. Respondent Equistar filed its 2004 annual report as a first mortgage banker the 2004 annual report as a second mortgage home loan lender 141 days late on June 22, 2005, generating a fine of \$2,500.00 (\$25.00 a day x 141 days with a maximum statutory cap of \$2,500.00).
- 7. The Department sent the above named Respondents invoices June 27, 2005, July 29, 2005, and finally on August 30, 2005.
- 8. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to respond to the invoices.
- 9. The Department sent one last letter on March 3, 2006 via U.S.

 Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, which a Nick Thomas signed for on March 10, 2006.
- 10. The above named Respondents failed to respond to the March 3, 2006 correspondence from the Department.
- 11. To date, Respondent Equistar has failed to pay the \$5,000.00 total

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

late filing of the 2004 annual report fee.

12. According to notes found in the Respondent Equistar file, a representative of Respondent Equistar did contact the Department on or about July 13, 2006 desiring to pay the outstanding fees, but failed to make such payments or follow-up with Department.

Violation of RSA 397-A:13, IV Failure to Pay Late Penalty Owed for Late Filing of 2004 Financial Statement(2 Counts):

- 13. Paragraphs 1 through 11 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
- 14. Respondent Equistar's 2004 two financial statements (one as a first mortgage banker and one as a second mortgage home loan lender) were due on or before March 31, 2005.
- 15. Respondent Equistar was still licensed at the time its financial statement filings were due to the Department.
- 16. The Department received Respondent Equistar's two 2004 financial statements on June 2, 2005, 63 days late, which generated a fine of \$1,575.00 (\$25.00 a day x 63 days).
- 17. The Department sent an invoice for \$1,575.00 on June 10, 2005 for each of the two licenses held by Respondent Equistar.
- 18. A second invoice was sent by the Department on July 14, 2005.
- 19. The above named Respondents failed to respond to all such invoices.
- 20. To date, Respondent Equistar has failed to pay the \$3,150.00 total late filing fee of the two 2004 financial statements.

21. According to notes found in the Respondent Equistar file, a representative of Respondent Equistar did contact the Department on or about July 13, 2006 desiring to pay the outstanding fees, but failed to make such payments or follow-up with the Department.

Violation of RSA 397-A:13, IV Failure to Pay Late Penalty Owed for Late Filing of 2005 Annual Report (1 Count):

- 22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are hereby realleged as fully set forth herein.
- 23. Respondent Equistar was licensed in 2005 and therefore, subject to the filing of the 2005 annual report of business.
- 24. Respondent Equistar's 2005 annual report was due on or before February 1, 2006.
- 25. Respondent Equistar filed its 2005 annual report on March 10, 2006, 37 days late, generating a fine of $$925.00 \ ($25.00 \ a \ day \ x \ 37 \ days)$.
- 26. The Department sent the above named Respondents invoices March 14, 2006, April 14, 2006 and a third notice on June 13, 2006.
- 27. The Department sent a fourth letter on June 15, 2006.
- 28. To date, the above named Respondents have failed to respond to the invoices.
- 29. To date, Respondent Equistar has failed to pay the \$925.00 late filing of the 2005 annual report fee.

II. ISSUES OF LAW

The staff of the Department, alleges the following issues of law:

2.4

- 1. The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1 through 29 as fully set forth herein.
- 2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3.
- 3. In 2004 and 2005, RSA 397-A:13,I provided that a licensee shall file its annual report on or before February 1 each year concerning operations for the preceding year or license period ending December 31.
- 4. In 2004 and 2005, RSA 397-A:13,II provided that a licensee shall file its financial statement with the Commissioner within 90 days from the date of its fiscal year end.
- 5. In RSA 397-A:13, IV provided and still provides that any mortgage banker or mortgage broker failing to file either the annual report or the financial statement required by RSA Chapter 397-A within the time prescribed may be required to pay to the Department a penalty of \$25.00 for each calendar day the annual report or financial statement is overdue, up to a maximum penalty of \$2,500.00 per report or statement. Each of the above named Respondents violated this provision on at least five occasions as alleged above. The above named Respondents filed the 2004 annual report 141 days late, and incurred a penalty of \$2,500.00. The above named Respondents filed the 2005 annual report 37 days late, and incurred a penalty of \$925.00. The above named Respondents

filed a financial statement that was 63 days late, and incurred a penalty of \$1,575.00.

- 6. RSA 397-A:13,VI provides that any officer, owner, manager or agent of any licensee shall reply promptly in writing, or other designated form, to any written inquiry from the Department.

 Respondent Losch violated this provision on at least one occasion as alleged above.
- 7. RSA 397-A:17,I provides in part that the Commissioner may by order, upon due notice and opportunity for hearing, assess penalties or deny, suspend, or revoke a license or application if it is in the public interest and the applicant, respondent, or licensee, any partner, officer, member, or director, any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or any person directly or indirectly controlling the applicant, respondent, or licensee: (a) has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or rules thereunder, or (b) has not met the standards established in RSA Chapter 397-A.
- 8. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A or orders thereunder.
- 9. RSA 397-A:21, IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or negligently, violates any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, may upon hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law,

21

22

23

2.4

25

be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.

10. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every partner, principal executive officer or director of such person, every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties imposed.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following action:

1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff

1	Petition;
2	2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in
3	section II of this Staff Petition;
4	3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named
5	Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
6	4. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA
7	397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and
8	amount equal to the violations set forth in section II of this
9	Staff Petition; and
10	5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for
11	enforcement of the New Hampshire Banking Laws, the protection of
12	New Hampshire citizens, and to provide other equitable relief.
13	IV. RIGHT TO AMEND
14	The Department reserves the right to amend this Staff Petition and to
15	request that the Commissioner take additional administrative action.
16	Nothing herein shall preclude the Department from bringing additional
17	enforcement action under RSA Chapter 397-A or the regulations thereunder.
18	
19	
20	Respectfully submitted by:
21	
22	/s/ Maryam Torben Desfosses Date
23	Hearings Examiner
24	
25	