In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocase and Desist

and

ICU Financial Services, Inc.,

Christopher L. Stafford, Esq.,

Hirshman,

Respondents

Respondents

)

Case No.: 10-481
)

)

Order to Show Cause and Desist
)

)

Hirshman,

Order to Show Cause and Desist
)

Order to Show Cause and Desist
)
)

## NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A (including RSA 397-A:17,I and II, RSA 397-A:18,I and II, and RSA 397-A:20,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-A:21,IV and V.

## RESPONDENTS

3. ICU Financial Services, Inc. ("Respondent ICU Financial") is a corporation duly incorporated in the State of California on February 1, 2010, with a principal office location in Foothill Ranch, California. Respondent ICU Financial is listed by the California Secretary of State's office as "Dissolved". Respondent ICU Financial is not registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent ICU Financial is a "Person." RSA 397-A:1,XVIII.

The National Mortgage Licensing System & Registry ("NMLS") does not 1 indicate that Respondent ICU Financial has ever held a license as a mortgage 2 broker. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not 3 indicate that Respondent ICU Financial has ever held a New Hampshire 4 5 Mortgage Broker license. Christopher L. Stafford, Esq. ("Respondent C. Stafford") is the Chief 6 7 Executive Officer of Respondent ICU Financial. Respondent C. Stafford is an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California since December 5, 8 2006. Respondent C. Stafford is a Control person (RSA 397-A:21, V-a), a 9 10 Principal (RSA 397-A:1,XIX), a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1 VI-a), and a Person (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII). 11 NMLS does not indicate that Respondent C. Stafford has ever held a 12 13 license as a mortgage broker. The Department's records do not indicate that 14 Respondent C. Stafford has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license. 15 ") is the General ("Respondent 16 Counsel of Respondent ICU Financial. Respondent is an attorney 17 18 licensed to practice in the State of since 19 Respondent is a Control person (RSA 397-A:21, V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1,XIX), a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1 VI-a), and a Person (RSA 397-20 A:1,XVIII). 21 22 NMLS does not indicate that Respondent has ever held a 23 license as a mortgage broker. The Department's records do not indicate that Respondent has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker 24 25 license.

Ben Hirshman ("Respondent Hirshman") is listed by the Better Business 1 Bureau as the General Manager of Respondent ICU Financial. Respondent Hirshman is also listed as an agent of Respondent ICU Financial with the 3 California Secretary of State's office. Respondent Hirshman is a Control 4 5 person (RSA 397-A:21,V-a), a Principal (RSA 397-A:1,XIX), a Direct Owner

2

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 NMLS does not indicate that Respondent Hirshman has ever held a license as a mortgage broker. The Department's records do not indicate that 8

Respondent Hirshman has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license.

(RSA 397-A:1 VI-a), and a Person (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII).

10 The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as "Respondents". 11

## RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.
- If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. 397-A:17, I and RSA 397-A:18.
- A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 25 2 above.

- 2 | 15. On October 21, 2010, the Department received a complaint from a New
- 3 Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") against Respondents concerning residential
- 4 | mortgage loan modification services.
- 5 | 16. On March 21, 2010, Respondents contracted with Consumer A to modify
- 6 | Consumer A's mortgage loan without a New Hampshire mortgage broker license,
- 7 || in violation of RSA 397-A:3,I.
- 8 | 17. Respondents collected an advance fee of \$1,600.00 from Consumer A, in
- 9 | violation of RSA 397-A:14,IV(m).
- 10 | 18. Respondents failed to provide a residential mortgage loan modification
- 11 | to Consumer A in violation of RSA 397-A:14, IV(b).
- 12 | 19. On July 7, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified Mail
- 13 | return receipt requested to Respondents at the Foothill Ranch, California
- 14 address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department,
- 15 | requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers, and requesting a
- 16 | resolution to the consumer complaint. The U.S. Post Office returned the
- 17 | letter on August 1, 2011 indicating, "Return to Sender, Attempted Not
- 18 | Known".
- 19 20. On July 7, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified Mail
- 20 | return receipt requested to Respondents at the Rancho Santa Margarita,
- 21 | California address, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the
- 22 Department, requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers, and
- 23 | requesting a resolution to the consumer complaint. The U.S. Post Office
- 24 || returned the letter on August 8, 2011 indicating, "Return to Sender,
- 25 | Unclaimed".

