NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A (including RSA 397-A:17,I and II, RSA 397-A:18,I and II, and RSA 397-A:20,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-A:21,IV and V.
 - 3. Respondents could be assessed a fine and penalty in an amount not to exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation or failure to comply with the requirements of the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008. RSA 397-A:17, IX.

RESPONDENTS

3. Relief Law Center, Inc., a California Professional Corporation (d/b/a Relief Law Center, and a/k/a and d/b/a USA Loan Auditors) ("Respondent RLC") is a corporation duly incorporated in the State of California on December 31, 2009, with a principal office location in San Diego, California with

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 1

4. The Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System & Registry ("NMLS") does not indicate that Respondent RLC or USA Loan Auditors has ever held a license as a mortgage broker. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent RLC or USA Loan Auditors has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license.

- 5. The government agencies who have taken action against Respondent RLC are as follows:
- a. April 7, 2010: Idaho Department of Finance issued an Order to Cease and Desist against Relief Law Center, also known as USA Loan Auditors;
- b. May 24, 2010: Wisconsin Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Relief Law Center d/b/a USA Loan Auditors;
- c. July 30, 2010: Arkansas Securities Commissioner filed a Cease and Desist Order against Relief Law Center d/b/a USA Loan Auditors; and
- d. January 11, 2011: Pennsylvania Department of Banking issued an Order against Relief Law Center d/b/a USA Loan Auditors.
- 6. Matthew Michael McCormick ("Respondent McCormick") is listed in documents obtained by the Department from Legalzoom (Respondent RLC's

- registered agent) as the President, Director, Treasurer and Secretary of
 Respondent RLC. Respondent McCormick was a California attorney from June 7,
 1996 until he was disbarred on January 1, 2011. Respondent McCormick is a
 Control person (RSA 397-A:1,V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1,XIX), a Direct
 Owner (RSA 397-A:1,VI-a), and a Person (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII).
 - 7. NMLS does not indicate that Respondent McCormick has ever held a license as a mortgage broker or mortgage loan originator. The Department's records do not indicate that Respondent McCormick has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license or New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.

- 8. Edward Johnson ("Respondent Johnson") is listed as a Negotiator on Respondent RLC Loan Modification documentation for a New Hampshire consumer.

 Respondent Johnson is an unlicensed New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator (RSA 397-A:1,XVII) and a Person (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII).
- 9. NMLS does not indicate that Respondent Johnson has ever held a license as a mortgage loan originator. The Department's records do not indicate that Respondent Johnson has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.
- 10. Ben Carter ("Respondent Carter") is listed as a Negotiator on Respondent RLC loan modification documentation for a New Hampshire consumer.

 Respondent Carter is an unlicensed New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII) and a Person (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII).
- 11. NMLS does not indicate that Respondent Carter has ever held a license as a mortgage loan originator. The Department's records do not indicate that Respondent Carter has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan

Originator license.

1

4

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as 2 "Respondents". 3

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

5 Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives 6 the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a 7 hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall 8

comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.

- 10 If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the 11 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, 12 allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect 13 14 until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. 397-A:17, I and RSA 397-A:18. 15
- A default may result in administrative fines as described 16 Paragraphs 2 and 3 above. 17

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- In 2010, during a scheduled examination of a Department licensee, the Department discovered Respondent RLC had conducted business with at least one New Hampshire consumer regarding a mortgage loan modification with that Department licensee.
- On January 13, 2010, Respondents contracted with Consumer A to modify Consumer A's mortgage loan without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license, 25 in violation of RSA 397-A:3,I.

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 4

- 1 | 18. The Department discovered Respondent Johnson transacted business in
- 2 | New Hampshire as a mortgage loan originator from on or about January 13,
- 3 | 2010 for Consumer A without a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator
- 4 | license, in violation of RSA 397-A:3,II and RSA 397-A:14,IV(d).
- 5 | 19. The Department discovered Respondent Carter transacted business in New
- 6 | Hampshire as a mortgage loan originator from on or about March 30, 2010 for
- 7 | Consumer A without a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license, in
- 8 | violation of RSA 397-A:3, II and RSA 397-A:14, IV(d).
- 9 | 20. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 10 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent RLC at the Santa Ana, California
- 11 | address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department, and
- 12 | requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers. Respondents
- 13 || received the correspondence on August 15, 2011. The Department did not
- 14 | receive a response to this correspondence.
- 15 | 21. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 16 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent RLC at two different Irvine,
- 17 | California addresses, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
- 18 | Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers.
- 19 | Both letters were returned to the Department on August 22, 2011 as "return
- 20 | to sender" and "unable to forward."
- 21 | 22. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 22 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent RLC at the San Diego, California
- 23 | address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department, and
- 24 | requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers. The letter was
- 25 | returned to the Department on August 15, 2011 and stated "Relief Law Center"

- Inc Box Closed; unable to forward, return to sender."
- 2 | 23. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 3 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent RLC at the Orange, California
- 4 | address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department, and
- 5 || requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers. Respondents
- 6 | received the correspondence on August 13, 2011. The Department did not
- 7 | receive a response to this correspondence.

- 8 | 24. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 9 Mail return receipt requested to Respondent McCormick at the San Diego,
- 10 | California address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
- 11 Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers.
- 12 | The letter was returned to the Department on August 15, 2011 and stated
- 13 | "McCormick Box Closed; unable to forward, return to sender."
- 14 | 25. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 15 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent McCormick at the Santa Ana,
- 16 | California address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
- 17 Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers.
- 18 Respondents received the correspondence on August 15, 2011. The Department
- 19 did not receive a response to this correspondence.
- 20 26. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 21 | Mail return receipt requested to Respondent McCormick at the Sacramento,
- 22 | California address listed for Respondent McCormick with the California State
- 23 | Bar, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department, and
- 24 | requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers. The letter was
- 25 | returned to the Department on August 30, 2011 and stated "Freedom Law Center"

1	Box Closed; unable to forward, return to sender." Freedom Law Center was
2	another entity for which Respondent McCormick conducted activity and for
3	which the California disbarment occurred.
4	27. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via electronic mail
5	to Respondent RLC suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
6	Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers. On
7	August 10, 2011, the delivery status came back as "failed."
8	28. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via electronic mail
9	to Respondent McCormick suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
10	Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers.
11	Respondent McCormick did not respond and the Department did not receive a
12	notice that the electronic mail failed.
13	29. On August 10, 2011, the Department sent a letter via electronic mail
14	to Respondents Johnson and Carter suggesting Respondents apply for licensure
15	with the Department, and requesting documents relative to New Hampshire
16	consumers. Respondents did not respond and the Department did not receive a
17	notice that the electronic mail failed.
18	30. To date, Respondents have failed to provide the information requested
19	by the Department, in violation of RSA 397-A:12,I.
20	
21	/s/ November 10, 2011 Date
22	Hearings Examiner
23	ORDER
24	31. I hereby find as follows:

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 7

a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, I, the facts as alleged above, if true,

show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;

- b. Pursuant to 397-A:20,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws;
- c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,II and RSA 397-A:18,II, reasonable cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and
- d. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I and RSA 397-A:18,II, if any Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true.

32. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 397-A and rules or orders thereunder;
- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have residential mortgage loan modification activity and a status of those accounts. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with monies charged, collected and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits as related to unlicensed activity that occurred with or for New Hampshire consumers;
 - d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to

```
a maximum of $2,500.00 per violation (as stated in Counts below) should not
1
    be imposed as follows:
2
3
                (1). Respondent RLC:
                     #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA 397-A:3,I) -
4
5
                     1 Count;
                     #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-A:12,I) - 1
6
 7
                     Count;
                (2). Respondent McCormick (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
8
                     Principal):
9
                     #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA 397-A:3,I) -
10
                     1 Count;
11
                     #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-A:12,I) - 1
12
13
                     Count;
14
                (3). Respondent Johnson (Unlicensed Mortgage Loan Originator):
                     #1: Transacted business without a valid New Hampshire
15
                     Mortgage Loan Originator license (RSA 397-A:3,II) - 1
16
17
                     Count;
18
                     #2: Conducted business without holding a valid license
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)) - 1 Count;
19
                (4). Respondent Carter (Unlicensed Mortgage Loan Originator):
20
                     #1: Transacted business without a valid New Hampshire
21
                     Mortgage Loan Originator license (RSA 397-A:3,II) - 1
22
                     Count;
23
                     #2: Conducted business without holding a valid license
24
25
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)) - 1 Count;
                    Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 9
```

1	e. In addition to Paragraph 32d above, the following Respondents
2	shall show cause why a fine in an amount not to exceed \$25,000.00 for each
3	violation (as stated in Counts below) or failure to comply with the
4	requirements of the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (as stated in
5	Counts below) should not be imposed as follows:
6	(1). Respondent Johnson:
7	#1: Failure to be licensed as a Mortgage Loan Originator
8	in accordance with the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of
9	2008 (RSA 397-A:17,IX) (1 Count);
10	(2). Respondent Carter:
11	#1: Failure to be licensed as a Mortgage Loan Originator
12	in accordance with the S.A.F.E. Mortgage Licensing Act of
13	2008 (RSA 397-A:17,IX) (1 Count);
14	f. Nothing in this Order:
15	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
16	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
17	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
18	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
19	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
20	the Commissioner.
21	
22	SO ORDERED.
23	/s/ RONALD A. WILBUR Dated: November 10, 2011
24	BANK COMMISSIONER
25	

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 10