In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocease and Desist

and

123 Fix My Loan, LLC (d/b/a

www.123fixmyloan.com and d/b/a

www.123fixmyloan.com and d/b/a

D. Callahan, and Marc Richard

Castrechini,

Respondents

Respondents

### NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-D (including RSA 399-D:13,I, RSA 399-D:23,I and II, RSA 399-D:24, IV and V and RSA 399-D:25,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 399-D:24,IV and V.

# RESPONDENTS

3. 123 Fix My Loan, LLC (d/b/a www.123fixmyloan.com and d/b/a www.123fml.com) ("Respondent 123 Fix My Loan") is a limited liability company duly incorporated in the State of Massachusetts on September 25, 2007, with a principal office location in Sharon, Massachusetts. Respondent 123 Fix My Loan is not registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent 123 Fix My Loan is a "Person." RSA 399-D:1,VII.

- 1 | 4. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent 123 Fix My Loan has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
- 5. Mark D. Parker ("Respondent Parker") is listed by the Massachusetts

  Secretary of State's office as a Manager of Respondent 123 Fix My Loan.

  Respondent Parker is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner

  (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a)
- 9 6. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Parker has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.

D:2, VII).

- 7. Keith D. Callahan ("Respondent Callahan") is listed by the Massachusetts Secretary of State's office as a Manager of Respondent 123 Fix My Loan. Respondent Callahan is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 8. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Callahan has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
- 9. Marc Richard Castrechini ("Respondent Castrechini") is listed by the Massachusetts Secretary of State's office as a Manager of Respondent 123 Fix My Loan from December 4, 2008 to June 18, 2010. Respondent Castrechini is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 25 | 10. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not

- 1 | indicate that Respondent Castrechini has ever held a New Hampshire Debt 2 | Adjuster license.
- 3 | 11. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as 4 | "Respondents".

#### RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, I and II.
  - 13. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31<sup>st</sup>) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II.
- 17 | 14. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph
  18 | 2 above.

## STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

15. On September 29, 2008, the Department received a hotline call from a New Hampshire consumer indicating that Respondents were offering debt adjustment activity to New Hampshire consumers. An investigation concluded that Respondents were operating a website (www.123fixmyloan.com), which offered debt adjustment services to New Hampshire consumers without the proper licensure requirements.

- 1 | 16. On September 29, 2008, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail
  2 | Return Receipt Requested to Respondents suggesting that Respondents apply
  3 | for licensure with the Department. The correspondence was received by
- 4 Respondents on October 2, 2008.
- 5 | 17. On October 24, 2008, the Department received a response from
- 6 | Respondents' legal counsel, Attorney Donald C. Kupperstein, which indicated
- 7 | that Respondents had curtailed their activity in New Hampshire and were in
- 8 the process of reviewing the licensure procedures.
- 9 | 18. On May 4, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 10 | Receipt Requested to Respondents' legal counsel requesting all New Hampshire
- 11 | transactions to include all consumer names, contracts and fees charged to
- 12 | these consumers. The correspondence was received by Respondents on May 8,
- 13 | 2009.
- 14 | 19. On May 11, 2009, the Department received a response from Attorney
- 15 | Donald C Kupperstein which indicated that he no longer represented the
- 16 | Respondents.
- 17 | 20. On October 6, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 18 | Receipt Requested to Respondents requesting all New Hampshire transactions
- 19 | to include all consumer names, contracts and fees charged to these
- 20 | consumers. The correspondence was received by the Respondents on October 9,
- 21 | 2009.
- 22 21. On November 2, 2009, the Department received a response from
- 23 Respondents indicating that the Respondents had one active client in New
- 24 | Hampshire. The consumer's name, address, contract date and contract amount
- 25 were provided by the Respondents.

22. On January 19, 2010, the Department conducted an onsite visitation at Respondent's principal office located in Sharon, Massachusetts. The Department reviewed Respondents' client list and files and were able to determine that Respondents had conducted business with 24 New Hampshire residents in 2008 and 2009.

#### New Hampshire Consumer Activity:

- 23. On April 21, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer A. The contract provided that Consumer A would pay two installment payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer A on April 21, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 24. Respondents collected \$799.00 in fees from Consumer A, without the written consent of Consumer A's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
  - 25. On April 25, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer B") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer B. The contract provided that Consumer B would pay \$1,399.00 in fees to Respondents. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 20 26. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer B, without the written consent of Consumer B's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 22 | 27. On July 9, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
  23 | consumer ("Consumer C") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
  24 | Consumer C. The contract provided that Consumer C would pay \$1,000.00 each
  25 | for two properties that Consumer C had facing foreclosure. Respondents