1	21. On July 7, 2011, the Department sent a letter via facsimile to
2	Respondents, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with the Department,
3	requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers, and requesting a
4	resolution to the consumer complaint. The Department received a report that
5	indicated the facsimile was transmitted properly on July 7, 2011.
6	22. On July 7, 2011, the Department sent a letter via email to Respondent
7	C. Stafford and to Respondent at the email addresses provided by
8	the State Bar of California, suggesting Respondents apply for licensure with
9	the Department, requesting documents relative to New Hampshire consumers,
10	and requesting a resolution to the consumer complaint.
11	23. To date, Respondents have failed to provide the information requested
12	by the Department, in violation of RSA 397-A:12,I.
13	
13	
14	/s/ Dated: September 14, 2011
	/s/  Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  Dated: September 14, 2011
14	Ryan McFarland
14 15	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner
14 15 16	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER
14 15 16 17	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:
14 15 16 17	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:  a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
14 15 16 17 18	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:  a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
14 15 16 17 18 19	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:  a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter  397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:  a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;  b. Pursuant to 397-A:20,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ryan McFarland Hearings Examiner  ORDER  24. I hereby find as follows:  a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;  b. Pursuant to 397-A:20,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent

1 d. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I and RSA 397-A:18,II, if Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as 2 alleged herein are deemed as true. 3 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 4 5 Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 397-A and rules or orders thereunder; 6 7 Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of b. all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have residential mortgage 8 loan modification activity and a status of those accounts. This list must 9 10 include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with monies charged, collected and waived (if applicable). The list 11 shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer 12 and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files; 13 14 Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits in the 15 amount of at least \$1,600.00 (for Consumer A); 16 17 d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to 18 a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows: 19 (1). Respondent ICU Financial: Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity 20 397-A:3,I) - 1 count;21 22 Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee (RSA 397-23 A:3,IV(m)) - 1 count;Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage 2.4 25 loan modification (RSA 397-A:14,IV(b)) - 1 count;

```
A:12,I) - 1 count;
2
                (2). Respondent C. Stafford (as Control Person, Direct Owner,
3
                     and Principal):
4
5
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA
6
                     397-A:3,I) - 1 count;
 7
                     Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee
                                                                         397-
                                                                    (RSA
                     A:3,IV(m)) - 1 count;
8
                     Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage
9
10
                     loan modification (RSA 397-A:14,IV(b)) - 1 count;
                     Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-
11
                     A:12,I) - 1 count;
12
                (3). Respondent
                                            (as Control Person, Direct Owner,
13
14
                     and Principal):
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA
15
                     397-A:3,I) - 1 count;
16
                     Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee
17
                                                                    (RSA
                                                                          397-
18
                     A:3,IV(m)) - 1 count;
                     Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage
19
                     loan modification (RSA 397-A:14,IV(b)) - 1 count;
20
                     Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-
21
                     A:12,I) - 1 count;
22
23
                (4). Respondent Hirshman (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
24
                     Principal):
25
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA
                    Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 7
```

Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-

1

1	397-A:3,I) - 1 count;
2	Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee (RSA 397-
3	A:3, IV(m)) - 1 count;
4	Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage
5	loan modification (RSA 397-A:14, IV(b)) - 1 count;
6	Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-
7	A:12,I) - 1 count;
8	e. Nothing in this Order:
9	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
LO	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
L1	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
L2	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
L3	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
L4	the Commissioner.
15	SO ORDERED.
L6	/s/ Dated: <u>September 15, 2011</u>
L7	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	