In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocease and Desist

and

Diener Law Office (d/b/a Home Relief)

Services, LLC d/b/a US Loan Mod

Processing d/b/a HRS Communications

d/b/a The Diener Law Firm d/b/a Diener

Law Group), and Christopher Diener,

Respondents

Respondents

## NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-D (including RSA 399-D:13,I, RSA 399-D:23,I and II, RSA 399-D:24, IV and V and RSA 399-D:25,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 399-D:24,IV and V.

## RESPONDENTS

3. Diener Law Office (d/b/a Home Relief Services, LLC d/b/a US Loan Mod Processing d/b/a HRS Communications d/b/a The Diener Law Firm d/b/a Diener Law Group ("Respondent Diener Law") is a professional corporation incorporated in the State of California on November 17, 2008, with a principal office location in Irvine, California. The Respondent Diener Law is not registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office.

- 1 | Respondent Diener Law is a "Person." RSA 399-D:1, VII.
- 2 4. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not
- 3 | indicate that Respondent Diener Law has ever held a New Hampshire Debt
- 4 | Adjuster license.
- 5 | 5. Christopher Diener, Esq. ("Respondent Diener") is an attorney licensed
- 6 to practice in the State of California since May 20, 1997 and owner of
- 7 | Respondent Diener Law. Respondent Diener is a Control Person (RSA 399-
- 8 | D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-
- 9 | a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2, VII).
- 10 6. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not
- 11 | indicate that Respondent Diener has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster
- 12 | license.

15

- 13 7. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as
- 14 | "Respondents".

## RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 16 | 8. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing
- 17 | shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives
- 18 | the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a
- 19 | hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall
- 20 comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, I and II.
- 21 | 9. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of
- 22 | receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the
- 23 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all
- 24 allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect
- 25 until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA

- 399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, II.
- A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 2 2 above. 3

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

23

24

## STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

5 On March 26, 2009, the Department received a complaint against Respondents from a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") alleging that 6 7 Respondents failed to provide debt relief assistance or refund money paid

for this assistance.

- On November 11, 2008, Respondents had contracted with Consumer A to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer A. The contract provided that Consumer A would pay \$1,695.00 as a retainer to Respondents for services that Respondents would provide. On November 24, 2008, Consumer A paid an initial deposit of \$847.50 to Respondents. On January 8, 2009, Consumer A paid another payment of \$847.50 to Respondents. Respondents
- By January 8, 2009, Respondent had collected \$1,695.00 in fees from 16 17 Consumer A, without either the written consent of Consumer A's creditors or 18 any payments made to Consumer A's creditors, in violation of RSA 399-D:14,I 19 and II.

charged an advanced fee, in violation of RSA 399-D:14, I.

- Respondent failed to conduct any debt negotiations on behalf of 20 21 Consumer A, and has failed to use any of the funds to pay Consumer A's creditors. 22
- On April 30, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return Receipt Requested to Respondents, suggesting Respondent apply for licensure 25 with the Department and resolution of Consumer A's complaint. The

- 1 | correspondence was received by the Respondents on May 7, 2009.
- 2 | 16. On June 10, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 3 | Receipt Requested to Respondents, indicating that a response to the
- 4 | Department's correspondence dated April 30, 2009 was needed by the
- 5 | Respondents. This correspondence was returned to the Department on July 20,
- 6 2009 marked "Return to Sender Refused".
- 7 | 17. On June 12, 2009, the Department received a correspondence from
- 8 | Respondents indicating that Respondents were reviewing the request, but were
- 9 | unaware of any New Hampshire contacts.
- 10 | 18. On June 16, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 11 | Receipt Requested to Respondents which provided Consumer A's complaint and
- 12 | requested a response to the Department's correspondence dated April 30,
- 13 2009. This correspondence was received by the Respondents on June 22, 2009.
- 14 | 19. On July 6, 2009, the Department received a correspondence from
- 15 Respondents indicating that Consumer A contracted with Respondents to
- 16 perform negotiations with her lender regarding her residential mortgage and
- 17 those services were preformed. Respondents also indicated that any
- 18 | communications between Respondents and Consumer A could not be disclosed
- 19 | because of Attorney-Client confidentiality.
- 20 | 20. On February 9, 2010, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 21 || Receipt Requested to Respondents suggesting Respondents apply for licensure
- 22 | with the Department. This correspondence was returned to the Department on
- 23 | March 11, 2010 marked "Return to Sender Unclaimed".
- 24 | 21. On August 12, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
- 25 | Receipt Requested to Respondents suggesting Respondents apply for licensure

	$\Omega$
1	with the Department, provide documentation to the Department regarding any
2	New Hampshire consumer activity, and respond to the consumer complaint.
3	This correspondence was received by the Respondents on August 18, 2011.
4	22. To date, Respondents have failed to respond or to provide any of the
5	information requested by the Department, in violation of RSA 399-D:22,VIII.
6	23. To date, Respondent has failed to return \$1,695.00 of Consumer A's
7	funds.
8	
9	/s/
10	Ryan McFarland Date Hearings Examiner
11	ORDER
12	24. I hereby find as follows:
13	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
14	show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
15	399-D and form the legal basis for this Order;
16	b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
17	to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent
18	with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws;
19	c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 399-D:23,II reasonable
20	cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and
21	d. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II, if any
22	Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as
23	alleged herein are deemed as true.
24	25. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:
25	a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter

399-D and rules or orders thereunder; Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of b. Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have conducted or contracted to conduct debt adjustment activities. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with all monies charged, collected, and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files; Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits in the amount of at least \$1,695.00 (Consumer A); d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows: (1). Respondent Diener Law: Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA 399-D:3,I) - 1 count; Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 count; Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 count; Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-D:22, VIII) - 1 count; (2). Respondent Diener (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and Principal):

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1	399-D:3,I) - 1 count;
2	Violation #2: Collecting a Fee prior to payment to
3	creditors (RSA 399-D:14,I) - 1 count;
4	Violation #3: Collecting a Fee without consent of the
5	creditors (RSA 399-D:14,II) - 1 count;
6	Violation #4: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
7	D:22,VIII) - 1 count;
8	e. Nothing in this Order:
9	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
10	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
11	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
12	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
13	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
14	the Commissioner.
15	
16	SO ORDERED.
17	
18	/s/ Dated:September 7,2011
19	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	