In re the Matter of:

State of New Hampshire Banking

Department,

Petitioner,

Order to Show Cause and Ocease and Desist

and

The Palmer Firm, P.C., and Robert

Palmer, Esq.,

Respondents

)

Respondents

)

)

Case No.: 08-359

)

)

Case No.: 08-359

)

Palmer, Esq.,

)

Respondents

)

Order to Show Cause and Desist

)

)

Order to Show Cause and Desist

)

)

NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-D (including RSA 399-D:13,I, RSA 399-D:23,I and II, RSA 399-D:24, IV and V and RSA 399-D:25,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 399-D:24,IV and V.

RESPONDENTS

- 3. The Palmer Firm, P.C. ("Respondent Firm") is a professional corporation incorporated in the State of California on August 29, 2007, with a principal office location in Rancho Cucamonga, California. Respondent Firm is not registered with the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent Firm is a "Person." RSA 399-D:1,VII.
- 4. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Firm has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
- 25 5. Robert Palmer, Esq. ("Respondent Palmer") is listed by the Better

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 1

- Business Bureau as Primary Contact of Respondent Firm. Respondent Palmer is an attorney licensed to practice in the State of Texas since January 25, 1985. Respondent Palmer is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 6. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Palmer has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
 - 7. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as "Respondents".

RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 8. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, I and II.
- 9. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II.
- 23 | 10. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 24 | 2 above.

2 | 11. On July 31, 2007, the Department received a complaint against
3 | Respondents from a New Hampshire consumer ("Consumer A") regarding
4 | Respondents' debt relief assistance program being offered to New Hampshire

consumers.

- 12. On or about June 22, 2007, Respondents had contracted with Consumer A to negotiate and settle consumer debt on behalf of Consumer A. The contract provided that Consumer A would pay \$392.00 per month into Respondents' trust account until the agreement between Respondents and Consumer A had been completed by performance of the parties or otherwise terminated. The contract between Respondents and Consumer A also included a retainer fee of \$1,447.76 and a monthly maintenance fee of \$65.00.
- 13. On or about July 15, 2007, Respondents withdrew \$392.00 from Consumer A's bank account, however these funds were returned and the contract was terminated by Consumer A.
- 14. On August 10, 2007, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
 Mail Return Receipt Requested to Respondents, suggesting Respondents apply
 for licensure with the Department and resolution of Consumer A's complaint.
- The correspondence was received by the Respondents on August 17, 2007.
 - 15. On September 7, 2007, the Respondents sent correspondence to the Department indicating that they had terminated their representation of Consumer A, and stated that they did not collect any money from Consumer A. The Respondents also indicated that it was their understanding that since they are engaged in the practice of law, the New Hampshire statute does not apply.

1	16. On August 12, 2011, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Certified
2	Mail Return Receipt Requested to Respondents, suggesting Respondents apply
3	for licensure with the Department, and requesting documentation from
4	Respondents regarding their New Hampshire consumer activity. The
5	Respondents received this correspondence on August 17, 2011.
6	17. To date, Respondents have failed to seek licensure in New Hampshire
7	and failed to provide the requested documentation in violation of RSA 399-
8	D:22,VIII.
9	
10	/s/ August 24, 2011
11	Ryan McFarland Date Hearings Examiner
12	ORDER
13	18. I hereby find as follows:
13 14	18. I hereby find as follows: a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
14	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
14 15	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
14 15 16	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order;
14 15 16 17	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
14 15 16 17	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent
14 15 16 17 18	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws;
14 15 16 17 18 19	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws; c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 399-D:23,II reasonable
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true, show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D and form the legal basis for this Order; b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purpose and intent of New Hampshire banking laws; c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 399-D:23,II reasonable cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 399-D and rules or orders thereunder;
- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have conducted or contracted to conduct debt adjustment activities. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with all monies charged, collected, and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits;
- d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:
 - (1). Respondent Firm:

399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA 399-D:3,I) - 1 Count;

Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-D:22,VIII) - 1 Count;

(2). Respondent Palmer (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and Principal):

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA

Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-D:22,VIII) - 1 Count;

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 5

1	e. Nothing in this Order:
2	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
3	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
4	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
5	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
6	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
7	the Commissioner.
8	
9	SO ORDERED.
10	
11	/s/ RONALD A. WILBUR Dated: August 25, 2011
12	BANK COMMISSIONER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 6