In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocease and Desist

and

The MAC Group, and Marco A. Chaidez,

Respondents

)

Respondents

)

Oase No.: 10-089

)

O Case No.

NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 397-A (including RSA 397-A:17,I and II, RSA 397-A:18,I and II and RSA 397-A:20,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 397-A:21,IV and V.

RESPONDENTS

- 3. The MAC Group ("Respondent MAC") is a possible sole proprietorship owned by Respondent Marco A. Chaidez. Respondent MAC is not registered with the Nevada Secretary of State or the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent MAC is a "Person." RSA 397-A:1,XVIII and RSA 399-D:1,VII.
- 4. The National Mortgage Licensing System & Registry ("NMLS") does not indicate that Respondent MAC has ever held a license as a mortgage broker. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent MAC has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker license. Respondent MAC was licensed by the Nevada Department of Business

and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending, who issued an order on February

1, 2011 against Respondent MAC ordering it to cease doing business and
asking for a revocation of its license. The matter is pending in 8th Judicial
District Court.

- 5. Marco A. Chaidez ("Respondent Chaidez") is the owner of Respondent

 MAC. Respondent Chaidez is currently a licensed real estate Salesperson with

 the Nevada Real Estate Division. Respondent Chaidez's license was issued by

 the Nevada Real Estate Division on February 23, 2009 and expires on February

 29, 2012. Respondent Chaidez is a Control person (RSA 397-A:21,V-a), a

 Principal (RSA 397-A:1,XIX), a Direct Owner (RSA 397-A:1,VI-a), and a Person

 (RSA 397-A:1,XVIII).
 - 6. NMLS does not indicate that Respondent Chaidez has ever held a license as a mortgage broker or mortgage loan originator. The Department's records do not indicate that Respondent Chaidez has ever held a New Hampshire Mortgage Broker or Mortgage Loan Originator license.
 - 7. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as "Respondents".

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 8. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 397-A:17 and RSA 397-A:18.
- 9. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the

- 1 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all
- 2 | allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect
- 3 | until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA
- 4 | 397-A:17, I and RSA 397-A:18.
- 5 10. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph
- 6 | 2 above.

7

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- 8 | 11. On August 24, 2010, the Department received a complaint from a New
- 9 | Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") against Respondents concerning residential
- 10 | mortgage loan modification services.
- 11 | 12. On December 15, 2009, Respondents contracted with Consumer A to
- 12 | modify Consumer A's mortgage loan without a New Hampshire mortgage broker
- 13 | license, in violation of RSA 397-A:3,I.
- 14 | 13. On or about December 15, 2009, Respondents collected an advance fee of
- 15 | \$3,000.00 from Consumer A, in violation of RSA 397-A:14,IV(m).
- 16 | 14. Respondents failed to provide a residential mortgage loan modification
- 17 | to Consumer A in violation of RSA 397-A:14, IV(b).
- 18 | 15. On September 13, 2010, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
- 19 | Mail return receipt requested and via facsimile to Respondents, suggesting
- 20 Respondents apply for licensure with the Department and requesting
- 21 | documentation from Respondents. The facsimile was successfully delivered
- 22 | and Respondents received the correspondence on September 17, 2010.
- 23 | 16. On September 27, 2010, the Department received a response from
- 24 | Respondents indicating it has not seen the consumer complaint filed against
- 25 them. On October 8, 2010, the Department faxed a copy of the Consumer A

1	consumer complaint to Respondents, which was successfully sent.
2	17. On October 8, 2010, the Department emailed Respondent Chaidez to
3	explain that Respondents had an additional 30 days to file an application
4	and provide the required materials to the Department. Respondents failed to
5	respond to the Department.
6	18. On November 16, 2010, the Department emailed Respondents to indicate
7	Respondents' telephone number was no longer in service and that they needed
8	to contact the Department. Respondent Chaidez responded with a new telephone
9	number and the Department emailed back to indicate that Respondents' 30-day
10	period to file has ended.
11	19. On August 3, 2011, the Department received information from the Nevada
12	Department of Business & Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending regarding
13	the administrative action it took against Respondents.
14	20. To date, Respondents have failed to provide the information requested
15	by the Department, in violation of RSA 397-A:12,I.
16	
17	/s/ Dated: August 17, 2011
18	Maryam Torben Desfosses Hearings Examiner
19	ORDER
20	21. I hereby find as follows:
21	a. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
22	show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
23	397-A and form the legal basis for this Order;
24	b. Pursuant to 397-A:20,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
25	to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent

- c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,II and RSA 397-A:18,II reasonable cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and
- d. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17,I and RSA 397-A:18,II, if any Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true.

22. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

2.4

- a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 397-A and rules or orders thereunder;
- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have residential mortgage loan modification activity and a status of those accounts. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with monies charged, collected and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits in the amount of at least \$3,000.00 (for Consumer A);
- d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:

(1). Respondent MAC:

Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA 397-A:3,I) - 1 Count;

Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee (RSA 397-

1	A:3, IV(m)) - 1 Count;
2	Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage
3	loan modification (RSA 397-A:14,IV(b)) - 1 Count;
4	Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-
5	A:12,I) - 1 Count;
6	(2). Respondent Chaidez (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
7	Principal):
8	Violation #1: Unlicensed mortgage broker activity (RSA
9	397-A:3,I) - 1 Count;
LO	Violation #2: Collecting an Advance Fee (RSA 397-
11	A:3, IV(m)) - 1 Count;
L2	Violation #3: Failure to provide a residential mortgage
13	loan modification (RSA 397-A:14,IV(b)) - 1 Count;
14	Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 397-
15	A:12,I) - 1 Count;
L6	e. Nothing in this Order:
L7	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
18	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
L9	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
20	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
21	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
22	the Commissioner.
23	SO ORDERED.
24	/s/ Dated: <u>08/18/2011</u>
25	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER