In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

and

Assets Recovery Center, LLC (d/b/a

Assets Recovery Center of Florida),

Daniel Ferdinand Coosemans, and John R.)

Respondents

(Case No.: 10-184

)

Order to Cease and Desist

)

)

Order to Cease and Desist

)

)

(Case No.: 10-184

)

)

(Case No.: 10-184

)

(Case No.: 10-184

)

(Case No.: 10-184

)

)

(Case No.: 10-184

)

(Case No.: 10-

NOTICE OF ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-B (including RSA 397-B:3,VIII and IX, and RSA 397-B:3,III) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-B:6,IV and V.

RESPONDENTS

- 3. Assets Recovery Center, LLC (d/b/a Assets Recovery Center of Florida) ("Respondent ARC") is a limited liability company duly formed with the Florida Secretary of State on September 16, 2004. Respondent ARC was registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State on October 12, 2007 with a principal office location in Miami Beach, Florida. Respondent ARC is a Mortgage Servicing Company. RSA 397-B:1,III.
- 4. Respondent ARC was registered as a Mortgage Servicer with the New Hampshire Banking Department ("Department") from January 28, 2008 to

December 31, 2009. Respondent ARC also filed for registration as a New Hampshire Mortgage Servicer on December 3, 2010 but withdrew its application on May 12, 2011.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respondent ARC is registered with the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System & Registry ("NMLS") and carries a number of licenses as follows: Alabama (approved Consumer Credit License); Arkansas (approved Mortgage Servicer License); District of Columbia (approved Mortgage Lender License); Iowa (approved Mortgage Banker License); Kansas (approved Mortgage Company License); Maryland (approved Mortgage Lender License); Massachusetts (Third {Party Loan Servicer Registration); Michigan (approved 1st Mortgage Broker /Lender/Servicer License and approved 2nd Mortgage Broker/Lender/Servicer Registrant); Minnesota (approved Residential Mortgage Servicer License); Nebraska (approved Mortgage Banker license); South Carolina (approved Mortgage Lender/Servicer License); South Dakota (approved Mortgage Lender License); and Tennessee (approved Mortgage License). The New Hampshire entry indicates it is an expired Mortgage Broker but the entry in NMLS for New Hampshire is inaccurate. See Paragraphs 3 and 4 above. Respondent ARC's Georgia Mortgage Lender License was denied with a status date of June 20, 2011. Georgia had issued Orders to Cease and Desist against companies managed by Respondents Coosemans and/or Olsen.

6. Daniel Ferdinand Coosemans ("Respondent Coosemans") was the 50% owner and Managing Member of Respondent ARC when Respondent ARC was registered by the Department and during the application process. Respondent Coosemans is a Direct Owner (RSA 397-B:1,I-c), a Control owner (RSA 397-B:1,I-a) and a Principal (RSA 397-B:1,V).

- 7. John R. Olsen ("Respondent Olsen") was the 50% owner and Managing
 Member of Respondent ARC when Respondent ARC was registered by the
 Department and during the application process. Respondent Olsen is a Direct
 Owner (RSA 397-B:1,I-c), a Control owner (RSA 397-B:1,I-a) and a Principal
- 6 8. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 9. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 397-B:3.
- 10. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 397-B:3.
- 20 11. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 21 2 above.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Examination:

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

(RSA 397-B:1,V).

"Respondents."

12. On December 28, 2009, the Department's Examiners attempted to conduct an examination of Respondent ARC. On November 30, 2009, in preparation for

- 1 the examination, the Department mailed a Notice of Examination via U.S.
- 2 | Certified Mail return receipt requested to Respondents, which the
- 3 | Respondents received on December 3, 2009.
- 4 | 13. Respondents had 7 days (until December 10, 2009) to return a loan list
- 5 | to the Department, and an additional 14 days (for a total of 21 days) from
- 6 | thereon to submit the remaining documents and requested loan files. The loan
- 7 | list was not received by the Department until December 15, 2009.
- 8 | 14. On January 4, 2010, the Department submitted correspondence via U.S.
- 9 | Certified Mail return receipt requested to the Respondents requesting that
- 10 Respondents return selected loan files and the completed Officer's
- 11 | Questionnaire within the remaining 9 days (total of 21 days to submit
- 12 | documents, of which 12 days were comprised of the late submittal of the loan
- 13 | list). Respondents received the request on January 27, 2010.
- 14 | 15. On February 24, 2010, the Department received a facsimile from an
- 15 | accounting agency that represented Respondent ARC. The correspondence
- 16 | indicated that Respondent ARC would be submitting information as soon as
- 17 possible to respond to the Department's inquiry.
- 18 | 16. On April 2, 2010, the Department's Examiner in Charge contacted
- 19 | Respondents via email to require Respondents to return loan files and the
- 20 | completed Officer's Questionnaire to the Department and set a deadline of
- 21 | April 6, 2010. The Department received a telephone call from Respondent ARC
- 22 | indicating that the materials would be submitted to the Department by April
- 23 | 9, 2010.
- 24 | 17. On April 9, 2010, the Department contacted Respondents via email and
- 25 | facsimile informing Respondents that they had until April 12, 2010 to return