- 1 | charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 2 28. Respondents collected \$2,000.00 in fees from Consumer C, without the
- 3 written consent of Consumer C's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 4 | 29. On July 3, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 5 | consumer ("Consumer D") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 6 | Consumer D. The contract provided that Consumer D would pay two installment
- 7 | payments of \$300.00 and \$900.00. The first payment of \$300.00 was made by
- 8 | Consumer D on July 18, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 9 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 10 30. Respondents collected \$1,200.00 in fees from Consumer D, without the
- 11 | written consent of Consumer D's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 12 | 31. On July 8, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 13 | consumer ("Consumer E") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 14 | Consumer E. The contract provided that Consumer E would pay two installment
- 15 | payments of \$499.00 and \$900.00. The first payment of \$499.00 was made by
- 16 Consumer E on July 8, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 17 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 18 32. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer E, without the
- 19 written consent of Consumer E's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 20 | 33. In August 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 21 | consumer ("Consumer F") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 22 | Consumer F. The contract provided that Consumer F would pay \$2,200.00 for
- 23 the Respondents' services. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
- 24 | of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 25 | 34. Respondents collected \$2,200.00 in fees from Consumer F, without the

- 1 | written consent of Consumer F's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 2 | 35. On August 20, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 3 | consumer ("Consumer G") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 4 | Consumer G. The contract provided that Consumer G would pay \$1,399.00 for
- 5 | the Respondents' services. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
- 6 of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 7 | 36. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer G, without the
- 8 | written consent of Consumer G's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 9 | 37. On September 26, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 10 | consumer ("Consumer H") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 11 | Consumer H. The contract provided that Consumer H would pay two installment
- 12 | payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer
- 13 | H on September 19, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
- 14 of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 15 | 38. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer H, without the
- 16 written consent of Consumer H's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 17 | 39. On August 18, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 18 | consumer ("Consumer I") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 19 | Consumer I. The contract provided that Consumer I would pay two installment
- 20 | payments of \$600.00 and \$1,000.00. The first payment of \$600.00 was made by
- 21 | Consumer I on August 18, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 22 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 23 | 40. Respondents collected \$1,600.00 in fees from Consumer I, without the
- 24 | written consent of Consumer I's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 25 | 41. On September 21, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire

- consumer ("Consumer J") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
  Consumer J. The contract provided that Consumer J would pay two installment
  payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer
  J on September 17, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
  of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 6 42. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer J, without the 7 written consent of Consumer J's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 43. On August 24, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer K") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer K. The contract provided that Consumer K would pay two installment payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer K on August 18, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 14 44. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer K, without the written consent of Consumer K's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.

16

17

18

19

20

- 45. On September 5, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer L") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer L. The contract provided that Consumer L would pay two installment payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer L on September 5, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 22 | 46. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer L, without the written consent of Consumer L's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 24 47. On October 3, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer M") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of

- Consumer M. The contract provided that Consumer M would pay \$1,999.00 for the Respondents' services. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 4 | 48. Respondents collected \$1,999.00 in fees from Consumer M, without the written consent of Consumer M's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 49. In August 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer N") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer N. The contract provided that Consumer N would pay two installment payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer N on August 6, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 12 | 50. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer N, without the written consent of Consumer N's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 51. On September 29, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer O") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer O. The contract provided that Consumer O would pay \$1,399.00 for the Respondents' services. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 52. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer O, without the written consent of Consumer O's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 21 | 53. On October 8, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire 22 | consumer ("Consumer P") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of 23 | Consumer P. The contract provided that Consumer P would pay two installment 24 | payments of \$799.00 and \$1600.00. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by 25 | Consumer P on October 3, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in

violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.

- 2 | 54. Respondents collected \$2,399.00 in fees from Consumer P, without the
- 3 | written consent of Consumer P's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 4 | 55. On September 29, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 5 | consumer ("Consumer Q") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 6 | Consumer Q. The contract provided that Consumer Q would pay two installment
- 7 | payments of \$499.00 each. The first payment of \$499.00 was made by Consumer
- 8 | Q on September 10, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
- 9 of RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 10 | 56. Respondents collected \$998.00 in fees from Consumer Q, without the
- 11 written consent of Consumer Q's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 12 | 57. On September 14, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 13 | consumer ("Consumer R") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 14 | Consumer R. The contract provided that Consumer R would pay two installment
- 15 | payments of \$499.00 and \$900.00. The first payment of \$499.00 was made by
- 16 Consumer R on September 6, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 17 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 18 | 58. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer R, without the
- 19 written consent of Consumer R's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 20 | 59. On September 23, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 21 | consumer ("Consumer S") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 22 | Consumer S. The contract provided that Consumer S would pay two installment
- 23 payments of \$499.00 and \$900.00. The first payment of \$499.00 was made by
- 24 | Consumer S on September 22, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 25 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.

