In re: JHass Group L.L.C., Jeremy Ryan Hass, Jason David Hass, and Jeffrey Michael Hass
Case No.: 13-132

### CONSENT ORDER

The State of New Hampshire Banking Department (the "Department") finds and Orders as follows:

# Respondent

- 1. JHass Group L.L.C. ("JHass Group") was a limited liability company duly formed in the State of Arizona on February 28, 2008 with a principal office location in Scottsdale, Arizona. JHass Group registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State on February 19, 2009. JHass Group was a Department-licensed Debt Adjuster from October 11, 2010 until its license expired on December 31, 2012.
- 2. Jeremy Ryan Hass ("Jeremy Hass") was at least the 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Officer of JHass Group.
- 3. Jason David Hass ("Jason Hass") was at least the 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Officer of JHass Group.
- 4. Jeffrey Michael Hass ("Jeffrey Hass") was at least the 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Officer of JHass Group.

5. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as "Respondents."

### Jurisdiction

- 6. The Department is authorized to regulate debt adjusters pursuant to RSA Chapter 399-D. RSA 399-D:1 and RSA 399-D:3.
- 7. The Commissioner has jurisdiction to issue orders to cease and desist from violations under RSA Chapter 399-D and to assess penalties pursuant to RSA Chapter 399-D. RSA 399-D:13 and RSA 399-D:23.
- 8. The Department may assess administrative fines not to exceed \$2,500.00 for each violation of RSA Chapter 399-D. RSA 399-D:24, IV and V.

#### Facts

- 9. While a Department-licensed Debt Adjuster, Respondents sold JHass to Massage Bliss on January 3, 2011 without first surrendering the license in violation of RSA 399-D:18,I. Respondents have fully cooperated with the Department by providing the surrender documentation.
- 10. Further, Respondents' transfer of JHass' Debt Adjuster license to Massage Bliss without the Commissioner's prior approval violated RSA 399-D:7,VII.

## Violation(s) of Law and Penalties

- 11. Respondents failed to surrender their license prior to selling their business, in violation of RSA 399-D:18,I.
- 12. Respondents failed to obtain the Commissioner's approval prior to transferring the license to Massage Bliss, in violation of RSA 399-D:7,VII.

### Respondents' Consent and Acknowledgments

- 13. Respondents hereby acknowledge that were an administrative hearing to be held in this matter, the Department would introduce evidence demonstrating that Respondents violated RSA Chapter 399-D.
- 14. Respondents have voluntarily entered into this Consent Order without reliance upon any discussions between the Department and Respondents, without promise of a benefit of any kind (other than concessions contained in this Consent Order), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. Respondents further acknowledge their understanding of the nature of the allegations set forth in this action, including the potential penalties provided by law.
- 15. Respondents hereby acknowledge, understand, and agree that they have the right to notice and hearing hereby waive said rights. Respondents hereby further

acknowledge they waive the filing of any civil actions related to this matter.

## Order

- 16. Whereas pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,VI, this Consent Order is necessary, appropriate and in the public interest and consistent with the intent and purposes of New Hampshire banking laws, the Department Orders as follows:
  - a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:23,I and II, Respondents shall cease and desist from conducting unlicensed debt adjuster activity and from violating RSA Chapter 399-D; and
  - b. Respondents shall pay to the Department \$1,250.00 in administrative fines as follows. All checks shall be bank checks or guaranteed funds and made payable to "State of New Hampshire":
    - An initial payment of \$312.50, payable contemporaneously with Respondents' execution of this Consent Order; and
    - \$312.50 on the 15th of each month for 3 months, beginning with the month following the effective date of this Consent Order, which is upon the Commissioner's signature.
- 17. The Consent Order finally and completely concludes

this matter against Respondents. This Consent Order

may still be revoked and the Department may pursue any

and all remedies available under law, if the

Department later finds that Respondents knowingly or

willfully withheld information used and relied upon in

this Consent Order.

18. This Consent Order is binding on all heirs, assigns,

and/or successors in interest.

19. This Consent Order shall become effective upon the

date the Commissioner signs this Consent Order,

providing the Department has confirmed the payments

referenced in Paragraph 16.b. herein.

20. Once this Consent Order is effective, the Department

agrees not to seek further reimbursement, refunds,

penalties, fines, costs, or fees regarding the facts,

allegations, or findings of violations contained

herein.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, we have set our hands to

this Consent Order, effective upon its execution by Glenn

A. Perlow, Bank Commissioner.

Recommended this 2nd day of April, 2014 by

/s/

Maryam Torben Desfosses,

Hearings Examiner, Banking Department

Executed this $\underline{16th}$ day of $\underline{April}$ , 2014 by
/s/ Mr. Jeffrey Michael Hass, on his own behalf and as 33.33%
Mr. Jeffrey Michael Hass, on his own behalf and as 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Office of JHass Group L.L.C.
Executed this $\underline{14th}$ day of $\underline{April}$ , 2014 by
/s/
/s/ Mr. Jeremy Ryan Hass, on his own behalf and as 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Office of JHass Group L.L.C.
Executed this <u>14th</u> day of <u>April</u> , 2014 by
/s/
/s/ Mr. Jason David Hass, on his own behalf and as 33.33% owner and Chief Executive Office of JHass Group L.L.C.
SO ORDERED.