- the examination materials to the Department and that a failure to do so would result in a referral for enforcement action.
- 3 | 18. To date, Respondents have failed to produce the requested materials,
- 4 | in violation of RSA 397-B:9-a, VII.
- 5 | 19. To date, Respondents Coosemans and Olsen have failed to respond to the
- 6 | Department's written inquiries, in violation of RSA 397-B:4-b.

Failure to Increase Surety Bond:

- 8 | 20. As of July 31, 2009, New Hampshire Mortgage Servicers were required to
- 9 | obtain surety bonds in the amount of \$50,000.00. On June 16, 2009, the
- 10 | Department mailed a letter to Respondent ARC regarding the new law going
- 11 || into effect on July 31, 2009 pursuant to the implementation of the federal
- 12 | S.A.F.E. ("Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008")
- 13 || Act.

7

- 14 | 21. On August 20, 2009, the Department submitted a reminder e-mail.
- 15 \parallel 22. On October 1, 2009 and October 5, 2009, the Department attempted
- 16 | contact with Respondent Olsen, but the calls were not successful.
- 17 | 23. Respondents failed to obtain a \$50,000.00 surety bond for Respondent
- 18 | ARC in violation of RSA 397-B:4,V(a) as set by RSA 397-A:5,III(c).

19 | Failure to File Annual Reports:

- 20 | 24. Respondents were required to file annual reports both in 2009 and
- $21 \parallel 2010$. The 2008 annual report was due on March 31, 2009 and the 2009 annual
- 22 | report was due on March 31, 2010.
- 23 | 25. A reminder email was sent to Respondents on January 8, 2010.
- 24 26. To date, Respondents have failed to file the annual reports, in
- 25 | violation of RSA 397-B:4-a,III.

The statutory fine for failing to file an annual report is \$25.00 per day (with a maximum statutory fine of \$2,500.00). Respondents have failed to produce two annual reports and have incurred a statutory fine of \$5,000.00.

Department Review of Respondents' Records post-December 3, 2010:

28. During the Department's review of Respondent ARC's files pursuant to its Mortgage Servicer application filed with the Department on December 3, 2010, the Department discovered the violations of failing to safeguard customer information and conducting unregistered activity. Those items are discussed below.

Failure to Safeguard Customer Information:

29. Respondent ARC did not provide a written information security program to the Department and acknowledged it did not have an annual privacy policy to provide borrowers and it did not have contracts in place with third party service providers. By failing to develop, implement and maintain a written information security program, Respondents violated RSA 397-B:2,II as referencing the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section 314.3(a). By failing to issue an annual privacy policy to borrowers, Respondents violated RSA 397-B:2,II referencing the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act - Privacy Statement.

Conducting Unregistered Activity:

- 30. As part of the pre-registration process in 2010, Respondents submitted four (4) borrower files to the Department for review. Respondent ARC was only registered with the Department as a Mortgage Servicer from January 28, 2008 until December 31, 2009.
- 25 | 31. The Department observed unregistered activity in the loan servicing

notes for Consumer A, a New Hampshire consumer. The notes were dated from January 4, 2010 to May 5, 2011. Respondents collected a total of \$6,035.60 (comprised of \$301.80 in late charges and \$5,733.80 in interest) while unregistered, which should be returned to Consumer A. Respondents conducted unregistered mortgage servicer activity on the Consumer A loan, in violation of RSA 397-B:4,I(a).

