## State of New Hampshire Banking Department

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
In re the Matter of:
                                )Case No.: 08-211
State of New Hampshire Banking)
Department,
                                ) Objection to Motion to Dismiss filed by
           Department,
                                ) Express Consolidation, Inc., Randall L.
     and
                                ) Leshin, P.A. (d/b/a RLL), and Randall L.
                                ) Leshin, Esq. from the December 23, 2011
Express
          Consolidation,
                           Inc.,) Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist
Randall L. Leshin, P.A. (d/b/a)
                                ) Assented-to Request for Hearing
RLL), Randall L. Leshin, Esq.,)
Linda Lewis,
               Richard
                       Medlock,)
               Joseph Morovits,)
               and
           Respondents
```

## OBJECTION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Now comes Maryam Torben Desfosses, on behalf of New Hampshire Banking Department ("Department") in the above captioned matter, and Objects to the Motion to Dismiss filed by Express Consolidation, Inc., Randall L. Leshin, P.A. (d/b/a RLL), and Randall L. Leshin, Esq. ("Respondents Express Consolidation, Leshin P.A. and Leshin, Esq.) from the December 23, 2011 Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist ("Motion to Dismiss") and states as follows:

- 1. Respondents Express Consolidation, Leshin P.A. and Leshin, Esq. filed the Motion to Dismiss on February 1, 2012.
- 2. Respondents Express Consolidation, Leshin P.A. and Leshin, Esq.

Objection to Motion to Dismiss and Assented-to Request for Hearing -  $\mathbf{1}$ 

provided debt adjustment services to the New Hampshire consumers 1 without a license in violation of RSA Chapter 399-D. Therefore, the 2 Department OBJECTS to the Motion to Dismiss. 3 4 ASSENTED-TO REQUEST FOR HEARING 3. The Motion to Dismiss contains an Alternative Request for Hearing 5 ("Request for Hearing") in which Respondents Express Consolidation, 6 7 Leshin P.A. and Leshin, Esq. waive the 10-day hearing requirement. 4. The Department hereby ASSENTS TO THE REQUEST FOR HEARING. 8 The Department further requests that the Presiding Officer issue a Notice 9 of Hearing and schedule the hearing 60 days from the date the 10 Presiding Officer signs the Order regarding the Department's Objection 11 to the Motion to Dismiss in order to allow the Department to discuss a 12 13 possible settlement with Respondents Express Consolidation, Leshin P.A. and Leshin, Esq. 14 WHEREFORE, Department respectfully requests 15 A. The Presiding Officer grant the Department's Objection to the Motion 16 17 to Dismiss; 18 B. The Presiding Officer issue a Notice of Hearing in this matter and schedule the hearing date for 60 days from the date the Presiding 19 20 Officer signs the Order regarding the Department's Objection to the Motion to Dismiss; and 2.1 C. Grant such further relief as is just and in the public interest. 22 Respectfully submitted by: 23 24 25 <u>2/3/</u>12 Maryam Torben Desfosses

Hearings Examiner

1	ORDER
2	1. Finding it in the public interest, the Department's Objection to the
3	Motion is hereby <b>GRANTED</b> ;
4	2. The Parties' request for a hearing in this matter is hereby <b>GRANTED</b> ; and
5	3. The Department's Request to set the hearing date 60 days from the date
6	the Presiding Officer signs the Order regarding the Department's
7	Objection to the Motion to Dismiss is hereby <b>GRANTED</b> and a Notice of
8	Hearing will be issued by the Presiding Officer.
9	
LO	SO ORDERED,
11	
12	STEPHEN J. JUDGE, ESQ Date
13	PRESIDING OFFICER
14	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	