```
) Case No.: 07-317
In re the Matter of:
State of New Hampshire Banking
Department,
            Petitioner,
                                        ) Order to Show Cause and
                                        ) Cease and Desist
      and
Morgan Drexen, Inc. (d/b/a Morgan
Drexen Group, d/b/a Morgan Drexen,
d/b/a www.morgandrexen.com and d/b/a
Morgan Drexen Integrated Legal
Systems), Walter Ledda, David Walker,
Rita Augusta, Stephen E. Nagin, Law
Offices of Eric A. Rosen, P.A. (d/b/a
Rosen & Winig, P.A.) and Eric A.
Rosen, Esquire,
            Respondents
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## NOTICE OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CEASE AND DESIST ("ORDER")

- 1. This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions of RSA Chapter 399-D (including RSA 399-D:13,I, RSA 399-D:23,I and II, RSA 399-D:24, IV and V and RSA 399-D:25,IV) and RSA Chapter 541-A.
- 2. The Commissioner may impose administrative penalties of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation. RSA 399-D:24,IV and V.

# RESPONDENTS

3. Morgan Drexen, Inc. (d/b/a Morgan Drexen Group, d/b/a Morgan Drexen, d/b/a <a href="www.morgandrexen.com">www.morgandrexen.com</a> and d/b/a Morgan Drexen Integrated Legal Systems) ("Respondent Morgan Drexen") is a corporation duly incorporated in the State

- of Nevada on October 17, 1994, with a principal office location in Costa

  Mesa, California (registered with the California Secretary of State on

  August 13, 2007), a location in Anaheim, California and a corporate office

  in Las Vegas, Nevada. Respondent Morgan Drexen is not registered with the

  New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office. Respondent Morgan Drexen is a
- 6 | "Person." RSA 399-D:1,VII.
- 7 | 4. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Morgan Drexen has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
  - 5. Walter Ledda ("Respondent Ledda") is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Respondent Morgan Drexen. Respondent Ledda is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
    - 6. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Ledda has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.
    - 7. David Walker ("Respondent Walker") is the Chief Financial Officer of Respondent Morgan Drexen. Respondent Walker is listed with the Nevada Secretary of State as Respondent Morgan Drexen's Treasurer. Respondent Walker is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
  - 8. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not indicate that Respondent Walker has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster license.

2.4

- 9. Rita Augusta ("Respondent Augusta") is the Chief Operating Officer of
  Respondent Morgan Drexen. Respondent Augusta is listed with the Nevada
  Secretary of State as Respondent Morgan Drexen's Secretary. Respondent
- 4 Augusta is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct Owner (RSA 399-
- 5 | D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII).
- 6 | 10. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not
- 7 || indicate that Respondent Augusta has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster
- 8 ||license.
- 9 | 11. Stephen E. Nagin ("Respondent Nagin") was the President and Chief
- 10 | Legal Officer of Respondent Morgan Drexen until his departure in August
- 11 | 2011. Respondent Nagin is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Direct
- 12 Owner (RSA 399-D:2,V-b), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-a), and a Person (RSA
- 13 | 399-D:2,VII).
- 14 | 12. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not
- 15 | indicate that Respondent Nagin has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster
- 16 | license.
- 17 | 13. Law Offices of Eric A. Rosen, P.A. (d/b/a Rosen & Winig, P.A.)
- 18 ("Respondent Rosen, P.A.") is a law firm owned by Attorney Eric A. Rosen,
- 19 | with contracts involving Respondent Morgan Drexen. Respondent Rosen, P.A.
- 20 has consumers that indicate the office is out of Melville, New York, however
- 21 | the current address with the New York Bar is New York, New York. Respondent
- 22 | Morgan Drexen works on behalf of Respondent Rosen, P.A. Respondent Rosen,
- 23 | P.A. is a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a), a Principal (RSA 399-D:2,VII-
- 24 | a), and a Person (RSA 399-D:2, VII).
- 25 | 14. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not

- 1 | indicate that Respondent Rosen, P.A. has ever held a New Hampshire Debt 2 | Adjuster license.
