In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocase and Desist

and

Procorp Debt Solutions, LLC, Kevin

Garbowit, Peter Brooke, Thomas

McDonald, and Reliable Response

Marketing, LLC,

Respondents

)

Case No.: 11-084

)

Other to Show Cause and Desist

)

Design of the Cause and Desist

)

Respondents

NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-D (including RSA 399-D:13,I, RSA 399-D:23,I and II, RSA 399-D:24, IV and V and RSA 399-D:25,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 399-D:24,IV and V.

RESPONDENTS

- 3. Procorp Debt Solutions, LLC ("Respondent Procorp") is a limited liability company incorporated in the State of Florida on February 13, 2008, with a principal office location in Deerfield Beach, Florida. The Respondents are not registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent Procorp is a "Person." RSA 399-D:1,VII.
- 4. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Procorp has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.

- 5. Kevin Garbowit ("Respondent Garbowit") is listed in the Florida

 Secretary of State filings as a Managing Member of Respondent Procorp from

 February 13, 2008 until April 23, 2010. Respondent Garbowit is a Control

 Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal
- 6. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Garbowit has ever held a New Hampshire Debt

(RSA 399-D:2, VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2, VII).

- 7. Peter Brooke ("Respondent Brooke") is listed in the Florida Secretary of State filings as a Managing Member of Respondent Procorp from February 13, 2008 until April 23, 2010. Respondent Brooke is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 8. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Brooke has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
- 9. Thomas McDonald ("Respondent McDonald") is listed in the Florida Secretary of State filings as a Managing Member of Respondent Procorp from April 23, 2010 until February 9, 2011. Respondent McDonald is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 10. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent McDonald has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.

Adjuster license.

- 1 11. Reliable Response Marketing, LLC. ("Respondent Reliable") is a limited
 2 liability company incorporated in the State of Delaware on September 15,
 3 2010 with a principal address in Boca Raton, Florida. Respondent Reliable
 4 is listed in the Florida Secretary of State filings as a Managing Member of
 5 Respondent Procorp as of February 9, 2011. Respondent Reliable is a Control
 6 Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal
 7 (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
 - 12. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Reliable has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.

11 | 13. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as 12 | "Respondents".

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 14. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23, I and II.
- 15. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II.
- 25 | 16. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph

2 above.

2 ||

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

3 | 17. On January 25, 2011, the Department received a complaint against
4 | Respondent from a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") alleging that
5 | Respondents failed to provide debt relief assistance or refund money paid

6 | for this assistance.

18. On February 17, 2010, Respondents had contracted with Consumer A to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer A. The contract provided that Consumer A would pay \$426.67 per month for a period of thirty-six (36) months with included \$222.30 in administrative fees paid to Respondent for the first fifteen (15) months, \$9.85 in bank fees paid for the thirty-six (36) months period, with the remainder being held in a non-interest bearing account. Respondents charged an advanced fee and an unequally amortized fee, both in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.

- 19. The contract also provided that Respondent would not negotiate any settlements with Consumer A's creditors until sufficient funds have been saved in the set-aside account to effect a settlement, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 20. By October 31, 2010, Respondent had collected \$1,778.40 in fees from Consumer A, without either the written consent of Consumer A's creditors or any payments made to Consumer A's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I and II.
- 21. Respondent failed to conduct any debt negotiations on behalf of Consumer A, and has failed to use any of the funds to pay Consumer A's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:15,I(b).

1	22. On April 20, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail to
2	Respondent, suggesting Respondent apply for licensure with the Department,
3	provide requested documentation and resolution of Consumer A's complaint.
4	The correspondence was return by the U.S. Mail with a new forwarding address
5	for the Respondent.
6	23. On May 3, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail to
7	Respondent, suggesting Respondent apply for licensure with the Department,
8	provide requested documentation and resolution of Consumer A's complaint.
9	This correspondence has not been returned to the Department.
10	24. To date, Respondents have failed respond or to provide any of the
11	information requested by the Department, in violation of RSA 399-D:22,VIII.
12	25. To date, Respondent has failed to return \$1778.40 of Consumer A's
13	funds.
14	
15	/s/ August 8, 2011
16	Ryan McFarland Date Hearings Examiner
17	1
	ORDER
18	ORDER 26. I hereby find as follows:
18	
	26. I hereby find as follows:
19	26. I hereby find as follows: a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
19	26. I hereby find as follows: a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
19 20 21	26. I hereby find as follows: a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order;
19 20 21 22	26. I hereby find as follows: a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate

cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and

2.4

d. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II, if any Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true.

27. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 399-D and rules or orders thereunder;
- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have conducted or contracted to conduct debt adjustment activities. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with all monies charged, collected, and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits in the amount of at least \$1,778.40 (Consumer A);
- d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:

(1). Respondent Procorp:

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA 399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;

Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;

Violation #3: Collecting an Unequally amortized fee (RSA

```
1
                     399-D:14, I) - 1 Count;
                     Violation #4: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
2
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 Count;
3
                     Violation #5: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
4
5
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 Count;
                (2). Respondent Garbowit (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
6
 7
                     Principal):
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
8
                     399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;
9
10
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
11
                     Violation #3: Collecting an Unequally amortized fee (RSA
12
13
                     399-D:14, I) - 1 Count;
14
                     Violation #4: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 Count;
15
                     Violation #5: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
16
17
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 Count;
18
                 (3). Respondent Brooke (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
19
                     Principal):
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
20
                     399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;
21
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
22
23
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
                     Violation #3: Collecting an Unequally amortized fee (RSA
24
25
                     399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
```

```
Violation #4: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
1
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 Count;
2
                     Violation #5: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
3
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 Count;
4
5
                  (4). Respondent McDonald (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
6
                     Principal):
 7
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
                     399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;
8
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
9
10
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
                     Violation #3: Collecting an Unequally amortized fee (RSA
11
                     399-D:14, I) - 1 Count;
12
                     Violation #4: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
13
14
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14, II) - 1 Count;
                     Violation #5: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
15
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 Count;
16
                (5). Respondent Reliable (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
17
18
                     Principal):
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
19
                     399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;
20
21
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
22
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
23
                     Violation #3: Collecting an Unequally amortized fee (RSA
                     399-D:14,I) - 1 Count;
24
25
                     Violation #4: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
                    Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 8
```

1	creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 Count;
2	Violation #5: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
3	D:22,VIII) - 1 Count;
4	e. Nothing in this Order:
5	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
6	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
7	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
8	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
9	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
10	the Commissioner.
11	
12	SO ORDERED.
13	
14	/s/ Dated:August 10,2011
15	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	