- 32. The Department observed unregistered activity in the loan servicing notes for Consumer B, a New Hampshire consumer. The notes were dated from January 7, 2010 to May 9, 2011. Respondents collected a total of \$5,681.91 (comprised of \$4,155.11 in late charges and \$1,526.80 in interest) while unregistered, which should be returned to Consumer B. Respondents conducted unregistered mortgage servicer activity on the Consumer B loan, in violation of RSA 397-B:4,I(a).
- 33. The Department observed unregistered activity in the loan servicing notes for Consumer C, a New Hampshire consumer. The notes were dated from January 5, 2010 to May 6, 2011. Respondents collected a total of \$2,166.75 (comprised of \$125.88 in late charges and \$2,040.87 in interest) while unregistered, which should be returned to Consumer C. Respondents conducted unregistered mortgage servicer activity on the Consumer C loan, in violation of RSA 397-B:4,I(a).
- 34. The Department observed unregistered activity in the loan servicing notes for Consumer D, a New Hampshire consumer. The notes were dated from January 7, 2010 to May 3, 2011. Respondents collected a total of \$9,166.66 (comprised of \$430.74 in late charges, \$7,227.48 in interest and \$1,508.44 in charges on the principal) while unregistered, which should be returned to

1	Consumer D. Respondents conducted unregistered mortgage servicer activity on
2	the Consumer D loan, in violation of RSA 397-B:4,I(a).
3	
4	/s/
5	Maryam Torben Desfosses Date Hearings Examiner
6	ORDER
7	35. I hereby find as follows:
8	a. Pursuant to RSA 397-B:3,VIII and IX, the facts as alleged above,
9	if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA
10	Chapter 397-B and form the legal basis for this Order;
11	b. Pursuant to 397-B:3,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
12	to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent
13	with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws;
14	c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 397-B:3,VIII and IX,
15	reasonable cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and
16	d. Pursuant to RSA 397-B:3,VIII and IX, if any Respondent fails to
17	respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are
18	deemed as true.
19	36. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:
20	a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter
21	397-B and rules or orders thereunder;
22	b. Respondents shall immediately (within 24 hours of receipt of
23	this Order) provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for
24	whom Respondents are currently servicing their loans. This list must include
25	the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with

monies charged, collected and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be

each

loan

is

Section

1

```
1
                      397-B:4,I(a)) - 4 Counts;
                 (2). Respondent Coosemans (as Direct Owner, Control owner, and
2
                      Principal):
3
                      Violation #1: Failure to respond to Department inquiries
 4
5
                      (RSA 397-B:4-b) - 1 Count;
 6
                      Violation #2: Failure to file annual report (RSA 397-B:4-
7
                      a, III) - 2 Counts;
                      Violation #3: Failure to develop, implement and maintain a
8
                      written information security program (RSA 397-B:2,II as
9
10
                      referencing the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and Standards for
                      Safequarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section
11
12
                      314.3(a)) - 1 Count;
13
                      Violation #4: Failing to issue an annual privacy policy to
14
                      borrowers (RSA 397-B:2,II referencing the Gramm-Leach-
15
                      Bliley Act - Privacy Statement) - 1 Count;
                      Violation #5: Unregistered mortgage servicer activity (RSA
16
17
                      397-B:4,I(a)) - 4 Counts;
18
                 (3). Respondent Olsen (as Direct Owner, Control owner, and
19
                      Principal):
20
                      Violation #1: Failure to respond to Department inquiries
                      (RSA 397-B:4-b) - 1 Count;
21
                      Violation #2: Failure to file annual report (RSA 397-B:4-
22
23
                      a, III) - 2 Counts;
24
                      Violation #3: Failure to develop, implement and maintain a
25
                      written information security program (RSA 397-B:2,II as
```

1	referencing the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and Standards for
2	Safeguarding Customer Information, 16 C.F.R. Section
3	314.3(a)) - 1 Count;
4	Violation #4: Failing to issue an annual privacy policy to
5	borrowers (RSA 397-B:2,II referencing the Gramm-Leach-
6	Bliley Act - Privacy Statement) - 1 Count;
7	Violation #5: Unregistered mortgage servicer activity (RSA
8	397-B:4,I(a)) - 4 Counts;
9	e. Nothing in this Order:
LO	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
l1	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
L2	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
L3	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
L4	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
L5	the Commissioner.
L6	
L7	SO ORDERED.
L8	
L9	/S/ Dated: 02/21/2012
20	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	