- 3 | 15. Eric A. Rosen, Esquire ("Respondent Rosen") is an attorney who owns
- 4 | Respondent Rosen, P.A. Respondent Rosen is licensed to practice in the
- 5 | State of New York since 1986 and in the State of Florida since April 18,
- 6 2007. Respondent Morgan Drexen works on behalf of Respondent Rosen.
- 7 Respondent Rosen is a Person (RSA 399-D:2,VII), a Principal (RSA 399-
- 8 | D:2,VII-a), a Control Person (RSA 399-D:2,II-a) and a Direct Owner (RSA 399-
- 9 D:2,V-b) of Respondent Rosen, P.A.
- 10 | 16. The New Hampshire Banking Department's ("Department") records do not
- 11 | indicate that Respondent Rosen has ever held a New Hampshire Debt Adjuster
- 12 | license.

15

- 13 | 17. The above-named Respondents are hereinafter collectively known as
- 14 | "Respondents".

## RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 16 | 18. Respondents have a right to request a hearing on this Order. A hearing
- 17 | shall be held not later than ten (10) days after the Commissioner receives
- 18 | the Respondent's written request for a hearing. Respondents may request a
- 19 hearing and waive the ten (10) day hearing requirement. The hearing shall
- 20 | comply with RSA Chapter 541-A. RSA 399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, I and II.
- 21 | 19. If any person fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of
- 22 | receiving this Order, then such person shall be deemed in default, and the
- 23 Order shall, on the thirty-first (31st) day, become permanent, all
- 24 | allegations may be deemed true, and shall remain in full force and effect
- 25 until modified or vacated by the Commissioner for good cause shown. RSA

399-D:13, I and RSA 399-D:23, II.

1

4

2 20. A default may result in administrative fines as described in Paragraph 3 2 above.

## STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- 21. On November 9, 2007, the Department's examination of a licensee revealed Respondents sent loan modification documentation to the licensee on Consumer A's behalf which was dated October 31, 2007. The documentation indicated that Respondents were a debt resolution firm and that they represented Consumer A.
- 22. On December 6, 2007, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail
  Return Receipt Requested to Respondents suggesting that Respondents apply
  for licensure with the Department. The correspondence was received by
  Respondents on December 10, 2007.
- 23. On January 9, 2008, the Department received a response from
  Respondents which indicated that Respondents did not need a license and
  Respondents had voluntarily ceased contracting with consumers. The
  correspondence further indicated that Respondents do not have nor intend to
  have any New Hampshire consumers contracted for any services.
- 24. On June 20, 2008, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return
  Receipt Requested to Respondents suggesting that Respondents apply for
  licensure with the Department and provide requested documentation. The
  correspondence was received by Respondents on July 6, 2008.
- 23 25. On August 15, 2008, the Department received a response from
  24 Respondents which indicated that Respondents had ceased contracting with New
  25 Hampshire consumers on or before January 5, 2008 and terminated any

contracts.

1

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On October 7, 2008, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return 2 Receipt Requested to Respondents requesting documentation to include all New 3 Hampshire consumers that contracted with Respondents. The correspondence 4 5

was received by the Respondents on October 14, 2008.

On November 26, 2008, the Department received an email correspondence from Respondents indicating that the Respondents would need certain assurances before the requested documentation would be provided.

On December 12, 2008, the Department received a complaint from a New Hampshire consumer's attorney (Consumer B) against Respondents concerning possible unlicensed debt adjustment activity. The documentation provided by Consumer B included agreement between Consumer B and Respondent Morgan Drexen dated January 22, 2008 and an agreement between Consumer B and Respondent Rosen, P.A. dated January 22, 2008. The agreement between Consumer B and Respondent Morgan Drexen indicated that Respondent Morgan Drexen would withdraw \$208.00 per month from Consumer B's checking account for a period of thirty-six months to fulfill Consumer B's debt obligations. The agreement between Consumer B and Respondent Rosen, P.A. indicated that Respondent Rosen, P.A. would represent Consumer B with respect to unsecured debts, and Consumer B would pay Respondent Rosen, P.A. an engagement fee of \$810.00, a monthly fee of \$45.00, and a contingency fee of twenty-five percent.