- 1 60. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer S, without the
- 2 written consent of Consumer S's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 3 | 61. On October 7, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 4 | consumer ("Consumer T") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 5 | Consumer T. The contract provided that Consumer T would pay two installment
- 6 | payments of \$799.00 each. The first payment of \$799.00 was made by Consumer
- 7 | T on October 13, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of
- 8 | RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 9 62. Respondents collected \$1,598.00 in fees from Consumer T, without the
- 10 | written consent of Consumer T's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,II.
- 11 | 63. In October 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 12 | consumer ("Consumer U") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 13 | Consumer U. The contract provided that Consumer U would pay two installment
- 14 | payments of \$700.00 and \$699.00. The first payment of \$700.00 was made by
- 15 | Consumer U on October 11, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 16 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 17 | 64. Respondents collected \$1,399.00 in fees from Consumer U, without the
- 18 written consent of Consumer U's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 19 65. On December 1, 2008, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 20 | consumer ("Consumer V") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 21 | Consumer V. The contract provided that Consumer V would pay two installment
- 22 payments of \$700.00 each. The first payment of \$700.00 was made by Consumer
- 23 V on November 26, 2008. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation of
- 24 RSA 399-D:14,I.
- 25 | 66. Respondents collected \$1,400.00 in fees from Consumer V, without the

- 1 | written consent of Consumer V's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 2 | 67. On January 21, 2009, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 3 | consumer ("Consumer W") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 4 | Consumer W. The contract provided that Consumer W would pay two installment
- 5 | payments of \$800.00 and \$400.00. The first payment of \$800.00 was made by
- 6 | Consumer W on January 20, 2009. Respondents charged an advance fee, in
- 7 | violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 8 | 68. Respondents collected \$1,200.00 in fees from Consumer W, without the
- 9 | written consent of Consumer W's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 10 69. On March 25, 2009, Respondents had contracted with a New Hampshire
- 11 | consumer ("Consumer X") to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of
- 12 | Consumer X. The contract provided that Consumer X would pay \$1,400.00 for
- 13 | the Respondents' services. Respondents charged an advance fee, in violation
- 14 of RSA 399-D:14, I.
- 15 | 70. Respondents collected \$1,400.00 in fees from Consumer X, without the
- 16 | written consent of Consumer X's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, II.
- 17 | 71. On March 23, 2010, the Department received an email correspondence
- 18 | from Respondents indicating that Respondents would be pursuing licensure in
- 19 | New Hampshire.
- 20 | 72. On March 26, 2010, the Department received an email correspondence
- 21 || from Respondents indicating that Respondents had no intention of working
- 22 | with any New Hampshire consumers, therefore they would not be pursuing
- 23 | licensure in New Hampshire.
- 24 | 73. On March 26, 2010, the Department sent an email correspondence to
- 25 | Respondents requesting they contact the Department immediately. The

1	Department has not received a response to this request.
2	74. On June 1, 2011, the Department sent an email correspondence to
3	Respondents requesting they contact the Department regarding the status of
4	their business activities. The Department has not received a response to
5	this request.
6	75. Respondents provided debt adjustment activity to 24 New Hampshire
7	consumers without the proper license in violation of RSA 399-D:3,I.
8	
9	/s/ September 9, 2011
10	Ryan McFarland Date Hearings Examiner
11	ORDER
12	76. I hereby find as follows:
13	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
14	show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
15	399-D and form the legal basis for this Order;
16	b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
17	to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent
18	with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws;
19	c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 399-D:23, II reasonable
20	cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and
21	d. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II, if any
22	Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as
23	alleged herein are deemed as true.
24	77. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:
25	a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter

399-D and rules or orders thereunder;

- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have conducted or contracted to conduct debt adjustment activities. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with all monies charged, collected, and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits, including at a minimum restitution of \$799.00 (for Consumer A), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer B), \$2,000.00 (for Consumer C), \$1,200.00 (for Consumer D), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer E), \$2,200.00 (for Consumer F), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer G), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer H), \$1,600.00 (for Consumer I), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer J), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer K), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer L), \$1,999.00 (for Consumer M), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer N), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer P), \$998.00 (for Consumer Q), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer R), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer S), \$1,598.00 (for Consumer T), \$1,399.00 (for Consumer U), \$1,400.00 (for Consumer V), \$1,200.00 (for Consumer W), \$1,400.00 (for Consumer X);
- d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:
  - (1). Respondent 123 Fix My Loan:

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA 399-D:3,I) - 24 counts;

```
1
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 24 counts;
2
                     Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
3
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 24 counts;
4
5
                 (2). Respondent Parker (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
6
                     Principal):
 7
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
                     399-D:3,I) - 24 counts;
8
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
9
10
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 24 counts;
                     Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
11
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 24 counts;
12
13
                 (3). Respondent Callahan (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
14
                     Principal):
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
15
                     399-D:3,I) - 24 counts;
16
17
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
18
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 24 counts;
19
                     Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
                     creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 24 counts;
20
                 (4). Respondent Castrechini (as Control Person, Direct Owner,
21
22
                     and Principal):
23
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
                     399-D:3,I) - 24 counts;
24
25
                     Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment
                    Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 15
```

	creditors (RSA 399-D:14,1) - 24 counts;
2	Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
3	creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 24 counts;
4	e. Nothing in this Order:
5	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
6	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
7	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
8	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
9	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
10	the Commissioner.
11	
12	SO ORDERED.
13	
14	/s/ Dated: September 12, 2011
15	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	