1 State of New Hampshire Banking Department)Case No.: 11-061 In re the Matter of: 2 State of New Hampshire Banking 3 Department, 4 5 Petitioner, 6 and 7 Mortgage Mitigation Law Group, PLC)Order to Show Cause) and Cease and Desist (a/k/a The Mortgage Mitigation Law 8 Group, APC), Patrick Drury, Derrick 9 10 Penney, Vicki Buck, The Drury Law Group) (a/k/a Law Offices of Patrick G. Drury) 11 & Associates), and Phillip Sanders, 12 13 Respondents NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER") 14 15 This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA 397-A (including RSA 397-A:17, I, II and IX, RSA 397-A:18, I and II and 16 RSA 397-A:20, IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A. 17 18 The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of to 19 \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-A:21, IV and V. 20 RESPONDENTS 21 Mortgage Mitigation Law Group, PLC (a/k/a The Mortgage Mitigation Law 22 Group, APC) ("Respondent MM Law Group") is a limited liability company duly incorporated in the State of Florida on July 31, 2009 with a principal 23 office location of 6740 Crosswinds Drive, St. Petersburg, FL 33710 and 5510 24

Gulfport Blvd, S. Gulfport, Florida 33707. Respondent MM Law Group is a

Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 1

25

- "Person." RSA 397-A:1, XVIII.
- 2 4. The National Mortgage Licensing System ("NMLS") indicates that
- 3 | Respondent MM Law Group has never held a license as a mortgage broker. The
- 4 | New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records indicate that
- 5 | Respondent MM Law Group has never held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker
- 6 | license.

1

- 7 | 5. Patrick Drury ("Respondent Drury") is a managing member of Respondent
- 8 | MM Law Group. Respondent Drury also operates The Drury Law Group (a/k/a Law
- 9 | Offices of Patrick G. Drury & Associates) as identified below. Respondent
- 10 | Drury is an attorney admitted to the Illinois State Bar on May 12, 1989 but
- 11 | is currently not authorized to practice law as an attorney and has not been
- 12 | registered with the Attorney Registration & Disciplinary Commission of the
- 13 | Supreme Court of Illinois since the last registered year of 2010. Respondent
- 14 Drury is a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1, VI-a), a Control person (RSA 397-A:21,
- 15 | V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1, XIX) and a Person (RSA 397-A:1, XVIII).
- 16 | 6. NMLS indicates that Respondent Drury has never held a license as a
- 17 | mortgage loan originator. The Department's records indicate that Respondent
- 18 | Drury has never held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.
- 19 7. Derrick Penney ("Respondent Penney") is a managing member of MM Law
- 20 Group. Respondent Penney is a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1, VI-a), a Control
- 21 | person (RSA 397-A:21, V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1, XIX) and a Person (RSA
- 22 | 397-A:1, XVIII).
- 23 8. NMLS indicates that Respondent Penney has never held a license as a
- 24 | mortgage loan originator. The Department's records indicate that Respondent
- 25 | Penney has never held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.

- 9. Respondent Vicki Buck ("Respondent Buck") is a Senior Loan
 Modification Specialist with Respondent MM Law Group. Respondent Buck is a
 Person (RSA 397-A:1, XVIII) and unlicensed New Hampshire Mortgage Loan
- 4 | Originator (RSA 397-A:1,XVII).
- 5 | 10. NMLS indicates that Respondent Buck has never held a license as a 6 | mortgage loan originator. The Department's records indicate that Respondent
- 7 | Buck has never held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.
- 8 11. The Drury Law Group (a/k/a Law Offices of Patrick G. Drury &
- 9 Associates) ("Respondent Drury Law Group) is operated by Respondent Drury
- 10 | and handles the mortgage loan modifications for Respondent MM Law Group.
- 11 || Respondent Drury Law Group had its accreditation revoked by the Better
- 12 | Business Bureau on November 30, 2010. Respondent Drury Law Group appears to
- 13 | be the direct owner of Respondent MM Law Group. Respondent Drury Law Group
- 14 | is a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1, VI-a), an Indirect Owner (RSA 397-A:1, VIII-
- 15 | a), a Control person (RSA 397-A:21, V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1, XIX) and
- 16 | a Person (RSA 397-A:1, XVIII).
- 17 | 12. Phillip Sanders ("Respondent Sanders") is a mortgage loan originator
- 18 | with Respondent Drury Law Group. Respondent Sanders is a Person (RSA 397-
- 19 | A:1, XVIII) and unlicensed New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator (RSA 397-
- 20 | A:1,XVII).
- 21 | 13. NMLS indicates that Respondent Sanders has never held a license as a
- 22 | mortgage loan originator. The Department's records indicate that Respondent
- 23 | Sanders has never held a New Hampshire Mortgage Loan Originator license.
- 24 | 14. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter called "Respondents".

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

1

7

16

17

18

19

24

25

- Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing 2 shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives 3 the Respondents' written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a 4 5 hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 397-A:17, I and II and RSA 397-A:18, I 6 and II.
- If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of 8 receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the 9 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, 10 allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect 11 until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 397-12 A:17, I and II and RSA 397-A:18, I and II. 13
- 17. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 14 2 above. 15

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- On January 6, 2011, the Department received a complaint against Respondents from a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") concerning unlicensed mortgage loan modification services.
- 20 On April 28, 2010, Respondents MM Law Group, Drury Law Group, Drury 21 and Penney had contracted with Consumer A to provide a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A, in violation of RSA 397-A:3,I and RSA 397-22 23 A:14, IV(d).
 - Respondents Buck and Sanders conducted unlicensed mortgage originator activity when negotiating Consumer A's mortgage loan Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 4

- 1 | modification, in violation of RSA 397-A:3, II and RSA 397-A:14, IV(d).
- 2 | 21. Respondents MM Law Group, Drury Law Group, Drury and Penney had hired
- 3 | at least two mortgage loan originators who were unlicensed in New Hampshire
- 4 | (Respondents Buck and Sanders) in violation of RSA 397-A:3, III and RSA 397-
- 5 | A:14, IV(d).
- 6 22. Respondents collected a total of \$1,060.00 in advance fees from
- 7 | Consumer A, in violation of RSA 397-A:14, IV(m).
- 8 | 23. On May 25, 2010, Consumer A sent a letter to Respondents cancelling
- 9 | the account and requesting a refund. Respondents failed to refund Consumer
- 10 A even though Respondents failed to obtain a mortgage loan modification for
- 11 | Consumer A, in violation of RSA 397-A:14, IV(b).
- 12 | 24. Respondents previously operated a website at "tmmlg.com" that offered
- 13 | mortgage modification services to New Hampshire consumers. Respondent's
- 14 | website is no longer in operation.
- 15 | 25. On March 7, 2011, the Department sent three (3) copies of a letter via
- 16 U.S. Certified Mail return receipt requested to Respondents at their known
- 17 | addresses, requesting an application for licensure, a New Hampshire
- 18 | transaction list, and resolution of Consumer A's complaint.
- 19 | 26. On March 15, 2011, the letter addressed to Respondent Penney was
- 20 || returned to the Department marked "Vacant Unable to Forward."
- 21 | 27. On March 17, 2011, the letter addressed to Respondent MM Law Group's
- 22 | registered agent was returned to the Department marked "Attempted Not
- 23 | Known."
- 24 | 28. On April 4, 2011, the letter addressed to Respondent Drury was
- 25 || returned to the Department marked "Insufficient Address Unable to

Forward." 1 To date, Respondents have failed to refund Consumer A. 2 To date, Respondents have failed to apply for a mortgage broker and 3 mortgage loan originator license with the Department 4 5 6 <u> April 18, 2</u>011 Maryam Torben Desfosses 7 Hearings Examiner 8 ORDER 9 I hereby find as follows: 10 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 11 397-A and form the legal basis for this Order; 12 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20, VI, this Order is necessary and 13 appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and 14 consistent with the intent and purpose of New Hampshire banking laws; 15 The Department finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, II and RSA 397-16 c. A:18,II, reasonable cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and 17 18 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, I and RSA 397-A:18, II, if Respondents 19 fail to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged 20 herein are deemed as true. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 21 Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 22 23 397-A and rules or orders thereunder; Respondents shall within 14 days of the date of this Order 24 b. 25 provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 6

- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits and/or commissions for services rendered;
- d. Respondents shall show cause why Respondents should not refund each of its New Hampshire consumers fees paid to Respondents which at a minimum would be \$1,060.00 for Consumer A;
- e. Respondents shall show cause why back-license fees of \$500.00 for mortgage broker (mortgage loan modification) activity in 2010 should not be paid to the Department;
- f. Respondents shall show cause why back-license fees of \$100.00 for each mortgage loan originator (for mortgage loan modification activity in 2010) should not be paid to the Department;
- g. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:
 - (1). Respondent MM Law Group:

Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license (RSA 397-A:3,I): 1 Count;

Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 7

202122232425

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

```
1
                      business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)): 1 Count;
2
                      Violation #3: Employed or retained an unlicensed New
3
                      Hampshire mortgage loan originator (RSA 397-A:3,III): 2
4
5
                      Counts;
                      Violation #4: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet two
6
 7
                      unlicensed mortgage loan originators (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)):
                      2 Counts;
8
                      Violation #5: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
9
10
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(m)): 1 Count;
                      Violation #6:
                                      Respondents collected a
                                                                   fee
                                                                         without
11
                      obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
12
13
                      397-A:14, IV(b)): 1 Count;
14
                (2). Respondent Drury (as Direct Owner, Principal and Control
15
                     person):
                      Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification
16
                     without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license (RSA 397-
17
18
                     A:3,I): 1 Count;
                      Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
19
                     business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
20
21
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)): 1 Count;
22
                      Violation #3: Employed or retained an unlicensed New
23
                      Hampshire mortgage loan originator (RSA 397-A:3,III): 2
                      Counts;
24
25
                      Violation #4: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet two
                    Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 8
```

```
1
                      unlicensed mortgage loan originators (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)):
                      2 Counts;
2
                      Violation #5: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
3
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(m)): 1 Count;
 4
5
                      Violation
                                 #6:
                                       Respondents collected a
                                                                  fee
                                                                         without
                      obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
6
 7
                      397-A:14, IV(b)): 1 Count;
                 (3). Respondent Penney (as Direct Owner, Principal and Control
8
                      person):
9
10
                      Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification
                      without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license (RSA 397-
11
                      A:3,I): 1 Count;
12
                      Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
13
14
                      business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)): 1 Count;
15
                      Violation #3: Employed or retained an unlicensed New
16
17
                      Hampshire mortgage loan originator (RSA 397-A:3,III): 2
18
                      Counts;
                      Violation #4: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet two
19
                      unlicensed mortgage loan originators (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)):
20
                      2 Counts;
21
                      Violation #5: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
22
23
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(m)): 1 Count;
                      Violation
                                 #6:
                                      Respondents collected a
                                                                  fee
                                                                         without
24
25
                      obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
                    Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 9
```

```
1
                     397-A:14, IV(b)): 1 Count;
                 (4). Respondent Buck
                                         (as an unlicensed
2
                                                                mortgage
                                                                           loan
                     originator):
3
                     Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification
 4
5
                     without a New Hampshire mortgage loan originator license
6
                      (RSA 397-A:3, II): 1 Count;
                     Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
 7
                     business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
8
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)): 1 Count;
9
10
                     Violation #3: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(m)): 1 Count;
11
                                 #4:
                                      Respondents collected a
12
                     Violation
                                                                 fee
                                                                        without
                     obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
13
14
                     397-A:14, IV(b)): 1 Count;
                 (5). Respondent Drury Law Group (as Direct Owner, Indirect
15
                     Owner, Principal and Control person):
16
                     Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification
17
18
                     without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license (RSA 397-
19
                     A:3,I): 1 Count;
                     Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
20
                     business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
21
                      (RSA 397-A:14, IV(d)): 1 Count;
22
23
                     Violation #3: Employed or retained an unlicensed New
                     Hampshire mortgage loan originator (RSA 397-A:3,III): 2
24
25
                     Counts;
                   Order To Show Cause and Cease and Desist - 10
```

1	Violation #4: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet two
2	unlicensed mortgage loan originators (RSA 397-A:14,IV(d)):
3	2 Counts;
4	Violation #5: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
5	(RSA 397-A:14,IV(m)): 1 Count;
6	Violation #6: Respondents collected a fee without
7	obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
8	397-A:14,IV(b)): 1 Count;
9	(6). Respondent Sanders (as an unlicensed mortgage loar
10	originator):
11	Violation #1: Engaging in mortgage loan modification
12	without a New Hampshire mortgage loan originator license
13	(RSA 397-A:3,II): 1 Count;
14	Violation #2: Conduct business, assist or aid and abet any
15	business under RSA Chapter 397-A without a valid license
16	(RSA 397-A:14,IV(d)): 1 Count;
17	Violation #3: Collected an advance fee from Consumer A
18	(RSA 397-A:14,IV(m)): 1 Count;
19	Violation #4: Respondents collected a fee without
20	obtaining a mortgage loan modification for Consumer A (RSA
21	397-A:14,IV(b)): 1 Count;
22	h. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,IX and addition to the penalties listed
23	above, Respondents shall show cause why administrative fines of up to a
24	maximum of \$25,000.00 per violation should not be imposed against
25	Respondents Buck and Sanders; and
	II

1	i. Nothing in this Order:
2	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
3	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
4	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
5	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
6	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
7	the Commissioner.
8	SO ORDERED.
9	
LO	/s/ Dated: April 20, 2011
11	ROBERT A. FLEURY DEPUTY BANK COMMISSIONER
L2	
L3	
L4	
15	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	