On July 15, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail Return Receipt Requested to Respondents requesting the documentation that was previously requested by the Department in the correspondence dated October

1	7, 2008. The correspondence from the Department also assured Respondents
2	that all information received as part of the investigation would remain
3	confidential. The correspondence was received by the Respondents on July
4	20, 2009. The Department did not receive a response from the Respondents.
5	30. On September 15, 2009, the Department sent a letter via U.S. Mail
6	Return Receipt Requested to Respondents requesting the documentation that
7	was previously requested by the Department and also assuring Respondents
8	that this information would remain confidential. The correspondence was
9	received by the Respondents on September 18, 2009.
LO	31. To date, Respondents have failed to provide any of the information
11	requested by the Department, in violation of RSA 399-D:22, VIII.
12	32. Respondents provided debt adjustment activity to two New Hampshire
13	consumers without the proper license in violation of RSA 399-D:3,I.
L4	
15	/s/ August 31, 2011
L6	Ryan McFarland Date Hearings Examiner
L7	ORDER
L8	33. I hereby find as follows:
19	a. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I, the facts as alleged above, if true,
20	show Respondents are operating or have operated in violation of RSA Chapter
21	399-D and form the legal basis for this Order;
22	b. Pursuant to 399-D:25,VI, this Order is necessary and appropriate
23	to the public interest and for the protection of consumers and consistent

c. The Department finds pursuant to RSA 399-D:23,II reasonable

Order to Show Cause and Cease and Desist- 7

25

cause to issue an order to cease and desist; and

2.4

d. Pursuant to RSA 399-D:13,I and RSA 399-D:23,II, if any Respondent fails to respond to this Order and/or defaults then all facts as alleged herein are deemed as true.

## 34. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- a. Respondents shall cease and desist from violating RSA Chapter 399-D and rules or orders thereunder;
- b. Respondents shall immediately provide the Department a list of all New Hampshire consumers for whom Respondents have conducted or contracted to conduct debt adjustment activities. This list must include the names and contact information of the New Hampshire consumers, along with all monies charged, collected, and waived (if applicable). The list shall also be accompanied by all contracts, checks to and from the consumer and any other documents in the New Hampshire consumers' files;
- c. Respondents shall show cause why the Commissioner should not enter an order of rescission, restitution, or disgorgement of profits;
- d. Respondents shall show cause why an administrative fine of up to a maximum of \$2,500.00 per violation should not be imposed as follows:
  - (1). Respondent Morgan Drexen:

Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA 399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;

Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-D:22,VIII) - 1 count;

(2). Respondent Ledda (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and Principal):

```
Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
1
                      399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
2
                      Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
3
                      D:22, VIII) - 1 count;
4
5
                (3). Respondent Walker (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
6
                      Principal):
 7
                      Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
                      399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
8
                      Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
9
10
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 count;
                (4). Respondent Augusta (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
11
                      Principal):
12
                      Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
13
14
                     399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
                      Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
15
                     D:22, VIII) - 1 count;
16
                (5). Respondent Nagin (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
17
18
                      Principal):
                      Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
19
                      399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
20
                     Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
21
22
                      D:22, VIII) - 1 count;
23
                (6). Respondent Rosen, P.A. (as Control Person and Principal):
24
                     Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
25
                      399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
```

1	Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
2	D:22,VIII) - 1 count;
3	(7). Respondent Rosen (as Control Person, Direct Owner, and
4	Principal):
5	Violation #1: Unlicensed activity as a debt adjuster (RSA
6	399-D:3,I) - 2 counts;
7	Violation #2: Failure to provide documents (RSA 399-
8	D:22,VIII) - 1 count;
9	e. Nothing in this Order:
LO	(1). shall prevent the Department from taking any further
11	administrative and legal action as necessary under New Hampshire law; and
12	(2). shall prevent the New Hampshire Office of the Attorney
13	General from bringing an action against the above named Respondents in any
L4	New Hampshire superior court, with or without prior administrative action by
15	the Commissioner.
16	
L7	SO ORDERED.
18	
19	/s/ Dated: August 31, 2011
20	RONALD A. WILBUR BANK COMMISSIONER
21	BANK COMMISSIONER
22	
23	
24	
25	